

1600  
NATIONAL CASH REGISTERS  
Sole and exclusive agents for the  
OFFICE, 63 KING WEST.

THIRTEENTH YEAR.

FLEMING-MACLEAN LIBELS.

A SETTLEMENT REACHED BETWEEN THE PARTIES.

The Public Must Read It and Draw Their Own Conclusions.—The Telegram has been the recipient of a letter from Mr. Fleming, dated June 22, 1933, in which he states that he has reached a settlement with Mr. Maclean regarding the libel suit.

"FLEMING-MACLEAN LIBELS." "These cases being called at the opening of the court, it was announced by counsel for the private prosecutor and the defendant that they should not be tried, the good sense of the parties being evident in the settlement of the controversy. It is agreed between the parties that the indictments and all the pleas, including the plea of justification, be withdrawn.

"In the bundle case the defendant published what he believed to be a fair report of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant. Counsel for defendant finds the transaction to be a business dispute between Rundle and Mayor Fleming over the state of accounts between them.

"The defendant says that the statements made by him were made without malice, and he denies intending to charge the Mayor with any criminal or quasi-criminal act, and the private prosecutor accepts such denial.

"In the other case, the trial of this case would involve the review of the past conduct of a third party, who has been seeking to restate himself with the public.

"The charges, if any, against the Mayor in the article complained of in the withdrawal of the plea of justification. So this matter drops.

"DATED at the Amies Court, Toronto, June 22, 1933.

B. B. OSLER,  
of Counsel for the private prosecutor.

S. H. BLAKE,  
of Counsel for defendant.

Such is the settlement of these cases. The public can read the terms and draw their own conclusions. The World had no intention of referring to the matter other than to simply publish the settlement, but as E. J. Fleming, who is a newspaperman, has taken backwater, etc., we propose to analyze the agreement with a commentary.

Agreement: It is agreed between the parties that the indictments and all the pleas, including the plea of justification, be withdrawn.

Comment: The indictment being withdrawn, there was nothing to be tried. Without a cause there can be no effect.

Agreement: In the Rundle case the defendant published what he believed to be a fair report of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

RIGHTS OF CANADIAN SEALERS.

Mr. Phelps Says the Hearing Sea Dispute Rests on the Question of Canada's Rights to Take Seal.

PARKS, June 22.—At the Court of Arbitration today, Mr. Phelps, counsel for the United States, stated the issue rested on the one question: Have the Canadian sealers the right to take the seal? All the other questions were merely secondary.

He admitted the principle of freedom of the seas, but contended that sealing was an abuse, consequently it was illegal. Mr. Phelps extolled the diplomacy of Lord Salisbury, who invariably considered everything America asked for when he was not prevented by the interference of the Canadian Government. In commenting upon this effective interference, Mr. Phelps said that the British in order to deny the laws of their own country the right to continue destroying the seal species. And if so, why not the right to seal?

Other questions might be involved but they were merely incidental. A similar might be asked: Is the seal an indiscriminate distinction of the seal, including the slaughter of the seal animals proceeding towards the Pribilof Islands, the land of the seal, and of the moose?

The trial of this case would involve the review of the past conduct of a third party, who has been seeking to restate himself with the public.

The charges, if any, against the Mayor in the article complained of in the withdrawal of the plea of justification. So this matter drops.

DATED at the Amies Court, Toronto, June 22, 1933.

B. B. OSLER,

of Counsel for the private prosecutor.

S. H. BLAKE,

of Counsel for defendant.

Such is the settlement of these cases. The public can read the terms and draw their own conclusions.

Agreement: It is agreed between the parties that the indictments and all the pleas, including the plea of justification, be withdrawn.

Comment: The indictment being withdrawn, there was nothing to be tried.

Agreement: In the Rundle case the defendant published what he believed to be a fair report of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

Comment: That is, that the defendant's solicitors did not make certain charges against Robert John Fleming, and that the World's report of these was a "fair report" of the statements made by Rundle to creditors from reports actually made to the defendant.

THEY ALL WANT SUNDAY CARS.

WHAT PARK COMMISSIONER CHAMBERS HAS TO SAY.

Thousands Who Have Heard of the Beauties of the Parks Have Never as Yet Been Able to Visit Them—How Strangely Are Deeds Done from the City—What the Cemetery Managers Say.

The question of Sunday cars has been the main topic of discussion among citizens since the sizzard struck the town the other day, and hundreds now support the running of the cars who never did before, while those who always advocated them now advocate them the more. The petition appears in The World this morning for the last time. Fully 8000 signatures in all have been obtained. An additional 1000 will be obtained by Sunday.

The World yesterday took a walk among the hotels, the caretakers of the cemeteries and had a chat with Park Commissioner Chambers. The results make interesting reading.

What Park Commissioner Chambers Says: Park Commissioner John Chambers is a strong advocate for Sunday cars. He thinks that anyone taking a walk Sunday afternoon through the thickly populated parts of the city where the working class reside would soon become a convert to the idea.

On the shady side of all the streets can be found the workman and his family, and he was pleased to find that the children, hot and uncomfortable, dressed in their best bib and tucker, have to content themselves by continuing to play also, in these sections of the city.

If street cars were running, Mr. Chambers is positive that the parks would be crowded on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

How the Hotels View It. Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

Mr. Chambers thinks that the Christian Church, which is the only one of its kind in the city, should be closed on Sunday. He says that many who do not yet never had a chance to visit the parks.

SUNDAY CARS.

TO HIS WORSHIP THE MAYOR AND ALDERMEN OF THE CITY OF TORONTO.

WHEREAS at a meeting of the City Council held Jan. 10, 1931, it was moved by Ald. Leslie, seconded by Ald. Lindsay, That at any time during the lease of the streets of this city for street railway purposes, a new tender to be received on Feb. 2 next, a petition be presented to this council, signed by 8000 ratepayers qualified to vote for members of this council, asking that the question of street cars on Sunday be submitted to the ratepayers, the said question shall be submitted in due course.

WHEREAS Section 40 of the Agreement between the City Council and the Kely-Everett Syndicate stipulates: "No cars shall be run on the Lord's Day until a Sunday Service has been approved by the citizens by a vote taken on the question."

The undersigned ratepayers, qualified to vote for members of the council, respectfully request that the ratepayers be given an opportunity forthwith of expressing their opinion for or against the running of street cars on Sunday:

NAME. ADDRESS.

When Petition is signed please send to The World Office.

thor in driving out in a private coach.

An Unknown Spot to Tourists.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

Mr. Thompson, the caretaker of Mount Pleasant, was found amidst his summer houses. He said many people visited Mount Pleasant, but not as many as they should.

CHANGES IN THE IRISH BILL.

IMPORTANT ALTERATIONS IN THE FINANCIAL CLAUSES.

Ireland to Collect and Manage Taxation: Except Customs and Excise Duties. Parallels, Oppose the Clause, Preventing Ireland Regulating Existing Taxation as Unjust and Humiliating.

LONDON, June 22.—In the House of Commons today Mr. Gladstone stated the alterations the Government had decided to make in the financial clauses of the Irish Home Rule bill.

He proposed a provisional term of six years to effect the financial arrangements. No change in managing or collecting the existing taxes would be made. The Irish Parliament would be empowered to establish new taxes.

Ireland's contribution to the Imperial Exchequer would be one-third of the assessed revenue. Also the whole yield of any taxes imposed upon Ireland by the Imperial Parliament except for war or special purposes. Contributions to the Imperial Exchequer would be revised.

It would be provided that Ireland should collect and manage taxation with the exception of the customs and excise duties.

Mr. John Redmond, Parliamt. member for Waterford City, gave notice that he would oppose the clause preventing the Irish Parliament from collecting and regulating existing taxation as unjust and humiliating to Ireland.

Irish Leaders Disappointed. Interviews with Justin McCarthy and other Irish leaders show that they are disappointed over the proposed