

S.O. 43

FISHERIES

SALMON—CONSTRUCTION OF ENHANCEMENT FACILITIES ON
FRASER RIVER—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Thomas Siddon (Richmond-South Delta): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to propose a motion of urgent and pressing necessity. In view of the seriously threatened state of Fraser River salmon stocks, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Surrey-White Rock-North Delta (Mr. Friesen):

That the government be directed to stop using its singular lack of progress in renegotiating the Canada-U.S. salmon treaty as an excuse for stalling the implementation of major salmon enhancement facilities on the Fraser River system, and that the government get on with building these facilities which must be designed and constructed now if we are to prevent the destruction of Fraser River salmon stocks by 1990.

Madam Speaker: For its presentation, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

● (1410)

[Translation]

FINANCE

REQUEST THAT FEDERAL PARTICIPATION IN SHARED
PROGRAMS BE PUBLICIZED—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. Marcel Dionne (Chicoutimi): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43 to ask for the unanimous consent of the House to introduce the following motion:

Considering that it is difficult to trace the amounts represented by the financial participation of the Government of Canada to cost-sharing programs between the federal government and the provinces, I move, seconded by the hon. member for Portneuf (Mr. Dion):

That the Council of Canadian Ministers should henceforth invite provincial governments to follow an accounting procedure which would highlight the financial participation of each of the two levels of government for all the budgetary items under the cost-sharing agreements and that, in addition, the financial participation of the government of Canada should always appear clearly in the publicity which is made around those programs.

Madam Speaker: The House has heard the terms of the hon. member's motion. Under S.O. 43, such a motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

[English]

THE CONSTITUTION

CALL FOR AMENDMENT OF RESOLUTION—MOTION UNDER
S.O. 43

Mr. Bob Ogle (Saskatoon East): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. In view of the Prime Minister's extremely insightful conclusion in his essay "The Theory and Practice of Federalism", which says:

There is no reason to presume that the federal government will be more enlightened than the sum of the provincial governments, or even than one provincial government acting alone... because a (socialist) province with a planning board might be more likely to plan wisely than a (reactionary) central government with no such board.

I move, seconded by the hon. member for Yorkton-Melville (Mr. Nystrom):

That this House direct the Prime Minister to reread his own writings so that he stops treating provincial governments as second class governments and amends his constitutional resolution to include in it the resource rights upon which is predicated the future economic development of the provinces.

Madam Speaker: For its presentation, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

* * *

ACCESS TO INFORMATION

GOLDFARB CONSULTANTS—CALL FOR INJUNCTION AGAINST
PUBLICATION OF 1981 REPORT—MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Mr. John Bosley (Don Valley West): Madam Speaker, I rise under the provisions of Standing Order 43. Whereas the October 11 edition of the *Financial Post* carries an advertisement by Goldfarb Consultants soliciting subscriptions to its 1981 report which would include: "A detailed treatment of public attitudes towards the debate surrounding a new constitution;" and whereas that information appears to come from the polls which the Canadian Unity Information Office asked Goldfarb to conduct and which the Minister of Justice (Mr. Chrétien) yesterday refused to disclose because, as he said, "disclosure could be injurious to the conduct of federal-provincial affairs", I move, seconded by the hon. member for St. John's East (Mr. McGrath):

That the government be instructed to seek an injunction against the publication or distribution of the 1981 Goldfarb report, and that the Minister of Justice be instructed to take the necessary steps to prevent such private and mercenary misuse of information paid for by all Canadians.

Madam Speaker: For presentation, this motion requires the unanimous consent of the House. Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.