

N. B. These compose the judges of whom it has been stated, they attempted to blast the character of a clergyman, whom the Church holds in esteem for many years of honorable service, and to wreck the peace and happiness of his family. Archdeacon Marsh will be justified by all men of uprightness and integrity, should he feel constrained to appeal to Caesar's Court. If he be *not guilty* his accusers will, when driven, be glad to say, "This man might have been released; if he had not appealed to Caesar."

REVEREND J. B. RICHARDSON.

This gentleman is not a member of the Council, but he shows his hand against Archdeacon Marsh, and is distinguished (*I extinguished*) by bringing up the rear. He was Clerical Secretary to the Synod, and entrusted with communications addressed to that, the most Supreme Ecclesiastical body of the Church within the Diocese. It is asserted by Archdeacon Marsh, that as a member of the Synod, he sent him a communication for that body when it met; but like the other "*special*" business at the Council, it was "*kept back*." Mr. Richardson has placed himself in an unfortunate position. As the Secretary, and receiving as such, a communication for the Synod, his duty was clear: no individual, whatever his office, should have been allowed to turn him from the path of duty. This gentleman has been but two years in the Diocese, and it is certain he did not merit the office on account of length of service in the Diocese, whilst his unfitness is established by dishonestly keeping back a document belonging to the Synod. It must be seen thereby, that he was not merely guilty of dereliction of duty,—not simply of showing disrespect to the Synod, but having received a communication in sacred trust, he was guilty by withholding it, of violating that trust, and therefore of