## DIARY FOR OCTOBER.

м.eg∵	County Court Non-Jury Sittings except in
4	York. William D. Powell, 5th C.J. of Q
K ~	B., 1816.
o. Bun	
o. Mon	County Count City
7. Tnes	YorkHenry Alcook. 3rd C.J. of Q.B., 1802. R. A. Harrison, 11th C.J. of Q.B. 1875.
~ 408	Henry Alcook, 3rd C.J. of O.B. 1802 R. A.
8. 10/-	Harrison, 11th C.J. of Q.B., 1875.
11. A eq	Sir W. B. Richards C.J. Sun Ct. 1075
28c	Harrison, 11th C.J. of Q.B., 1875. Sir W. B. Richards, C.J. Sup. Ct., 1875. County Court Sittings for Motions except in
	Sir W. B. Richards, C.J. Sup. Ct., 1875. County Court Sittings for Motions, except in York, end. Columbus discovered Americs
10 -	York, end. Columbus discovered America
Sun	19th Sunday of the market
10	1492. Columbus discovered America 149219th Sunday after Trinity. Battle of Queenston, 1812.
Mon	Compt. 1012.
	ton, 1812. County Court Sittings for Motions in York begin. Sur. Ct. Sittings
Wad Wad	Degin. Bur. Ct. Sittings
ou	begin. Sur. Ct. SittingsEnglish law introduced into Upper Canada, 1791.
18 R.	1791St. Luke. County Court Sittings for Motions in York end.
~~	St. Luke. County Court Gistings des 34-41-no
19 a	in York end.
50. pgp	80th Sunday after Trinis.
Mon	in York end. 80th Sunday after TrinityCounty Court Non-Jury Sittings in York
On	90th Sunday after Trinity. County Court Non-Jury Sittings in York. Last day for Law Society notices
Tues	Last day for Law Society notices.
Wed."	wood of Lightenian in the
Thur	Supreme Court of Canada sits. Lord Lansdowne, Governor General 1888
P. P.	LUIG Lansdowne, Governor-General 1998

34. Aur. Lord Lansdowne, Governor-General, 1888.

55. Fri. Sir. J. H. Craig, Governor-General, 1807.

56. Sun. Slst Sunday after Trinity.

77. Mon. Hon. C. S. Patterson, app. Judge of Sup. Ct., 1888. Jas. Maclennan, app. Judge of Ct. of Appeal, 1888.

58. Tues. Supreme Courts sits. St. Simon and St. Jude.

59. Wed. Battle of Fort Erie, 1818.

50. Fri. All Hallows' Eve. Early Notes of Canadian Cases.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR ONTARIO.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

Queen's Bench Division.

STREET, J.]

Aug 29.

IN RE MITCHELL v. SCRIBNER.

Prohibition—Division Court—Order of Judge setting aside attachment—R.S.O., c. 51, s. 262.

Power over the process of his own court is inherent in the judge of a Division Court as well as of other courts; and, notwithstanding the provisions of s. 262 of the Division Courts Act, R.S.O., c. 51, a judge may set aside an attachment which has been improperly issued. Douglas Armour for plaintiff.

Swabey for defendants.

## Chancery Division.

Ferguson, J.]

[Sept. 4.

ATTORNEY-GENERAL FOR CANADA v. CITY OF TORONTO.

Municipal Corporations—By-law as to payment of water-rates—Discount to consumers—Exception as to Government institutions—Taxes -Discrimination

A by-law of the defendants relating to the payment of rates for water supplied by the defendants to buildings in the municipality, provided that the rates should be subject to a reduction of fifty per cent., if paid within a certain time. "save and except in the cases of Government and other institutions which are exempt from city taxes, in which cases the said provisions as to discount shall not apply."

Held, that the post-office, customs-house, and other buildings vested in the Crown, all of which are exempt from city taxes, were "Government institutions" within the meaning of the by-law.

- (2) Having regard to 35 Vict., c. 79, s. 12 (O.); 41 Vict., c. 41, s. 3 (O.); R.S.O., c. 192, ss. 19, 28, that the moneys charged and paid as waterrates, or rent for water, were not taxes, but the price or prices paid for water upon a sale thereof to the consumers.
- (3) That the by-law was not invalid as discriminating against the Crown.

James Reeve, Q.C., and Wickham, for the plaintiff.

C. R. W. Biggar, Q.C., for the defendants.

MacMahon, J.]

[July 21.

Town of Meaford v. Lang.

Principal and surety-Non-disclosure by creditor-Official bond-Release of surety.

Where in an action brought against sureties to a tax-collector's bond, the said bonds being for the due payment over of taxes collected in 1886 and 1887, it appeared that the plaintiff's corporation, though they knew that the collector had, for some years, a loose way of doing his business, and was dilatory in making his returns, yet had not had it brought home to them that he was actually dishonest, and that they had not informed the defendants, when obtaining the execution of the bonds by the latter, of their causes of complaint against the collector; but it did not appear that they had dealt fraudulently with the defendants:

Held, that the non-disclosure by the plaintiffs to the defendants of the past conduct of the collector, did not relieve the defendants from their obligation under the bonds.

Cassels, Q.C., for the plaintiffs. Kerr, Q.C., for the defendants.