

tion. " When did a Chancellor of the Exchequer, and an Administration, intend an Act so essentially to the Existence of Liberty ?——(Gazetteer, 23d May.)

But let me ask these Champions for Liberty, *the Chancellor of the Exchequer*, and *the Administration*, if they had *intended* to pass the Bill, why did they reject the Resolution which was the best Foundation for the Bill ? If they were in Earnest about it, how came it not to succeed ?——a *Minority* reject a Bill that the MAJORITY really intend !——'Tis an errant Bull.

But do they really talk such a Language of Themselves, That they are unable to go thro' with a Proposition that they think right, unless the Minority will help them out !——That the Minority gave no Countenance to the *sham Offer* of this foolish Bill, is true :——That the rejecting of it lies wholly at *their Door* is false.

Sir J. Philipps (according to report) in a very thin House (the Minister present, but not attended like a Minister who has a Point to carry) moved for Leave to bring in a Bill, to regulate the Secretary of State's Office. Who first opposed, and raised a general