have settled down for days and weeks, and even months, to see my work. I have been overwhelmed by the kindliest invitations to visit this continent, but till now I have never ventured across. delay is an instance of British conservatism, for it is very little the fashion amongst us to take long holidays. I have not had a holiday for seven years, and only the most eminent doctors in England take an annual outing; but on this side I find that none of you think much of a trip across the water, involving leaving your businesses for three or four months, and, from what I have heard, the struggle for existence is as keen as it is with us, perhaps My American visitors have, one and all, impressed me with the feature of mind which I fear in England we do not possess—the power of judging any questian solely upon its merits, and entirely apart from any prejudice, tradition, or personal bias. No matter how we may struggle against it, tradition rules all we do; we cannot throw off its shackles, and I am bound to plead guilty to this weakness myself, perhaps as fully as any of my countrymen may be compelled to do. I may have broken free in some few places, but I know I am firmly bound in others; and my hope is, that my visit to a freer country and a better climate may extend my mental vision.

To come to my intended illustration, let me briefly remind you of the early history of abdominal surgery. The first operation for the removal of an ovarian tumor was performed unwittingly, in 1701, in a Scotch village; for Robert Houston began there a tapping, and finished by making a successful ovariotomy. It was not till 1809, eightysix years after Houston's case was published, that his example was imitated, and even then it was not in Europe, but in the fresh soil of the backwoods of Kentucky that the young seedling obtained its first full growth, and from that time and from this country dates the history of abdominal surgery. But how slow the growth! In 1863 I heard my master, the Professor of Surgery in the Uhiversity of Edinburgh, settle all this vast field of human progress in these few words: "Abdominal surgery is abominable surgery." Syme, the greatest surgeon by far with whom I have ever come in misrepresentation and abuse. In 1878 the doccontact, shared the views of his colleague in this matter, and I fear that in both the sentiments preaching on the part of Mr. Lister, had penetrated originated far less in the merits of the question to London and were taken up by Mr. Wells and

timent they had in common) of John Lizars, who, having read Macdonald's manuscript when it was sent to John Bell, was immensely struck by the success of the heroic Kentuckian, and was desirous of following his brilliant example. Most unfortunately for humanity, the success of Lizars was of a very doubtful kind, and abdominal surgery had to wait for the advent of Dr. Charles Clay and Mr. Isaac Baker Brown. The story of the latter brilliant and unfortunate surgeon is now a twice-told tale, and I can only repeat what I have said at length elsewhere—that his disastrous downfall was a misfortune for humanity, delaying as it did the progress of abdominal surgery for fully a quarter of a century. The whole question of this progress lay in the peculiarly narrow issue as to whether the pedicles of ovarian tumours should be dealt with inside the peritoneum or outside it. Here, again, the new country was first in the race; for between 1820 and 1830 the decision in favour of the intra-peritoneal treatment was given in America in such a way that the question ought never to have been reopened. The arbitrament of abdominal surgery between 1866 and 1876 was left in the hands of a man still living, and he carried through his practice a mortality so heavy as to be absolutely prohibitive of fresh enterprise. Mr. Baker Brown left off practice in 1866 with a mortality of ten per cent. with the cautery, whilst, after operating on a thousand cases, Mr. Spencer Wells had a mortality of twelve per cent. in the last hundred with the ligature, and over the whole thousand the mortality was exactly twenty-five per cent. With such results as these, the marvel is not that the conservative surgeons cried out twenty years ago that the craft wa in danger, but that the removal of ovarian tumours ever became an accepted operation at all. As I have said over and over again, as I shall never tire of saying, to Keith is due the whole credit of the modern development of abdominal surgery, and it has ever seemed to me specially hard that while wealth and a title has been the lot of the man who had done nothing but obstruct progress, yet to the author of our present proud position, nothing has come save a good deal of trines and practice of Lister, after twelve years of than in their mutual dislike (almost the only sen- his assistants. I had practised all the details in