his purpose, he would by his will impose upon his heirs and legatees the duty of continuing the litigation until they succeeded in wresting from me my property! This is a fact, and I confess that, startled, alarmed by the possible fate of my descendants, I resolved to anticipate the posthumous war with which they were threatened.

Judges Duval, Badgly, Meredith and Stuart, it is true, are against me; but I trust in God and in trial by Jury. Impoverished as I have been by this litigation, I may not immediately have the means of paying for the publication of such notice of them as each may deserve; but it is perfectly plain that every man in this community may be treated by them as I have been.

Reverting to Chief Justice Duval, of whose manner of dealing with the most important questions I have given a sample, I will only add that his whole allocution on that occasion, as on every occasion upon which I have been present, has been quite equal to the foregoing sample.

In open court, except at a price which no father, the sole stay of a young family, can afford to pay, it is impossible to resist judicial arrogance, to expose and punish judicial incapacity or unfitness. But I may here safely inform the Chief Justice that whether the ordinance had at any time "gone almost out of use" in France is of no kind of importance, nor is what "Domat says" on the subject worth noting, though the "reviving" of the ordinance for actions such as mine certainly militates in my favor. For the information of the Chief Justice, however, I submit that my success depended upon the fact that, on the 13th September 1759, on the day of the capitulation which followed, at the time of the