

## Questions

LABOUR CODE DEFERMENT APPLICATIONS BY  
HIGHWAY TRANSPORT COMPANIESQuestion No. 101—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. How many applications of highway transport companies who applied for deferment and for suspension of part 1 of The Canada Labour (Standards) Code, are still under review?
2. When were these applications made to the department?
3. How many workers are covered by each of these applications?
4. What are the reasons for the applications for deferment still being under review by the department?
5. What is the date when the department expects to have settled all these cases which are under review?

**Mr. B. S. Mackasey (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Labour):** 1. 2,645.

2. Applications for deferment were made prior to July 1, 1965. Applications for suspension were made after July 1, 1965.

3. Information not available.

4. An inquiry commission has been established to inquire into and report on hours of work in the inter provincial transport of goods by motor vehicle.

5. Date uncertain, but efforts are being made to settle these cases as soon as possible.

LABOUR CODE DEFERMENT APPLICATIONS BY  
GRAIN ELEVATOR COMPANIESQuestion No. 102—**Mr. Orlikow:**

1. How many applications of grain elevator companies who applied for deferment and for suspension of part 1 of The Canada Labour (Standards) Code, are still under review?
2. When were these applications made to the department?
3. How many workers are covered by each of these applications?
4. What are the reasons for the applications for deferment still being under review by the department?
5. What is the date when the department expects to have settled all these cases which are under review?

**Mr. B. S. Mackasey (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Labour):** 1. One.

2. August 2, 1965.

3. 111.

4. Discussions are now being held with a view of settling this application for suspension.

5. Within one month.

## LEVEL CROSSING FUND PAYMENTS

Question No. 176—**Mr. Allard:**

In 1966, for each province, what amounts were paid out of the federal level crossing fund and what were the percentages of these grants?

**Mr. J. A. Byrne (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport):** The Board of Transport commissioners for Canada advises as follows:—

Distribution of commitments and expenditures from the railway grade crossing fund  
by province—1966

Province	Commitments	per cent of Total Commitments from fund	Expenditures	per cent of Total Expenditures from fund
British Columbia	\$ 905,187	3.91	\$ 1,188,045	8.09
Alberta	624,316	2.70	1,663,256	11.32
Saskatchewan	688,572	2.97	809,329	5.51
Manitoba	2,534,180	10.95	567,419	3.86
Ontario	11,739,876	50.71	7,359,927	50.10
Quebec	4,118,473	17.79	2,045,521	13.93
New Brunswick	511,544	2.21	274,146	1.87
Nova Scotia	338,571	1.46	339,704	2.31
Prince Edward Island	60,080	0.26	18,377	0.13
Newfoundland	1,415,150	6.11	86,202	0.59
Yukon Territory	9,100	0.04		
Non Allocated				
Reflectorization of crossing signs	189,055	0.82	315,872	2.15
Reflectorization of railway cars	19,028	0.08	21,577	0.15
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>\$23,153,132</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$14,689,375</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

[Mr. Mackasey.]