

out on the grounds that I believe that the U.N. must be based on the principle of universality or it means nothing. It can't be an honour society because there are too many people in it who don't deserve being in it. But if we accept the proposition that the South African regime and many others shall be in it, I just cannot see the moral or logic of the exclusion of Communist China which is certainly properly a defacto government. Now I recognize again your point that we cannot accept the assumption that Formosa is given to Communist China, but it seems to me that in the United States at least if I may say so, there is total lack of realism or understanding or morality about this issue. We oppose any move to throw the South Africans out although they are guilty of the greatest crimes against humanity. Yet we say we mustn't let Communist China in because they are guilty of crimes against humanity.

Mr. Green: This is a problem I am afraid you will have to take up with the United States government. I am afraid I can't speak for them.

Mr. Wechsler: I was just hoping you would help us a little bit on this.

Mr. Nash: Mr. Green, specifically on this question that Mr. Wechsler has raised about China, why does Canada not recognize Communist China?

Mr. Green: I thought I explained the main reason a few moments ago when I said that China won't accept recognition at present unless we recognize her right to take over Formosa. Well, we're not prepared to do that.

Mr. Nash: This is the only thing that stands.....

Mr. Wechsler: But isn't it technically true with respect to recognition they do not insist that you accept their proposition. Recognition as a matter of fact is a diplomatic formula, isn't it? You don't have to accept the conditions. You recognize or you don't recognize, don't you?

Mr. Green: No. This is a very practical situation. Canada has never been prepared to admit that Red China has a right to take over Formosa.

Mr. Wechsler: I understand that, but do you think that it would be a prerequisite of your decision to acknowledge their right to representation in the U.N. to concede that they must take over Formosa. Couldn't you simply state that it is your belief that they should be represented in the U.N. and that Formosa itself should be represented.

Mr. Green: As I understand it they won't even take membership in the United Nations unless their right to take over Formosa is acknowledged.

Mr. Wechsler: My only point is wouldn't we all be in a much better position if the United States and Canada said to Red China, you have a seat here. The independent government of Formosa also has a seat. Then let them fight with Chiang Kai-Shek about whether they can bear to sit in the same room.