

6th Witness for Prosecution K-2149 - C.Q.M.S. Wright, A.E., R.M.R.Attd.I(R)T.C.
being duly sworn, was examined by the prosecutor, and states:-

"I am C.Q.M.S. "A" Company. On 16th April, 1940, M-16788 Pte. Elkowich, George was reported to me as an absentee. I took an inventory of his clothing and equipment, and found him to be deficient in 17 articles, to the value of \$26.45."

Defending Officer declines to cross-examine.

R.P. 83B is complied with.

7th Witness for Prosecution M-37238 A/Sgt. Lloyd, C. Attd. I.(R).T.C.
being duly sworn, was examined by the prosecutor, and states:-

"At Currie Barracks, on June 1st, 1940, I was A/C.Q.M.S. "A" Company, when M-16788 Pte. Elkowich, G. was returned to Barracks. On checking his kit with the record made by C.Q.M.S. Wright, I found him still to be deficient on 13 articles of clothing and equipment with a total value of \$21.59, as follows:-

1 Blouse, serge	\$ 5.38✓
1 Trousers, serge	5.25✓
1 Cap, F.S.	1.01✓
1 Drawers, Woollen	1.15✓
1 Gloves, Woollen	.75✓
1 Bottle, water	.60✓
1 Shirt, Woollen	11.15✓
1 Carrier, bottle,wtr	.46✓
1 Cover Mess tin	.13✓
1 Haversack, Webb	2.35✓
1 Pack Webb	2.62✓
2 Straps,spt.Webb	.28✓
1 Tin Mess	.46✓

\$ 21.59

Q.9 "How do you know the shortages in the kit of the accused as regards clothing and equipment as on the date of which he went absent?"

A.9. "From the record of C.Q.M.S. Wright in the Company books. He was deficient 17 articles but he brought back four with him, sir".

Q.10. "What articles did he bring back with him".

A.10. "1 Pr. Boots; 1 Pr. Laces, boot; 1 Comb and 1 Pr. Braces".

Defending Officer declines to cross-examine.

R.P. 83B is complied with.