Questions

MARGARINE AND BUTTER—COMPARATIVE FOOD VALUE

Mr. Poulin:

1. In taking as a basis of comparison butter and margarine sold by dealers in Canada, is the nutrition division of the Department of National Health and Welfare in a position to state which of these two products has the greater food value?

2. If so, which product?

Mr. Robertson:

- 1. No, because sufficient analyses of butter and margarine as sold in Canada are not available in the files of the nutrition division.
 - 2. See answer to No. 1 above.

LA MARTONA, LION AND MONARCH SPREADS

Mr. Boisvert:

1. Are the products, labelled La Martona, Lion spread and Monarch spread, foods under the provisions of the Food and Drugs Act?

2. If so, what are the components of such prod-

ucts and the proportion of each?

3. Where are the producers of these foods located?

Mr. Robertson:

- 1. Yes.
- 2. The product La Martona is labelled to show the ingredients as shortening, butter, emulsified cream cheese, with condiments and salt. Laboratory analysis of this product has shown a moisture content of 15·84 per cent and a fat content of 77·18 per cent.

The product Lion spread is labelled to show the ingredients as animal fat, salt, milk powder, flavouring and colour. No analytical report is available at the present time.

3. The product La Martona is made by Les Fromages Davidson Cheese Products Ltee, Ste. Thecle, P.Q., and the product Lion spread is made by the Lion Spread Products Ltd., Grand'Mere, P.Q.

CANADIAN WHEAT BOARD—MIXING OF WHEAT GRADES

Mr. McCullough (Moose Mountain):

1. Is it the policy of the Canadian wheat board to mix different grades of wheat prior to sale?

2. If so, what method is followed and for what

reason is this done?

3. What grade of wheat is used to make first grade flour?

4. What was the net price per bushel for grade 3 northern wheat delivered by farmers to elevators at Carlyle, Saskatchewan, for each year 1939 to 1953 inclusive?

5. For the years 1939 to 1953 inclusive, what percentage of Canadian wheat graded No. 1 northern;

No. 2 northern and No. 3 northern?

Mr. Dickey:

- 1. The Canadian wheat board, not operating elevator facilities, does not mix grades of grain. Operators of elevators may mix grain in accordance with the terms of the Canada Grain Act and regulations thereunder.
 - 2. See answer to No. 1 above.
- 3. The Canadian wheat board cannot answer this question because it is not engaged in the milling of wheat. Generally speaking, grades from No. 1 northern to No. 4 northern inclusive are considered as milling grades although at times lower grades can be used. Much depends upon the milling characteristics of each grade from year to year.
- 4. The Canadian wheat board can only answer this question in so far as board initial payments, interim and final payments are concerned. The following table shows net proceeds to producers for No. 3 northern wheat delivered to the board at Carlyle (18 cents per cwt.) Prairie Farm Assistance Act levy deducted.

Crop years	Initial payments	Interim	Final payment	Net proceeds to producer at elevator
	cents	cents	cents	cents
1939	46.530			46.530
1940	$47 \cdot 025$		8.146	$55 \cdot 171$
1941	48.510		15.897	$64 \cdot 407$
1942	$68 \cdot 805$		11.612	$80 \cdot 417$
1943	104.940		$12 \cdot 146$	117.086
1944	104.940		18.677	$123 \cdot 617$
1945	104.940	49.500	8.341	162.781
1946	114.840	39.600	8.341	$162 \cdot 781$
1947	$114 \cdot 345$	39.600	8.341	$162 \cdot 286$
1948	$133 \cdot 155$	19.800	8.341	$161 \cdot 296$
1949	$152 \cdot 955$		8.341	$161 \cdot 296$
1950	$113 \cdot 355$	19.800	$29 \cdot 343$	$162 \cdot 498$
1951	$117 \cdot 315$	19.800	$25 \cdot 333$	$162 \cdot 448$
1952	117.315	19.800	$22 \cdot 341$	$159 \cdot 456$
1953	117.315			•••••

From August 1, 1939 to September 27, 1943 producers may have marketed wheat outside of the Canadian wheat board.

[Mr. Benidickson.]