

**Mr. Martin:** Mr. Speaker, I was paired with the hon. member for Cape Breton South (Mr. Gillis). Had I voted I would have voted for the motion to concur.

**Mr. Speaker:** Has the hon. gentleman leave to introduce a bill based on this resolution?

**Mr. Fulton:** On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, I submit that the motion you now propose to put would be an entirely new proceeding. I appreciate that Your Honour has ruled that, under the provisions of standing order 62, whenever a resolution is reported from any committee of the whole, a motion to concur shall be forthwith put and decided without debate or amendment. That has been done. In my submission that ends the proceedings with respect to the making of the report, the receiving of the report and the motion for concurrence. Now we are starting a new proceeding related to the introduction of a bill. I go back to standing order 6(3) which provides that at 10 o'clock on a day like today, unless hereunder otherwise provided, Mr. Speaker shall adjourn the house without question put. If Your Honour should then suggest that the provisions of standing order 6(4) govern, I would point out that it reads as follows:

6. (4) When it is provided in any standing order that the business under consideration at the ordinary time of adjournment be forthwith disposed of or concluded, Mr. Speaker shall not adjourn the house until the specified proceedings be completed.

It was under that provision, as I understand it, that you acted to receive the report and the motion for concurrence in the report because standing order 62 says that the motion to concur shall be put forthwith. Our point now is that these proceedings are concluded. The committee's report has been received and concurred in. Now the minister seeks to make a separate motion related to the introduction of a bill, which is a new proceeding which was not before the committee or the house arising out of the previous stages. Therefore the provisions of standing order 6(3) apply and the house is not at liberty to embark on these new proceedings because the government has moved and the house has accepted no motion altering the ordinary time of adjournment. The ordinary time of adjournment having passed, it is not within the competence of the house, except with unanimous consent, to take up any new business. In case Your Honour or the government should be under any misapprehension, I can assure you that such unanimous consent would not be given.

**Mr. Knowles:** Mr. Speaker, it is not my intention to speak at length on this particular point of order. It seems to me that the

*Northern Ontario Pipe Line Corporation* arguments made by the hon. member for Kamloops (Mr. Fulton) are quite clear. In line with the argument which I made at the previous stage I might say that in addition to the fact that standing order 62, which Your Honour quoted on the last point of order, relates only to the presentation or the receiving of and concurrence in of a report, the introduction of a bill is provided for in a separate standing order, namely standing order 71, paragraphs 1 and 2, and also in two or three other standing orders after that. I mention the fact that the introduction of a bill is completely separate from the resolution in support of the contention that has already been made that we are now at a new proceeding. Frankly, Mr. Speaker, I do not think all of this discussion is necessary at all. I think all I need to say is "Ten o'clock".

**Mr. McCann:** Who are you?

**Mr. Speaker:** When the hon. member says that all he needs to say is "Ten o'clock", he is repeating a point which he attempted to make earlier.

**Mr. Knowles:** What time is it, Mr. Speaker?

**Mr. Speaker:** The time is a quarter to three. If the hon. member to whom I have listened—as I always do—with great reverence will be kind enough to listen to me, I will make my point, following which he will know exactly what his recourse may be.

I am not going to go back at great length to his point that because it is now twelve minutes to three he can call it ten o'clock and I should leave the chair. The hon. member knows very well that when a resolution has been reported and concurred in by the house it is customary—and I repeat "it is customary"—to take—

**Mr. Knowles:** Provided the house is still in session.

**Mr. Speaker:** Of course, if the hon. member wishes to go back to a point that I thought I had already settled, we shall have repetition. Rules of procedure are the discipline which hon. members are prepared to accept for themselves and the authority which they are prepared to give their Speaker to enforce them. That is the situation. The citation No. 1 which the hon. member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) referred to me the other day in Beauchesne's third edition is one that would apply in the circumstances because it states very well what the position is. The point is that the minute the resolution is concurred in, an order issues for leave to introduce the bill. I am going to make the point again. The authority will be found at page 165 of Beauchesne's third edition in