

If you pay monkeys

The Gateway

you get peanuts

VOL. LXII, No. 15

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, CANADA

TUESDAY, NOV. 2, 1971 TWELVE PAGES

S U Executive salaries raised



The sign on page 12 confronted this native woman Thursday when she and 50 others took part in a sit-in at the Indian Affairs office in the CN Tower. See story on page 12

Student's Council passed last night a proposal to increase all Student Union Executive's salaries except that of the Student Union's president.

The president's allocation was cut from 4800 to 3600 and executive Gateway staffers lost from 33 percent to 60 percent of their previous wage level.

The Student Union Executive members received a pay increase of 33 percent more than last years members salaries which were 150 per month. In addition, Councillors will be paid 400 per month over the summer.

The final report was submitted to Council by Doug Black, Union Co-ordinator, and was passed in record time. Doug made an amendment to the motion, explaining it as a typographical omission, that increases in salaried positions be retroactive and decreases be effective as of April 1st., 1972.

Ron Gilman, Education Representative cast the sole negative vote. Gilman later explained his position as "no executive member being worth an extra 50.00 a month. In my opinion the volunteering aspect of the job comes before the fiscal considerations". He described himself as being unhappy about the proceedings. "No one was strong enough to sit up and say no. No one really realized what

was goin on". He said Students Council supplies "many fringe benefits - a lot of Councillors feel that they are in their positions to do themselves good rather than working for the good of the students. Some need the money (paid in salaries), some work damned hard - and then some don't. Wages, on the other hand have been at this level for three or four years, and there has been a 5 percent increase; but in this case, its garbage."

Frans Slatter, Student's Union Treasurer, defended the bill by saying that "anyone in student's Council for the money is a fool." Slatter abstained from the vote on the Salaries Report on the principle that one does not vote oneself more money.

When asked about the lack of discussion, he remarked that the motion had been tabled at the last meeting to give Councillors time to read the report and to prepare any questions that might develop. The lack of active exchange was attributable to the acceptance in total of the proposal.

Doug Black had "assumed there would be more talk." When asked about the fact that this particular motion was not included in the preliminary Budget, Black explained that salaries are the single largest

expenditure the Union handles. Foreseeing the desire for a general increase in Student Executive salaries could not be expected in the preliminary drafting of the budget.

Frans Slatter explained the apparent discrepancy between total year earnings of the Councillors and the executive Gateway staffers.

Previously, The Gateway Editor was paid 300 a month for 11 months. Now, the Editor will receive 250 for eight months. and 400 for the month of August.

Councillors will be paid 400 per month for the summer period and 200 a month for the remaining eight months of office. Only this past year has a Gateway Editor been paid over the summer months.

Doug Black voiced his opinion on the sole basis of the amount of responsibility the various positions held. The gateway editor, Black said, "is not responsible to the university community as a whole - not as McKenzie is. The editor isn't responsible to the 18,000 students on this campus - not like Student's Councillors are." And in the midst of this detailed account of the said motion and subsequent interviews, the proposal became history.

By Fiona Campbell

Council refuses money for Amchitka protest

Monday night council defeated a motion to award \$100 to the U of A Vietnam Action Committee to protest the Amchitka blast. Council did, however, lend the group moral support. The hundred dollars was to have been used to publicize the November 3 protest walkout from classes of Edmonton High School students.

About fifty high school

students attended the council meeting in the hope that council would vote to support the walkout and to give them financial aid. The proposal that council stand behind the protest march and lend moral support to it was the first motion presented to council. The motion was passed after several minutes of debate on how seriousness the

seriousness of the Amchitka issue.

The second motion dealt with giving the high schools \$100 to help publicize the march. A lengthy discussion then began on whether or not to donate the money. One councillor felt that high schools should provide their own money. A student replied that high school councils cannot spend money without the

approval of the school authorities. As one person pointed out, "High school bureaucrats are even more conservative than university bureaucrats".

During the ensuing debate it became obvious that a majority of councillors were either apathetic, unconcerned or bored by the whole question of Amchitka. This prompted the remark by one spectator thatt "It is unrefreshing to know that council is so unaware of the conditions at this time".

When a vote was finally taken, there were only three votes in favour of the motion. High school students in the gallery becoming incensed at Council's move, started a miniature protest rally. They then stormed out of council chambers. Arts rep., Barry McLaren, who voted in favour of the motion, joined the students in the walkout.

The high school students feel that the Canadian government has done little to represent to the

United States the feelings of the Canadian people about the Amchitka test. They feel that students should let the world know how Canadian students feel about the attitude of the American government.

Students council's lack of concern has put a crimp in the protest rally organization but not a serious one. Mike Carmody, chairman of the protest group organizing the march, said that the necessary advertising will still be done and the rally will go on as scheduled.

The high school students plan to march from their schools to the Federal Building, Wednesday, November 3. Carmody, says he expects around twenty high schools and junior high schools to take part in the march.

University students are also urged to join the protest march. Students will meet in the Quad at 1 p.m. and march across the High Level Bridge to the Federal Building where a 2 p.m. rally will be held.

Ban football games or just glass containers?

Students' Council gave moral support last night to a proposal by the Committee on Student Affairs (COSA) to ban glass containers of liquor at campus football and hockey games.

Provost Ryan, in a letter to Students' Council, asked Council to endorse tightening of liquor regulations at these sports events and a ban on glass containers. He said there have been many abuses of liquor, including the throwing of bottles onto of bottles onto the playing field at football games--despite attempts by frat

and res leaders to curb such occurrences

Co-ordinator Doug Black explained that the letter had also been sent to several other organizations, and that the University Athletic Board (UAB) had already endorsed the proposals. Black then moved that Council endorse Provost Ryans proposals.

Ed rep, Wendy Yurchuk asked who would be enforcing the tightened regulations, Black replied that campus patrol has decided on a hands off policy regarding crime at football games. He said that enforcement

would be delegated to the Junior Bears and rent-a-cops (off duty city police officers hired by the UAB).

Arts rep, Barry McLaren, had a better idea. He suggested that Council put the motion in a more palatable form by endorsing a banon football games and just letting people go over and drink. "Nobody would notice the difference" he said.

The motion was voted for in two parts. The portion calling for tightening of liwliquor regulations was defeated. The portion calling for a ban of glass bottles was passed.

The Gateway's regular staff meeting will be held this Thurs. at 3:30 p.m. in the SUB Theatre lobby and will be open to the student body. All those who have criticisms of the paper and those who just want to learn how the paper functions, are encouraged to attend.