

The Ontario College of Pharmacy.

(Continued from Page 2.)

trary to the provisions of the Pharmacy Act, has proved absolutely abortive, since the Company continues to carry on the drug business, although not qualified under the Act; be it therefore resolved that this be an instruction to the President and the Solicitors of the College of Pharmacy to institute such proceedings in the courts as are necessary to obtain an injunction to restrain the said Company from maintaining, as it has for some time past and still continues to do, a drug department on their premises, said department being contrary to law and very much to the prejudice of the rights and interests of the druggists of this Province and a reflection upon this Council which is constituted largely for the purpose of guarding the rights and interests of the trade under the Pharmacy Act.

This resolution was strongly opposed by Messrs. Petrie, Mackenzie, Clark and Jordan, who claimed that the President had already taken the necessary steps to protect the interests of the trade.

Mr. Mackenzie moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. Petrie, "that the resolution of Mr. Polson be not adopted, as it clearly conflicts with the recommendations of the President, and that the matter stand in abeyance until the Executive Committee reports the President's address, so that the whole matter may be thoroughly and correctly placed before the Board, and until we have obtained the advice of our solicitors, so that we may be properly guided."

The amendment was adopted on the following vote: Yea—Messrs. Mackenzie, Petrie, Clark, McKee, Buchanan, Slavin, Daniel, Jordan and Hall. Nay—Messrs. Polson and D'Avignon.

In the course of the debate, Mr. Petrie claimed that Mr. Polson's attitude was not in the interests of the retail trade, and a somewhat heated cross-fire took place between the two members.

The President reported verbally to the Council that he had, after correspondence with the Board of Underwriters, succeeded in having the T. Eaton Company rated upon their whole stock at the same rate as that charged the regular druggists. This means that while the Company formerly paid 75 cents per thousand, they will in future have to pay \$1.05. On a stock valued at \$300,000, the extra amount they would have to pay per annum would be about \$900. He further informed the members that the solicitor had advised him, that Mr. Lewis, manager of the Eaton drug department, could not compel the College to register him as a pharmaceutical chemist. The intention of the Council, therefore, is to continue to refuse to register him.

The Council then adjourned.

THURSDAY, FEB. 9th.

On resuming at 2.30 on Thursday, Mr. Slavin presented a report from the Execu-

tive and Finance Committee, recommending that various accounts, amounting in all to \$1613, be paid. This was adopted on motion of Messrs. Slavin and McKee.

Mr. Polson introduced a motion, of which he had given notice, that the annual fee be reduced from \$4 to \$2.

Mr. Clark said they were all anxious to make the fee as low as possible, provided it did not interfere with the proper working of the College. They should remember that the fees had been pledged as one of the assets of the College when a loan was being negotiated.

Mr. Daniel moved in amendment, seconded by Mr. McGregor, that the annual fee be reduced to \$1.

Mr. Mackenzie moved in amendment to the amendment, seconded by Mr. McKee, that the resolution of Mr. Daniel, be referred to a committee consisting of the Vice-President, and Messrs. Slavin, Clark and Jordan, to report upon before the adjournment of the present session.

Mr. Clark then asked that letters from the Solicitors, Messrs. Edgar & Malone, and Mr. Kemp, Registrar of the Synod, be read, and these showed that the college fees were a security for the mortgage, and could not be interfered with at present. At Mr. Clark's request these communications were entered upon the minutes.

Mr. McGregor protested that the *Pharmaceutical Journal*, which the druggists were forced to maintain, was not worth the half of ten cents as it did not voice their sentiments in any way.

Mr. Mackenzie followed in a similar strain, and added that as long as he could command a cent of money he would endeavor to prevent any future Council voting money to a journal of that kind.

Mr. Polson's motion was voted upon and lost, his only supporter being his seconder, Mr. D'Avignon.

Mr. Mackenzie's amendment was carried, every one voting for it with the exception of Mr. Polson.

Mr. Polson then, as a question of privilege, brought up the dispute between himself and Mr. Petrie, stating that as his veracity had been called in question, he was prepared to prove that Mr. Petrie's son had applied for and had endeavored to secure registration in the College.

Mr. Petrie replied that his remark was not intended to apply to Mr. Polson's statement, but to his motive in bringing it up.

At the intervention of the chairman the dispute was allowed to drop.

Mr. Daniel then read the report of the Building Committee:

We recommend the purchase of 55 seats from the Upper Canada College at a cost of \$1 per seat; also that authority be granted to accept a tender of \$32.65 for repairs to the reading room on the lower floor.

The report was adopted on motion of Messrs. Daniel and Buchanan.

COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION.

Mr. J. H. Mackenzie presented Report No. 1 of the Committee on Education, which was adopted without change.

With reference to the enquiry of Jos. Plant,

jr., the Committee decided that his apprenticeship qualification was sufficient to admit him to the College without examination.

James A. Louck wrote asking that he be refunded the junior fees, as he only obtained three weeks of practical work in 1891 in consequence of building operations, being put to an expenditure of about \$75 in returning the following year to finish his course. The Committee could not see their way to granting the request.

In reply to a query from Mr. C. L. Crossweller, principal of the Essex High School, the Registrar was instructed to write "That the regulations for examination of candidates for registration as apprentices at this College, and the Departmental regulations were in force when the amendments to the Pharmacy Act were obtained in March, 1889.

Mr. George Graham, who served his apprenticeship in Manitoba, and is at present taking the senior course at the College, was permitted to write for the diploma.

Respecting the application of Andrew M. Dow, for rebate of registration fees, it was decided that this could not be granted under the Pharmacy Act.

Mr. B. M. Sargant wrote, urging that the student who passed the special examination in Analytical Chemistry, be awarded a special diploma. The Committee recommended that in cases where a student desires to have a certificate, setting forth that he had attended a course of instruction in Practical Chemistry and passed the examination, the professor in that branch with the Registrar-treas., be permitted to furnish a certificate to that effect.

In view of the suggestions made by the Board of Examiners, the Committee recommend that the College medals be granted on these conditions only: a. To the candidate obtaining the highest number of marks of not less than 75% of the total for the gold medal, and to the one making the second highest number of marks, and not less than 70% of total for the silver medal. b. Two full terms at the Ontario College of Pharmacy, and to be awarded only at the examination to be taken at completion of the candidates senior term. c. Pass marks must be made on the Practical Chemistry examination.

The Committee recommended that the present form of certificate for students of the College, not eligible for the diploma registration, be amended by adding the words: "This certificate of examination does not entitle the holder thereof to engage in the business of Pharmaceutical Chemist of the Province of Ontario."

Signed, J. H. MACKENZIE, Chairman.
J. MCKEE, JOHN A. CLARK
AND ANGUS BUCHANAN.

The report of the Board of Examiners, giving the list of students who had passed the last examinations, was appended. The results have already appeared in these columns.

The report as presented was adopted.

Mr. Petrie read the report of the Committee on By-laws and Legislation:

It recommended that Mr. G. H. Ferrie be allowed his time from Jan. 26, 1890, as he had complied with the requirements laid down by Council in August last. That Jos. R. Fetherston cannot be allowed the time served outside of Ontario. That Mattie A. Dunn must furnish satisfactory proof of her educational qualifications at the time she entered upon her apprenticeship. Re Fred. A. Powell, James L. Nornall, Wellington Gibson, John S. Reed, Arthur E. Fost, Victor J. Benoit, B. Woodward, Sydney J. Chadwick, Paul W. Helleneger, that they cannot be allowed time served before possessing the educational qualifications as required by the Act. That Albert J. Burlette be allowed to register from Aug. 1st, 1887.

The report was adopted.

FRIDAY, FEB. 10th.

The Council resumed at 11 o'clock on Friday, Mr. Hall presiding.

Mr. J. E. D'Avignon, who gives a medal