

Supply

tions should not be so as to make it impossible for other people to perform their equally legitimate duties.

Mr. Murphy: Mr. Speaker, on the same point—

Mr. Speaker: I will listen to the hon. member for Churchill, but very briefly. This is going well beyond a point of order. It is getting into debate. Perhaps the hon. member has a very brief point. I am bound by the rules, as are we all.

Mr. Murphy: Mr. Speaker, my further comments are going to be very short and very brief as you requested.

I understand that it was a Board of Internal Economy request that there be some regulations. I understand that there was a request that there be some area guaranteed for demonstrations.

My concern is that the actual regulation that came out, first, allows too much discretion by the minister on this issue. Second, the traditional area—

Mr. Speaker: I have been very patient here. The hon. member for Churchill has raised a matter concerning some regulations with respect to demonstrations on the Hill. There has been at least some response from the hon. minister, the House leader of the government.

I am going to ask hon. members to discuss this matter among themselves. If it cannot be settled, then of course I will hear hon. members again. This is no longer a point of order. This is a debate. I must be bound by the rules as we all are.

CORRECTION TO HANSARD

Mr. Peter Milliken (Kingston and the Islands): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. It is rare that I rise in this House to make a correction to *Hansard*, but there was an error made in the debates as reported at page 9247. In the column on the left side of the page, about the third paragraph down I was speaking about the government House leader in the course of my speech the other evening. The sentence was seriously misunderstood.

I would like to read the corrected sentence. It should be: "He got completely confused and obviously he completely befuddled every other member of the government who spoke on this subject because they have all

agreed with the nonsense he spouted out yesterday, saying that the Senate was somehow acting improperly".

• (1510)

Mr. Speaker: Could I ask the hon. member if that is what the hon. member said?

Mr. Milliken: I may have said that, Mr. Speaker. What *Hansard* reported was the word "opposition" instead of the word "government". Of course the government House leader did not befuddle the opposition; he befuddled his own members.

Some hon. members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Milliken: The difficulty I am in is that if I said it it was because of the braying that was going on at the time and I was getting confused.

Mr. Speaker: Given the sentence that the hon. member read out, I have heard exactly the same sentence used on both sides of the House within minutes of each other.

Mr. Milliken: I just wanted to get the record straight.

Mr. Speaker: I am sure that somebody will do the very best they can to straighten up the record. I thank the hon. member for his intervention.

GOVERNMENT ORDERS
SUPPLY

ALLOTTED DAY, S. O. 81—REGIONAL DISPARITIES

The House resumed consideration of the motion of Mr. Nault:

That this House condemn the government for its failure to protect, support and promote the regional economies of Canada, in order to eliminate regional disparities in the Atlantic provinces, northern Ontario and western and northern Canada.

Hon. Tom Hockin (Minister of State (Small Businesses and Tourism)): Madam Speaker, I would like to enter this debate to speak on the supply motion before the House today. We have a unique opportunity this afternoon to correct the record on economic development programs put forward by the federal government in northern Ontario. That is what I would like to concentrate on in my remarks this afternoon.