## (Division No. 153)

# YEAS

	C 11	
Axworthy	Gauthier	Nicholson
Berger	Gray	(Trinity)
Boudria	(Windsor West)	Penner
Caccia	Guilbault	Pépin
Copps	(Saint-Jacques)	Prud'homme
de Corneille	Henderson	Rompkey
Finestone	Hopkins	Rossi
Foster	Kaplan	Tobin
Frith	Lapierre	Turner
Gagliano	Malépart	(Vancouver Quadra)—26.

	NAYS			
Members				
Althouse	Fretz	Nowlan		
Andre	Friesen	Nystrom		
Angus	Fulton	Oberle		
Beatty	Gagnon	O'Neil		
Benjamin	Gass	Oostrom		
Bernier	Gervais	Orlikow		
Bertrand	Girard	Paproski		
Binns	Gormley	Parry		
Blais-Grenier	Gottselig	Pennock		
Bourgault	Graham	Peterson		
Boyer	Greenaway	Plourde		
Bradley	Guilbault	Ravis		
Brightwell	(Drummond)	Redway		
Brisco	Gurbin	Reid		
Broadbent	Halliday	Reimer		
Browes	Hamilton	Robinson		
Cadieux	Harvey	Rodriguez		
Caldwell	Heap	St. Germain		
Cassidy	Hicks	St-Julien		
Champagne	Hnatyshyn	Schellenberg		
(Saint-Hyacinthe-Bagot)	Hockin	(Nanaimo-Alberni)		
Champagne	Horner	Schellenberger		
· (Champlain)	Hovdebo	(Wetaskiwin)		
Charest	Howie	Scott		
Clark	Hudon	(Victoria-Haliburton)		
(Brandon-Souris)	James	Scott		
Collins	Jepson	(Hamilton-Wentworth)		
Cook	Jewett	Scowen Scowen		
Corbett		Siddon		
Cossitt	Keeper	Stackhouse		
Côté	Kempling			
(Lac-Saint-Jean)	Kilgour	Stewart		
Côté	King	Tardif		
(Langelier)	Langdon	(Charlesbourg)		
Crofton	Layton	Taylor		
	Leblanc	Thacker		
Crosby (Halifax West)	Lewis	Towers		
	MacDonald	Tremblay		
Crouse	(Kingston and	(Québec-Est)		
Darling	the Islands)	Tremblay		
Daubney	Malone	(Lotbinière)		
Deans	Manly	Tupper		
de Cotret	Mantha	Turner		
Desjardins	Marin	(Ottawa-Carleton)		
Domm	Martin	Valcourt		
Dorin	McCain	Vankoughnet		
Duplessis	McCurdy	Vézina		
Edwards	McDougall	Vincent		
Elzinga	(St. Paul's)	Waddell		
Epp	McInnes	Warner		
(Thunder Bay-Nipigon)	McKenzie	Wilson		
Epp	McKinnon	(Swift Current-		
(Provencher)	McMillan	Maple Creek)		
Fennell	Merrithew	Wise		
Ferland	Mitchell	Witer		
Fontaine	Nickerson	Young—145.		
Fraleigh	Nielsen			

#### Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion lost.

• (1600)

Point of order, the Hon. Member for Hamilton Mountain (Mr. Deans).

Mr. Deans: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order to seek your guidance on the events which have just occurred. I want

## Statements by Ministers

first to raise with you, Sir, whether you might consider and perhaps rule later on a question I want to place before you, that being that it was my understanding and has been ever since my entry into the House of Commons that it was necessary for a motion to be before the House in order that a motion to adjourn could be considered. I have attempted personally, in times past, to move adjournment during Routine Proceedings and I have had that adjournment motion ruled out of order inasmuch as there was no motion before the House. I would very much appreciate if at some time in the future you might reflect upon that and perhaps give guidance to the House as to the occasions during which such a motion might be acceptable.

The second point I want to raise for your consideration is this. Upon making such a motion to adjourn it must be assumed by the mover that the question could be decided in the affirmative. Thus the motion today had the potential of preventing my colleague from commenting on the statement of the Minister. That is most unusual behaviour. I can think of no occasion in this House of Commons—

### Some Hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Deans: I can think of no occasion in this House of Commons where such a display of bad manners and lack of co-operation has ever been accepted.

Some Hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Foster: We knew he needed time to prepare, Ian.

Mr. Speaker: The Hon. Member raises two points, one of which is clearly not a point of order. The other one has caused me, I can tell the Hon. Member, some difficulty. He may have seen me, when I had a sense of what might be coming, consulting book after book after book. Let my try to say in the best way I know that it would give the Chair very useful quidance if the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization were to deal with this question. I think that is all I should say on that matter.

The Hon. Member for Essex-Windsor (Mr. Langdon) is rising to respond to the Minister's statement?

Mr. Steven W. Langdon (Essex-Windsor): Mr. Speaker, I do not want to deal with either the question of lateness of receipt of the official statement or with the points raised by my House Leader concerning the performance of the Liberal Party. Let me start with the conclusion of the Minister's statement where he says, I think eloquently, that the state of the St. Clair River is an indictment of our society for its carelessness and short-sightedness. That is absolutely true. That is the touchstone from which we should start. That is the basis on which we should proceed. If we take the situation in front of us, one which is summed up by the fact that at least 12 of the major pollutants which are part of the blob in the St. Clair River are also part of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency priority pollutants, we have a small measure of just why that Minister and his Government have said that we face an awesome situation with respect to the cleanup of that river.