

*Oral Questions*

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. The Hon. Member knows that this is a supplementary question, please, not a preamble.

**Mr. Riis:** My question, Mr. Speaker, is this—

**Mr. Crosbie:** You're the epitome of greed, yourself.

**Mr. Riis:** Be quiet, John. Why would the Minister of State for Finance urge native business leaders to be greedy? Why would she do that?

**Hon. Barbara McDougall (Minister of State (Finance)):** Mr. Speaker, I think I have already explained the remark. I think that the conversation I had with native leaders yesterday was very positive, that I had both during the speech and after the speech, and I think this is a silly question.

**Some Hon. Members:** Hear, hear!

\* \* \*

**CANADIAN WHEAT BOARD****MINISTER'S DISCUSSIONS WITH CHINESE REPRESENTATIVES**

**Mr. Don Ravis (Saskatoon East):** Mr. Speaker, the Minister of State for the Canadian Wheat Board has recently returned from a trip to China with representatives of agricultural organizations from eastern and western Canada. Could the Minister tell the House what results we can expect to see from his discussions with Chinese officials, in terms of increased Canadian sales of wheat and feed grains to China?

**Hon. Charles Mayer (Minister of State (Canadian Wheat Board)):** Mr. Speaker, the Hon. Member will know that China has been a long and valued customer of Canadian grain and oilseed products, so I was very pleased to be able to accept an invitation from China's Minister of Commerce, Mr. Liu Yi, to visit China with a delegation of agri-business people. We went there, not only trying to sell to them, but also emphasizing the fact that we understand two-way trade. I might say that the visit to China by the Prime Minister was also very useful in terms of Canada's ability to maintain and expand grain exports to China. There is no question but that as a result of our visit and that of the Prime Minister, China will be looking to Canada for its grain supplies in the future.

\* \* \*

[*Translation*]

**CRIMINAL CODE****DEFINITION OF PORNOGRAPHY**

**Mrs. Lucie Pépin (Outremont):** Mr. Speaker, I have a question for the Minister of Justice.

Since introduction of the pornography Bill, there have been protests from various interest groups, churches and religious organizations. The definition of pornography is very vague and the normal sexual act is viewed in exactly the same way as bestiality and sexual violence.

Can the Minister of Justice tell us whether the Government intends to amend the definition of pornography?

[*English*]

**Hon. John C. Crosbie (Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada):** Mr. Speaker, for the first time in 20 years this country has a Government not afraid to deal with the question of pornography. We have not appointed some commission or committee on to which we may slough off our responsibility. I can assure the House that I have received hundreds of letters indicating support for our legislation, in the last couple of weeks. The Hon. Member can be impressed by those who write for the media. We all know their tendencies, in any event.

**Some Hon. Members:** Oh, oh!

**Mr. Broadbent:** You're going to regret that, fellow.

**Mr. Crosbie:** I am prepared to listen to the ordinary people of Canada who do not want this kind of visual material made available to children and others across the country.

**Some Hon. Members:** Hear, hear!

**Mr. Broadbent:** It's not going to wash.

[*Translation*]

**CLASSIFICATION OF BREAST-FEEDING OF BABIES**

**Mrs. Lucie Pépin (Outremont):** I do not think that we are dealing with the same category of people.

I would like the Minister of Justice to explain to us why breast-feeding is classified as pornography and as degrading and why it is punishable by five years in prison if depicted in a movie or in a show.

[*English*]

**Hon. John C. Crosbie (Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada):** Mr. Speaker, there will obviously be a parliamentary committee which will consider this legislation. The reason we have parliamentary committees is to consider whether or not any changes in the legislation are needed. The actual subjects of the question of the hon. lady, as to whether they are pornographic or not, we all know that in and of themselves they are not pornographic. However, when we are dealing with other areas, such as oral or anal copulation and the like, then most of us happen to think that these are pornographic if they are delivered for no other purpose than to try to titillate the people who buy such material.