

not. What the Apostle Paul did was this. The Old Testament was there, but to that Jesus **Christ** had added the gospel. And when the gospel had been added, the Apostle Paul had learned it from Jesus Christ and he preached it in his own words. He did not interpret it. There is no need to interpret the scriptures at all. God interprets the scriptures.

Q. I agree there. A. By giving concrete examples of how it works, as we were discussing this morning.

BY MR. DUPUIS:

Q. So you maintain that you never interpret, nor comment on the Bible at all? A. I will not say that we do not comment on it.

Q. This book is not a comment on the Bible. ^{A.} It is a commentary.

MR. MAYBANK: He says he does not interpret, but he does comment.

MR. DUPUIS: Yes.

BY MR. HANSELL:

Q. Of course, I have no objection to interpreting the Bible. I think this is a free country and I believe in religious liberty, and I believe every man has a right to that. A. I have objection to interpreting the Bible, not because of any law in the country, but because Almighty God does his own interpreting. It is the duty of a Christian to read the Bible and do what it says, and not to twist its meaning by interpreting it.

BY THE CHAIRMAN:

Q. Do you say that the Bible, the New Testament and The Acts of the Apostles from which you have been quoting were not interpretations of the Word of God? A. Well, I say that Almighty God inspired the men who wrote the New Testament to say what they did say. Those men were inspired of God