

er widowhood
ession of her
crowned and
d, which now
same initials;
larger, having
d Scotland on
ent of Este of
the first and
e, crowned or;
e fleurs-de-lis,
One supporter
owned eagle of
miniature of her
ich there is an

Mary Beatrice,
weary pilgrim-
old friends in
s to have been
n those of the
ermains. Early
to lord Mar,
political desig-
Andrew's [the
ord] about the
for compassing
Percy, [parlia-

This mantle
the use of the
amended "that
drew, "should
order to bring
with so notable
was also to be
[lady Petre,]

H. Glover, esq., vol.

thanking her for what she had done, and informing her that her son's affairs required further assistance; and another letter to the same purpose to Mr. Newcomb, [the duke of Norfolk,] and to send with these letters two blank powers for raising mantle, [money,]—one for Mr. Allan, [the earl of Arran,] which he might make use of with such of the Primrose family [Protestants] as he should think fit, and another for any person whom he and the duke of Norfolk should think proper to be employed among Rogers's people, [Roman-catholics]." Another paper to the same effect in her majesty's collection, is supposed, by the learned editor of the newly published volume of the Stuart Papers¹ containing the Atterbury correspondence, to have been sent first to the queen-mother at St. Germain's, who forwarded it to her son, the chevalier de St. George at Urbino, where he was then residing.

From the same volume, it appears that the chevalier had been justly displeased with the conduct of her majesty's almoner, Mr. Lewis Innes, who, when employed to make a French translation of a letter addressed by that prince to the reverend Charles Leslie, and through him to the whole body of the Protestant clergy, had put a false interpretation on certain passages,—a most insidious piece of priestcraft, intended by Innes for the benefit of his own church, but calculated, like all crooked dealings, to injure the person he pretended to serve. James, in a letter to the duke of Ormonde on the subject, expressed himself disgusted with the proceedings of the coterie at St. Germain's, and said that, with the exception of the queen his mother, he did not desire to have any thing more to do with any of them. "Their principles and notions, and mine," continues he, "are very different; former mistakes are fresh in my memory, and the good education I had under Anthony [queen Mary Beatrice] not less. So that I am not at all fond of the ways of those I have lived so long with, nor the least imposed on by their ways and reasonings."² Not contented with a strong expres-

¹ J. H. Glover, esq., librarian to her majesty Queen Victoria.

² Stuart Papers, edited by Glover, vol. i. pp. 24, 25.