En Garde!



by John Roggeveen
Pierre Elliot Trudeau, Chief Justice
Bora Laskin, The Edmonton Journal, and
Ron Collister are all out of touch with
reality when it comes to the "Berger

What is the Berger Affair you ask? What is the Berger Arial you ask?
For those of you who only read the sports section, the Berger Affair centers around B.C. Justice Tom Berger and an article he wrote for the Toronto Globe and Mail about native rights and the Constitu-

Berger, to be succinct, seems to feel the Constitution does not adequately protect the rights of Canada's native people. In time we will know if Berger is right

right.

Berger's opinion, however, is not what got him into hot water. Berger's mistake, apparently, was that he dared to make a public statement about a controversial political issue.

Not one of those who berated Berger

Not one of those who berated Berger presented a cogent argument to back himself up. It seems obvious that they didn't put much thought into their criticisms which, except for Laskin's, were rather vague. Whenever I see such vague criticisms, I can't help but wonder if the

critics really know what they are talking about, or if they are being purposely vague so they can pull the wool over someone's

Laskin said that "In my understan-

Laskin said that "In my understanding, . . judges are expected to abstain from participation in political controversy. He also said, "Unbelievably, some members of the press and some in public office in this country seem to think that freedom of speech for the judges gave them the full scope of participation and comment on current folicical controversies, on current social and political issues."

"Was there ever such ignorance of history and principle?" Laskin asked the Canadian Bar Association audience. I'm amazed at what Laskin has said. I may be a blind man but I can see no legitimate reason for judges refraining from commenting on political issues.

Certainly, tradition is no excuse, as Laskin seems to think. The reasons behind tradition may merit considerationbut mere force of habit should carry no weight.

Lagree that it is wise to prevent judges from holding political office and to keep politicians off the bench to avoid conflict of interest.

But, holding political office is not the same as expressing political opinions. Just as ordinary cicizens can speak their minds about political issues, judges also should

about political issues, judges also should not be muzzled.

Judges are; hopefully, among the wisest members of our society. Forcing them to be silent when important political issues are being discussed is a grave mistake. Such a practice would most surely be detrimental to our society's development. The only purpose served by silencing judges is in muffling political dissent. It would be a good way of subverting your opposition if you could simply appoint them all to the judiciary. Maybe that is what Frudeau tried to do. Trudeau said that someching' about Berger. Trudeau seried to comething' about Berger. Trudeau seried.

do. I rudeau sain that someone should do something about Berger. Trudeau seems to have more influence on the judiciary than he thinks it should have on him. It wasn't very long after Trudeau made his remark that another B.C. judge lodged a complaint about Berger, even though Berger had spoken out before without any big stink.

Ron Collister's support of Berger was rather weak. Collister said that, "Jour-nalists are supposed to report and not show

their own feelings. Doctors, lawyers, accountants are not free to discuss their cases. And judges, above all others, should stay silent except to interpret the law in cases that are brought before them. They don't make laws: they interpret them." (his

What a bunch of bafflegab! Collister should get a job with the Ministry of Propaganda. There are good reasons why doctors, lawyers, and accountants keep silent. There are also good reasons why journalists don't give their opinions when they are reporting news. But, there is no good reason why judges should keep quiet "except to interpret the law" as Collister suggests. suggests.

suggests.

No one, I'm sure, is going to suggest that by keeping quiet about an issue judges will be more impartial than if they speak out. Unless, of course, that person lives in Never-never land.

A question that keeps tickling my brain is this: Does Chief Justice Laskin feel he deserves special privileges or is the Berger Affair not a controversial political

issue, eh?
I applaud Berger's guts. I hope there are more judges like him.



T1-55 II Our Price \$54.95



Our \$34.95



Price \$139.95



- * Toshiba
- Casio
- * Key Cutting
- **Batteries**

Campus Digital Shack

9113 - 112 St., HUB Mall 432-0521

"Your Calculator and Watch Headquarters"



The University of Alberta 75th Anniversary 1908-1983 What we do affects you.

Scholarship and Innovation:

Symposium on Human Evolution

A Symposium on Human Evolution over the past 5,000,000 years will be held on 4 and 5 October, from 9:00 a.m. each day in the Students' Union Building of The University of Alberta.

The symposium will feature renowned experts from around the world; from Canada, the United States, Indonesia, the Republic of South Africa and the People's Republic of China. Topics to be discussed will include Peking and Java Man, Hominid Evolution in Africa, the Human Brain and the Evolution of Human Behaviour.

The public is invited to attend.

Tickets are \$10 each. Order your tickets now by telephoning 432-5145 or send cheques payable to: SUB Ticket Centre, Students' Union Building, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, TSG 2J7

(For further information about the symposium or about other 75th Anniversary events, telephone the Office of Community Relations, 432-2325.)

The University of Alberta A 75th Anniversary Event

Telephone Directory Notice

The Students' Union Telephone Directory will be available for distribution in October. If you don't want your name and telephone number to appear, come in to the Students' Union Receptionist, Rm. 259 SUB.

Deadline September 24, 1982

ECKANKAR

On Campus Club on display Sept. 13 - 17 SUB. Difference between Eckankar and other teachings

One of perhaps the most important differences between ECKANKAR and any other teaching is that the individual can experience the heavenly worlds' now, during this lifetime. Maybe not the first day, or the first month. It takes some maybe three months, others three years, but they learn how to experience the different levels of heaven and know what exists for themselves. If they take the time and a little initiative, there'll be no doubt in their minds they're ready to leave this plane of action, this physical world, when their time is up.

One of the goals of the individual on this path of ECKANKAR is that they unfold themselves into that area of becoming master of their own world and

You are invited to the ECKANKAR Centre Open House at 9301 - 118 Ave. Edmonton, Fri. Sept. 17, 7 - 9 pm., Sat. Sept. 18, 10 - 5 pm., Sun. Sept. 19, 10 - 5 pm.

Watch for the Charlie'. Opening of Main Floor SUB