

Caron, Carrier, Cayley, Chamberlin, Chauveau, Cheval, Cimon, Colby, Costigan, Crawford (Brockville), Crawford (Leeds), Currier, DeNiverville, Desaulnier, Dobbie, Drew, Dufresne, Fortin, Gaucher, Gaudet, Gendron, Gibbs, Grant, Gray, Grover, Holton, Howland, Huntington, Irvine, Keller, Kempt, Kirkpatrick, Langlois, Langevin, Lapum, Lawson, Little, McDonald (Glengarry), Sir John A. Macdonald, McDonald (Middlesex), Masson (Soulanges), Masson (Terrebonne), McCarthy, McConkey, McDougall, McMillan (Vaudreuil), Morison (Victoria, O.), Munro, Merritt, Perry, Pinsonneault, Pouliot, Renaud, Robitaille, Rose, Ross (Champlain), Ross (Dundas), Rymal, Scatcherd, Shanly, Simard, Sproat, Stephenson, Sylvain, Tilley, Tremblay, Walsh, Webb, White, Whitehead, Wilson Wright—85.

The following are the rates of duty that the various grades of sugar would pay under the new tariff, as calculated by Mr. Jones:—For the purposes of comparison with the late scale, six different rates of cost, price and of duties on each, to correspond, are given, namely: \$3, \$2.50, \$2.28, \$2, \$1.62, and \$1.20.

Hon. Mr. Holton, in explanation of his vote, said the proposition of the member for Halifax had appeared to him open to the objection that it proposed to levy the same rates of specific duty on all classes of sugars, without any reference whatever to their value. The Government proposition, he thought, was open to the same objection, that it did not classify sugar sufficiently; but the proposition of the member for Halifax was still more objectionable from his point of view than that of the Government.

The resolution relating to sugar and molasses, and also the 25 and 10 per cent lists were agreed to.

On the resolution relating to the 5 per cent list—

Hon. Mr. Chauveau moved in amendment that the printed books, pamphlets and periodicals, not foreign reprints of British copyright works, and blank account books, books to be written or drawn upon, etc., be placed on the free list.

Lost—Yeas, 45; Nays, 65.

YEAS—Anglin, Bellerose, Bourassa, Bown, Brousseau, Burton, Cameron (Inverness), Chauveau, Coffin, Colby, Coupal, Currier, DeNiverville, Forbes, Gaudet, Godin, Grant, Holton, Irvine, Jones (Halifax), Kempt, McDonald (Antigonish), Mackenzie, Masson

(Soulanges), Masson (Terrebonne), McLellan, Mills, Morison (Victoria), Oliver, Pacquet, Parker, Pozer, Ray, Ross (Victoria, N.S.), Rymal, Savary, Scatcherd, Stephenson, Stirton, Tremblay, Walsh, Webb, Whitehead, Workman, Wright—45.

NAYS—Beaty, Bechard, Benoit, Bertrand, Blanchet, Bolton, Bowell, Brown, Burpee, Campbell, Carling, Caron, Cartier, Cayley, Cheval, Cimon, Connell, Costigan, Crawford, Desaulnier, Dobbie, Drew, Dufresne, Ferris, Fisher, Fortier, Fortin, Gaucher, Gendron, Gibbs, Gray, Howland, Keeler, Kirkpatrick, Langlois, Langevin, Lapum, Lawson, Little, McDonald (Glengarry), Macdonald (Sir J. A.), McCarthy, McConkey, McDougall, McMillan (Vaudreuil), Munro, Merritt, Pinsonneault, Pouliot, Renaud, Robitaille, Rose, Ross (Champlain), Ross (Dundas), Ross (Prince Edward), Ryan, Simard, Sproat, Sylvain, Tilley, Wallace, White, Wilson—65.

Mr. Gibbs moved in amendment to restore bar, rod and hoop, and sheet iron and wire to the free list.

Mr. Workman seconded the amendment. He complained that in framing the tariff the Government did not seem to have been guided by any principle. The articles mentioned in the amendment which had been transferred from the free list were fair subjects of taxation. It was necessary for the purpose of revenue; but if so, why should other similar articles remain in the free list, as brass and copper wire, borax, scrap iron, machinery used in the construction of mills or factories; why should bar, rod and sheet iron be alone selected for duty? He thought it particularly objectionable to have machinery on the free list when raw material was taxed.

Hon. Mr. Rose said Government in transferring articles from the free list had selected those that were partially manufactured in this Province, and which would yield a considerable amount of duty—somewhere about \$100,000.

Sir G. E. Cartier said he would have rejoiced as much as his colleague from Montreal Centre if sufficient revenue could have been raised without taxing these articles. The member for Centre Montreal asked why other articles were not taxed. The answer was obvious. The Government only desired to impose such duties as would meet the exigencies of public service, and when they took a certain number of articles for revenue purposes from the free list and im-