would still be necessary to sit down at the negotiating table to correct certain shortcomings in the Canadian Constitution and adapt our institutions to new situations which arose in the middle of the century. As a former prime minister said "a victory of the 'yes' vote would not mean the end of Canada; a victory of the 'no would not mean the end of the problem".

And this is how the very people who are holding the referendum would have it. The question being put to the people of Quebec asks them to give their provincial government a mandate to negotiate with the rest of Canada, nothing more. The preamble to the question even stipulates that no change will be made to the current political institutions before a second referendum has been held on the nature of such future changes.

It is therefore clear that the referendum of May 20, is just one step in a long proces of which the result, whatever it may be, will not be seen for a long time yet. For thimplementation of its secessionist project, the current government of Quebec he chosen a strategy which could be termed "one step at a time".

It is interesting to examine the political factors behind this choice. The traditional proponents of sovereignty, throughout world history, have called for more haste, ever precipitation. What, then, has inspired so much restraint and caution?

First, and entirely to their credit, is a clear concern for working democratically. The do not want to force on Quebecers a sovereignty the people do not want. On the other hand, and this is to the credit of their federalist adversaries, the secessionis proposal has never been laid under an interdict. It is perfectly legal in Canada to promote democratically the sovereignty-association set forth by the Parti Québécois and the only weapons used by those who reject it are those of persuasion. Under these conditions, it is understandable that the Quebec secessionists have opted for strategy that involves a number of gradual steps in the pursuit of their objective.

But this is not the only reason, nor even, perhaps, the most important. To be convinced of this, one has only to consult the opinion polls that are proliferating. Quebec on the eve of the referendum, as you can well imagine. With a few small differences, they all reveal the same trends. To cite only the most recent, Quebecers are apparently divided equally between the 'yes' and the 'no', with an undecided marginarying between 12 and 25 per cent. What can we conclude but that the secessionist proposal is far from bringing unanimity in Quebec, and that its promoters have always known this. At the beginning of the referendum campaign, the Premier of Quebe stated that a 'yes' vote of 40 per cent would be enough to give him the courage to continue the venture.

The ambition of this figure will appear very modest to those who do not know the Canadian situation very well. But when one looks closely at it, one can easily understand the modesty.

If the aim were to break the chains of a people in slavery, victims of a dictatorship prey to an arbitrary and oppressive system, it would be hard to understand why