

TABLE III.

Judicial Counties remaining under License, without any charge.

County	License		C. T. Act		License	
	1881	1887	1887	1890	1891	
Algoma	31	13	87	69	77	
Essex	121	103	16	36	37	
Grey	49	28	21	17	13	
Haldimand	1	7	17	16	22	
Hastings	51	30	14	49	34	
Nipissing	10	17	13	97	96	
Perth	1	10	8	30	17	
Perth	37	11	12	11	1	
Prescott and Russell	0	0	0	0	5	
Prince Edward	70	16	20	33	19	
Thunder Bay	296	706	118	125	120	
Waterloo	11	11	8	17	13	
Welland	31	23	32	16	7	
Westworth	376	296	373	418	251	
York	1186	1661	2166	2085	1783	
	2563	2986	2999	3020	2518	

Table I of the foregoing list, of course, that which makes clear the result of the Canada Temperance Act on the commitments for drunkenness. It is very instructive. A careful examination of it will show, that, with one exception, every county in which commitments for drunkenness were common, was greatly benefited. In the exceptional county, Oxford, enforcement of the law in the town of Woodstock was very lax. Every other county that had over ten commitments for drunkenness in either 1883 or 1884, shows a startling reduction of such commitments under the Canada Temperance Act. It would be unfair to generalize from any isolated case, but the conclusion from the whole of the counties is irresistible.

The total figures of all the counties named for the different years should

be carefully noted. Then it must be borne in mind that the Canada Temperance Act was new. Its maximum benefit could not be attained until it was long enough in operation to give those charged with its administration the knowledge and success in its enforcement that could only come from study and experience.

Table I includes all the counties that came entirely under the Canada Temperance Act. Excepting Oxford, they had all exactly two full years in Canada Temperance Act experience, and 1887 was one of the Scott Act years in every case. If they are separated into two sets according to the different times of the coming into force of the law, we can compare two Canada Temperance Act years for each set with the preceding and subsequent license years. We then get the following tables:

TABLE IV.

Counties entirely under Canada Temperance Act in 1886-7.

	License		C. T. Act		License	
	1882	1884	1886	1887	1889	1890
Bruce	10	3	2	6	8	6
Elfrink	0	1	3	3	4	2
Huron	5	1	1	0	2	5
Norfolk	18	17	6	7	17	3
Stormont, etc.	8	9	1	1	29	25
	41	34	16	18	60	41