

The Toronto World

Daily World, in advance, \$2 per year.
Sunday World, in advance, \$2 per year.
Telephone: 222, 223, 224. Private Branch
Exchange connecting all departments.
Hamilton Office: W. F. Smith, Agent.
London, England, Office: F. W. Larn,
Agent, 145 Fleet Street, London, E.C.

THE WORLD OUTSIDE

The World can be had at the following
News Stands:
Windsor Hotel, Montreal.
St. Lawrence Hall, Montreal.
Essex & Jones, Buffalo.
Millott-square News Stand, Buffalo.
Windsor Hotel, New York.
Agency and Messenger Co., New York.
P.O. News Co., 217 Dearborn St., Chicago.
John McManis, Winnipeg, Man.
F. A. McManis, Winnipeg, Man.
McKay & Southern, N. Westminster, B.C.
Harwood & Doherty, St. John, N.B.
All Railway News Stands and Trains.

ADVERTISING RATES

15 cents per line with discount on ad-
vance orders of 20 or more insertions, or for
orders of 1000 or more lines, to be used
within a year.
Positions may be contracted for subject
to earlier contracts with other advertisers.
Positions are never guaranteed to any ad-
vertiser of less than four insertions.
An advertiser contracting for \$1000 worth
of space, to be used within one year, may
have, when practicable, a selected position
without extra cost.
Single page positions will be charged at
20 per cent. advance on regular rates.
All advertisements are subject to approval
as to character, wording and display.
Advertisers are free to examine the sub-
scription list at any time.
Want advertisements, one cent a word
each insertion.

INCREASE THE BRITISH PRESTIGE AND GET UNITED STATES SUPPORT

Lord Lansdowne's prestige, consider-
ably weakened by the war office, is
being steadily being restored, but
even in the war office he did his best.
He was up against a system as old as
the office and which the King and a
royal commission are trying now to re-
organize. Lord Lansdowne could do
nothing to improve the army when he
had a war as well to deal with. As
foreign secretary he is firm, outspoken,
and straightforward. He has not hesi-
tated to remind Russia of her duplicity,
and to openly make a defensive alliance
with Japan. He has established the
most friendly relations with France,
and it is likely that Canada will bene-
fit therefrom in regard to the New-
foundland controversy over the French
shore. He had a hand, more than a
hand, in leading the United States into
an imperial or expansionist policy in
Asia, and in inducing the diplomats of
the republic to back up, rather to lead
Britain's demand for the open door in
China. Neither czar nor Kaiser has
yet got over the sudden discovery that
followed the late Spanish-American
War, namely, that England and the
United States had got together in the
most surprising way. The czar is still
telling the people of the United States
that he sent a friendly fleet there in
1893, and the Kaiser bought a Yankee
yacht and sent his royal brother over
to tell the people of the United States
how much he loved them and their in-
stitutions. But Lord Lansdowne had
been ahead of them both without being
even observed.

Lord Lansdowne probably has the
good sense to know that his understand-
ing with the United States must be
based on common interest and not on
sentiment. There is no sentiment in
Uncle Sam's heart in his own inter-
ests. But you can still sell him a roll
of brick in the shape of English titles for
his millionaire daughters. If the old
country had only enough of marriage-
able peers to go round, the bulk of the
multi-millionaire wealth of the United
States could be transferred to Great
Britain in twenty years! And how this
rankles in the soul of the New York
Sun and in its colleague, The New
York American.

Why does not Lord Lansdowne and
Mr. Balfour increase the number of
peers, giving preference to bachelors
who will offer in the American market?
If Mr. Balfour would go into the peer-
age and wed an American heiress he
could easily get one of twenty millions.
The social game is the way to catch
the rich United States and the way
to make him an imperialist and an
upholder of England's Asiatic policy.

But Lord Lansdowne must not say
for any more favors from our neighbors
by sacrifices of Canadian interests. He
must help us to get Newfoundland, and
he must maintain Canadian sovereignty
in the Hudson Bay. Let him pay what
has to be paid in titles for the rich
daughters of the republic, if terri-
tory must be given in West India real-
estate.

HOW TO PROVIDE PUBLIC OWNERSHIP

To let the cities and towns have the
right to redeem the public franchises
they have turned over to private cor-
porations is surely no crime or violation
of "sacred contracts," as The Globe pre-
tends.

The nation can take over any rail-
way in England or in Canada. All other
nations can do the same, and are doing
it every day in Europe. Mr. Gladstone
subscribed to this doctrine.

The Canadian state could take over
the telephones or telegraphs today if
it wishes to.

But The Globe says the municipal
franchises are for a term of years, and
are therefore "sacred" for that time.
Why should they be? Surely if you
can take over a perpetual franchise you
can take over a limited one without be-
ing charged with profanation of con-
tract.

Let us remind The Globe of some-
thing it ignores. EVERY ONE OF
THESE FRANCHISES IS FOR
SALE EVERY DAY IN THE YEAR.
THEY ARE STOCK AND BONDS.
They are being sold every day. Any-
body can buy them but the people or-
ganized as a municipality—the very
ones who ought to exercise such a
right before all others.

Why not then empower all munici-
palities to buy in blue or to finance to
own shares in the street railways,
power plants, gas plants, etc., owned
in their limits by private corporations?
Why is not Toronto given the privilege
to buy the new shares in the gas com-
pany?

It is the simple solution of an intoler-
able condition of affairs.

DOMINION LANDS AND THE MOXY MARKET

It is announced in the government
press that the deputy minister of fi-
nance is off to London, to arrange for
meeting Dominion 4 per cent. loans,
amounting, after deducting sinking
funds, to \$15,000,000, all maturing this

year. The sum will be covered by a
temporary loan at 4 per cent. The ex-
planation is that the money market is
now unusually unfavorable to the bor-
rower, and that later on better terms
can be made for a loan at a long pe-
riod. It was expected that the 4 per
cents, falling due could be met by a
3 per cent. loan; but 3 per cents could
not be floated in the present state of
the money market.

The public may gather from this
statement what chance there would
be in the money market for 3 per cent.
railway bonds, guaranteed by the gov-
ernment, for Mackenzie & Mann's pro-
jects and for the Grand Trunk Pacific.
The only thing that could be done with
these securities at this moment would
be to get temporary loans at a high
rate of interest for half their face value.

ONLY PART OF THE TRUTH.
Sen. Thompson's confession is too
mathematical. It measures exactly
with the evidence of others against
him. He confesses nothing; he admits
what has already been proved.

Thompson's evidence, therefore, en-
titles him to no consideration at the
hands of the judge. An expert, a plug-
ger, such as he admits himself to be,
is the product of some years' experi-
ence. Two seasons' publicity could not
possibly bring a plugger up to such a
high standard of efficiency.

The law of probability also discredits
Thompson's story that he stuffed the
ballot box simply out of loyalty to his
friends. It is not human nature for a
man to wantonly jeopardize his lib-
erty for friends' sake, especially
in such a cause. Mr. Thompson must
have had other motives for violating
the law, and these motives Mr. Riddell
should do his utmost to find out. The
friendship plea will not be accepted as
an explanation of the crooked work.
On the same pretext Mr. Maguire
might explain the extraordinary conduct
that benefited his friends in Ward 3.
Mr. Thompson's modesty is not less
striking than his pretence that he acted
without the knowledge of any candi-
date. He admits that he stuffed the
ballot box last year. A less modest
man might feel disposed to confide the
results of his fraudulent actions to the
candidates in whose behalf they were
committed. But Mr. Thompson wants
the people to believe that in the friend-
ly offices which he extended to certain
candidates he did not let his left hand
know what his right hand was doing.
Mr. Thompson's story simply staggers
credibility. He should be given to un-
derstand that he cannot spare the
powers behind him and at the same
time receive consideration at the hands
of the presiding judge.

CANADA, ENGLAND AND FRANCE

Nothing could more surely testify to
the vitality of the good understanding
which now exists between the United
Kingdom and the French republic than
the fact that notwithstanding the com-
plications in the far east no break has
been observed in the negotiations which
have been for some time in progress.
It is an open secret that the views
which have been exchanged by the two
governments embrace the whole matter
hitherto in dispute and which might
lead to difference in all parts of the
world, and have for their object a com-
plete settlement of all outstanding or
possible occasions of misunderstanding.
If then it be the case, as is now con-
fidently reported, that the prolonged
discussion to which these questions have
been subjected has resulted in such a
practical accord that nothing remains
to prevent an early signature of a
treaty embodying the heads of agree-
ment, the fact is one not only for sincere
congratulation, but, at this time,
of more than ordinary momentous im-
portance. For every step which brings
the governments of Britain and France
into a closer and more intimate rela-
tionship lessens the risk of their en-
tanglement in the existing war and
makes for the ultimate peace of the na-
tions. In view of the gravity of the
present crisis it is to be hoped no
time will be lost in reducing the ar-
rangement to writing, and so enabling
a public and authoritative announce-
ment to be made. Such a declaration
would have the beneficial effect of with-
drawing public sentiment from the
fortunes of their respective allies in the
far east, and diverting it to the great
issues involved in the final reconcilia-
tion of the two ancient hereditary en-
emies and the consolidation of their in-
terests throughout the world.

As not infrequently happens in
parallel cases, change of office has
resulted in the rehabilitation of Lord
Lansdowne, who was unfortunately
enough to be war secretary before and
during the South African war. Being
the man in office the sins of the sys-
tem and his predecessors were visited
in full measure upon him and he be-
came the scapegoat of the ministry.
He would have been more than human
had he not accepted with relief the
opportunity that came to him with the
death of Lord Salisbury, even after the
succession to a foreign minister of
such exceptional experience laid upon
him a task of more than ordinary diffi-
culty. His appointment became the
target of opposition attack and was
greeted with much cheap sarcasm and
ridicule. But it has been abundantly
justified, and his regime will already
compare favorably with that of his
illustrious predecessor. In the festival
of the good understanding with France
and the consequent tripartite treaties of
arbitration among Britain, France and
Italy Lord Lansdowne's good work has
not doubt been greatly facilitated by
the tactful assistance possibly the
initiative of the king, but the smooth-
ing away of the innumerable thorny
details which beset the pathway of
negotiation must have been due to
Lord Lansdowne's own diplomatic
skill. His own partly French blood
and unusual, for an English states-
man, proficiency in the French lan-
guage was a minor but helpful point
in his favor which could not but influ-
ence a nation peculiarly susceptible
to considerations of a personal charac-
ter.

It is scarcely necessary to point out
how intimately a settlement with
France, which includes the Newfoundland
land and island fishery rights, touches
the interests and future of Canada.
The existence of these rights and the
jealousy with which France regarded

the last relic of her Canadian pos-
sessions provided a fruitful source of
petty but none the less irritating
frictions, which a moment of Gallic
hypercivility might easily have
inflamed into a dangerous menace to
peace. If it were so with the imperi-
al government, whose action is so
carefully guarded, it would have been
even more critical in the case of the
Dominion, who could scarcely be ex-
pected to regard French insistence and
encroachments with the same tolerant
magnanimity. Consciousness of this
was one of the determining causes
of the failure of the previous negotia-
tions for the inclusion of Newfound-
land within the Dominion, and the
hesitation of the federal government
to become involved in an imperial
question of this nature was well ad-
vised and displayed a true statesman-
ship. Delay was preferable, more
especially now that it has brought with
it such an agreement as is now fore-
shadowed. A friendly withdrawal by
France, on terms, which satisfy her
exacting sense of honor and ensure be-
tween her and Britain the preservation
and increase of amity and mutual ap-
preciation and dependence secures the
best possible result for Canada.

EMERSON TO THE RESCUE.

Many ingenious arguments have been
employed to explain the defeat of the
government candidate in St. John City.
The press of the Maritime Provinces
teem with explanations and counter
explanations. Hon. H. R. Emerson
noting the fury of the controversy,
hit on the happy thought of solving
the mystery himself. He states that:

"Two or three leading govern-
ment employees acted unfairly in
St. John, and the facts may be a
matter for investigation."
Thus the new minister of railways
drags the dark secret of Mr. Mc-
Keown's defeat into broad daylight.
Two or three leading government em-
ployees in St. John acted unfairly and
thereby compromised the overthrow of
the government candidate. Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr. Em-
erson is disappointingly indefinite in
his statement. The change of votes
was something like 1500. If two lead-
ing government employees did the dark
business it means that they accounted
for 1500 votes between them or 750
votes apiece. If on the other hand
three government employees were in
league their achievement is less bril-
liant and amounts only to 500 votes
each. The government's employer, Mr.