MacNaught
Marier
Marquis
Martin
Matthews (Brandon)
Matthews (Kootenay East)
Maybank
Mayhew
Michaud
Mitchell
Moore
Mullins
Mutch
Nicholson
Nixon
Parent
Picard
Pouliot
Probe
Raymond (Wright)
Reid
Richard (Gloucester)
Richard (Ottawa East)
Rinfret
Robinson (Simcoe East)
Ross (Hamilton East)
St. Laurent
Sinnott
Smith (York North)
Stewart (Winnipeg North)
Stuart (Charlotte)
Strum, Mrs.
Thatcher
Townley-Smith
Tremblay
Tucker
Viau
Warren
Weir
Whitman
Winkler
Winters
Wright
Zaplitny—139

NAYS

Messrs:

Adamson
Ashby
Barrett
Black (Cumberland)
Black (Yukon)
Blackmore
Blair
Boucher
Bracken
Bradshaw
Brooks
Case
Casselman
Charlton
Church
Cockeram
Daniel
Desmond
Diefenbaker
Fair
Fleming
Fraser
Fulton
Graydon
Green
Hackett
Hansell
Harkness
Harris (Danforth)
Hatfield
Hazen
Henderson
Hlynka
Hodgson
Homuth
Jackman
Johnston
Kidd
Lennard
Lockhart
Low
Macdonnell (Muskoka-Ontario)
McGregor
McMaster
MacNicol
Manross
Marshall
Massey
Menary
Merritt
Miller
Murphy
Pearkes
Quelch
Raymond (Beauharnois-Laprairie)
Robinson (Bruce)
Ross (St. Paul's)
Ross (Souris)
Rowe
Shaw
Smith (Calgary West)
Stanfield
Stephenson
Stokes
Timmins
Tustin
Webb
White (Hastings-Peterborough)
White (Middlesex East)—69

Mr. MALONEY: I was paired with the hon. member for Northumberland, Ontario (Mr. Drope).

Mr. CARDIFF: I was paired with the hon. member for Chateauguay-Huntingdon (Mr. Black). Had I voted, I would have voted against the motion.

[Mr. Bracken.]

Mr. WYLIE: I was paired with the hon. member for Gaspe (Mr. Langlois). Had I voted I would have voted against the motion.

Mr. AYLESWORTH: I was paired with the hon. member for Chambly-Rouville (Mr. Pinard). Had I voted, I would have voted against the motion.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: As the motion that was just carried is in the complete form in which it appears on the order paper, I think it precludes the necessity, at least for the present, of my moving the motion which immediately follows it on the order paper; that is for today, at least. I would proceed therefore to the third motion, and move as follows:

That on Wednesday the 10th December and Wednesday 17th December, 1947, the house shall meet at three o'clock p.m., and that the sittings on such days shall in every respect be under the same rules provided for other days.

When I spoke of this on Friday last, I rather gathered that it met with the general approval of the house.

Mr. BRACKEN: Before that motion is put, may I ask the Prime Minister if he has decided anything with respect to meeting on Friday evenings?

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: Yes. We intend to sit on Friday evenings.

Mr. BRACKEN: Is that in this motion?

Mr. COLDWELL: It is not needed.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: There is no motion required for sitting Friday evenings.

Mr. COLDWELL: The motion that has just been passed governs the business of today only. What about tomorrow?

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: Tomorrow is government day, and I have just indicated that my hon. friend will have a chance of speaking in the debate on the address in reply to the speech from the throne.

Mr. COLDWELL: That is right.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: Does my hon. friend suggest that by reason of its wording, this motion is limited in its effect to today?

Mr. COLDWELL: It looks like it.

Mr. MACKENZIE KING: To secure unanimity, I was seeking so to word it that it should relate only to today. For that reason I suggested striking out the last two lines. But hon. gentlemen opposite insisted on these lines remaining in, and I think perhaps they ought now to abide by the position thereby created.