

RESIDENTIAL LOT
LYNHURST AVENUE—\$95 Per Ft.
75 x 132, beautifully wooded.
H. H. WILLIAMS & C.
38 King Street East.

PROBS.—West to northwest winds; fine and moderately warm.

FOR RENT—Shuter and Victoria

Store, with three display windows. Premium location for Automobile Supplies.
H. H. WILLIAMS & C.
38 King Street East.

VOL. XXXII—No. 11,643

CITY WILL FIGHT LEVEL CROSSING ORDER

Mayor and Controllers Will Order Immediate Appeal From Railway Board's Order If There is Any Possible Chance—Board is a Gold Brick, Says Cont. Church.

"The decision is entirely in favor of the railway company, and I feel that the city's efforts to abolish level crossings within the city limits have entirely been disregarded," said Mayor Geary last night, in discussing the Ontario Railway Board's order of yesterday granting the Metropolitan Railway Company permission to deviate its line from Yonge-st. to a private right-of-way west of Yonge-st. from Farnham-ave. to a new terminal 800 feet west of the street north of the C. P. R. tracks.

"We have been trying for years to abolish the level crossings," continued the mayor, "and now it just seems that all our efforts have been in vain. The matter will be taken up at once by myself and the board of control, and if we have any possible chance of appealing the decision Mr. Drayton, the corporation counsel, will be instructed to take immediate action."

Mr. Drayton would not say anything in regard to the matter, but did not seem at all satisfied with the decision.

Indignant Controllers.

The real fireworks will come from the controllers. They all feel highly indignant that the city's plans should have been so entirely disregarded by the board, and state that they will leave nothing undone in an effort to have the order set aside.

"The decision is an outrage," stated Controller McCarthy, "and I feel that the city's side of the question has been left out altogether, and that the Ontario Railway Board has played entirely into the hands of the railway."

A Gold Brick.

"The Ontario Railway Board is a gold brick for the people of North Toronto," said Controller Church, "and after reading the judgment I am of the opinion that the board should be thoroughly reorganized. The order, coming out at this time, will not doubt prejudice the vote on annexation in North Toronto, and I certainly will do every effort to have the order set aside."

Controller Foster felt that the city was being left out of the question altogether by the railway board, and that the railway company was being considered because of its alleged poverty.

"One of the city's finest residential sections is in great danger as a direct result of this order," said the controller, "and anything along the line of a level crossing will be vigorously opposed by the members of the city council."

A Serious Thing.

"This decision is a very serious thing for the people of North Toronto," said Ald. Maguire. "What chance does it give them when the Metropolitan Railway Co. is allowed to cross four fine residential streets on level crossings? It will be highly dangerous to children attending school, and I am very much opposed to it."

NEW HOTEL FOR SOUTH PORCUPINE

SOUTH PORCUPINE, June 17.—(Special.)—The business men and ladies of the Porcupine mining camp were last night tendered a banquet by the management of the new Connaught Hotel. The occasion was the opening of the hotel for business. Barrister Cook, Reeve of Tisdale Township, presided, and among those present were: J. L. Enghart, chairman of the T. & N. O. Railway Commission; W. H. Wilson, mayor of Timmins; John McDonald, Jas. Todd of Schumacher, C. Moore, J. J. McKinney, Toronto; Major Bickford, Toronto; S. A. Irvin, Jones, W. G. Whiteside, Fuller, Morrison, and A. T. Short.

The new hotel is beautifully situated on an eminence overlooking Lake Porcupine, has 85 rooms, single and double, and is equipped with all the modern improvements, including telephones in each room. It is finished throughout in white maple and the furniture is of the best.

It will be under the management of Donald Hood, formerly of Hazelbury, and was designed by Major Bickford, who is associated in the enterprise with J. J. McKinney.

Globe and North Toronto and Annexation

The Telegram found its voice at last and has made a deliverance against another franchise on upper Yonge-street in an editorial on Saturday night as follows:

Metropolitan Agreement is a Fox to North Toronto.

Whether annexation is or is not a friend to North Toronto is a question that is open for debate.

There is no room for debate on the ground that the whole Metropolitan Board, combination is the enemy of North Toronto.

The hope of getting the Metropolitan franchise extended to the westward, and cars shut off from Yonge-street, with the hope of getting a one-fare car service to the centre of the city, will be defeated if the people of North Toronto ratify the proposed agreement with the Metropolitan.

The public can now see where the Telegram is. It is in doubt about annexation, but it is against the franchise. But where is The Toronto Globe? We will try and let the public know by quoting a portion of a special article which appeared June 14 last. Here it is:

What It Would Cost City to Annex 2000 Acres.

Toronto Globe, June 14.

To annex to the City of Toronto the block of territory south of Eglinton-avenue, bounded on the east by Yonge-street, and on the west by the Belt Line, would mean a vast expenditure, based upon official figures furnished to the Globe by the various city departments concerned of approximately \$7,251,000. This expenditure would be necessary for equipping the district with necessary public works and services.

The figures serve to demonstrate the mammoth financial undertaking to which the city would be subjected if the wholesale annexations of outlying territory, which appear to be the main object of the Metropolitan Board, were really undertaken. In view of the existing debt of the city, such an "enterprise" would seriously impair the city's solvency.

The estimates were secured by The Globe from each of the city departments concerned. It was shown that to open up the territory for properly equipped with new thoroughfares it would cost \$3,316. The estimates are in every respect conservative and allow for the minimum residential width of street and sidewalk, and the best of clay soil in laying water and sewer mains. Allowance is made only for the local requirements of the street, and not for serving main trunk sewers connecting therewith. The detailed figures of the estimate are as follows: Pavement, asphalt, with concrete curbs and gutters included, \$231,000; concrete walks to curb on each side, \$720,000; water main for house connections, \$720,000; water main for local requirements, will not allow for house connections, \$231,000; fire hydrants, 1000; hydro-electric lighting, \$150,000; police, per annum, \$360,000; fire protection, per annum, \$200,000; connections, \$10,000.

Assessment Commissioner Forman estimates the territory in the block mentioned, bounded by Eglinton-avenue on the north, by the Belt Line on the west, by the city limits on the south, and by Yonge-street on the east, at something over 2000 acres. He also points out that there is 20 feet frontage to the acre, which would entail the opening up of approximately a mile of new city thoroughfares.

Now this is in the usual style of the Globe and is practically side-stepping the question now before the people of North Toronto. The article deals with territory, a portion of which is in North Toronto and part of which is in the Township of York west of Yonge-street. But that is not the issue. The issue is, Shall the people of North Toronto vote in favor of annexation with the city, the city having already voted thru its council to take in North Toronto, provided it does not encumber itself with more territory or more street railway franchises? And the Globe raises the question of the expense of taking in a country that is not included in the franchise in North Toronto. In broad terms, The Globe is against the annexation of North Toronto. The reason for all this side-stepping is a series of editorials in The Globe more than eighteen months ago. We ask the people of North Toronto to read what The Globe said there and in light and harmonize the two views. But there was no immediate franchise in sight in 1910, hence the outspoken view! But now a franchise is in sight; hence, the side-stepping.

And when The Globe answers where it is, and we take it to be against annexation and in favor of another franchise—we will be able to quote some more from its columns. But in the meantime let the people read its article of eighteen months ago:

TORONTO AND NORTH TORONTO.

June 15, 1910.

There is some likelihood of the people of the Township of North Toronto possibly changing their minds as to whether or not they want to be annexed to the City of Toronto. There is also some likelihood of this time of popular opinion being in favor of annexation, and that the opportunity is afforded for a second vote on the question. In that event the next step would be to make an application for an order of the board of control and a formal application for an order of the Metropolitan Board, and to have Commissioners to give effect to the will of the voters.

The authorities of Toronto have not in the case of any of the recent annexes offered any opposition to an application, the only objection being on the ground that the representatives of the so-called local business hold a previous conference with the representatives of the city as to terms and conditions. Such a conference is an excellent means of clearing up the whole matter, and of obviating the necessity of the city appearing by its representatives in opposition to the granting of the desired franchise.

As the annexes of North Toronto are in good condition there will probably be no difficulty as to terms, but there is one other question to which there should be a complete answer before annexation takes place. The Metropolitan Board wants the privilege of annexing territory west of Yonge-street. It is unnecessary and it would be unwise for the municipality of North Toronto to enter into any new arrangement with those who control that line; it would be better to let the board make its own terms, and after the vote is taken and the city corporation will have had time to consider the terms and conditions of the annexation, to make an amicable arrangement between the City of Toronto and the proprietors of the Metropolitan Railway more difficult of attainment. If there is a vote taken at all it should be taken without prejudice.

MORE LEVEL CROSSINGS

Metropolitan Railway is Given Permission to Deviate Its Line to Private Right of Way West of Yonge-street From Farnham-ave. South, But Must Not Run Cars More Than Six Miles an Hour and Must Stop at Certain Streets.

Judgment was given yesterday afternoon by the Ontario Railway and Municipal Board allowing the Metropolitan Railway Co. to deviate its line from Yonge-street to a private right-of-way west of Yonge-street, from Farnham-avenue to a new terminal 800 feet west of the street, north of the C. P. R. tracks. The contention of the city that the company, by getting on its own right-of-way, was endeavoring to turn a terminable franchise into a perpetual right, could not be seen by the board.

The members of the board, which say that in any event such a suspicion would be no reason why the board, by the indirect method of imposing impossible or unreasonable conditions, should prevent the company from exercising its clear statutory right to deviate its own right-of-way.

The line will cross several streets on the level, and "for the protection of the public" the company will be required to run its cars not faster than six miles an hour, and will be required to stop at some of the streets.

The Judgment.

The judgment of the board, signed by James Leitch, K.C., the chairman, follows:

The board decided at the sitting held on Oct. 15 last, that the company had the right to deviate their line and to leave Yonge-street and get on their own right-of-way. The board's reasons for this finding appear in the written opinion of the board, dated Oct. 25 last. There has been no appeal

DOUBLE TRACKING SCHEME IS OPPOSED

North Toronto Ratepayers Plan Campaign to Try to Defeat Proposal to Lay Two Lines on Yonge Street, Wanting Civic Cars or Buses—Favor Annexation.

A representative body of prominent North Toronto ratepayers held a meeting last night in North Toronto, the primary cause of which was to make dates and formulate plans by campaign to be used in defeating the proposed double-tracking of Yonge-street thru North Toronto. The men present represented the other citizens of North Toronto and but one among them displayed the least nonconformity to the mooted plan of annexation with Great Toronto when that subject was discussed. All with the one exception seemed in perfect accord for annexation, but the double tracking of Yonge-street does not, apparently, meet with their approval.

They think it will be injurious to the town. What they want is annexation, a bus operation on Yonge-street, or street car service by civic cars.

The two meetings will be held on Friday night in Bedford Park Public School, and on Saturday night in the Davisville Public School.

ST. HILDA SCURRATE REMAINED ON SUFFERANCE

Rev. W. S. Hart, His Rector, Issues Statement Giving Full Facts of Dispute in Fairbank Church, in Which He Says Rev. Geo. Scott Was Not a Success.

Rev. W. S. Hart, rector of the Church of St. Mary the Virgin, Bloor-street and Delaware-avenue, and St. Hilda's, Fairbank, issued a statement last night as a result of newspaper criticism with respect to his action in discharging the Rev. Mr. Scott, former curate of St. Hilda's. From present indications the merry war over who shall be curate of this suburban church—the old or the new—will not be discontinued for some time yet. The church was closed on June 1, and is yet unopened, and services are being held outdoors.

Reports of a few weeks ago were to the effect that the discharge of Rev. Mr. Scott was unjustifiable, and that 90 per cent of the church population retained. It was also stated that Mr. Scott was doing excellent work in Fairbank, having increased the congregation considerably. These statements are refuted by Rev. Mr. Hart. His side of the case is as follows:

"The real facts of the case concerning the present trouble between the rector and the curate and warden of St. Hilda's Church, Fairbank, have so far been kept from the public. The reason for silence on the part of those in authority has been to avoid publicity, and a desire to avoid doing injury to those in opposition. The time has come, however, when the Rev. Mr. Hart feels that he must present his side of the case, correcting the one-sided statements which have appeared in the public press."

The Facts.

"The facts are as follows: In the autumn of 1908 the Rev. Mr. Scott came to St. Mary the Virgin, Fairbank, in the parish of St. Mary the Virgin, to do a year's curacy. He was appointed by the Bishop of Toronto. His salary was \$2,000 per annum, and he was given a house and garden. His term of office was for a year, but he was re-appointed for a second year in 1909. He was re-appointed for a third year in 1910. He was re-appointed for a fourth year in 1911. He was re-appointed for a fifth year in 1912. He was re-appointed for a sixth year in 1913. He was re-appointed for a seventh year in 1914. He was re-appointed for an eighth year in 1915. He was re-appointed for a ninth year in 1916. He was re-appointed for a tenth year in 1917. He was re-appointed for an eleventh year in 1918. He was re-appointed for a twelfth year in 1919. He was re-appointed for a thirteenth year in 1920. He was re-appointed for a fourteenth year in 1921. He was re-appointed for a fifteenth year in 1922. He was re-appointed for a sixteenth year in 1923. He was re-appointed for a seventeenth year in 1924. He was re-appointed for an eighteenth year in 1925. He was re-appointed for a nineteenth year in 1926. He was re-appointed for a twentieth year in 1927. He was re-appointed for a twenty-first year in 1928. He was re-appointed for a twenty-second year in 1929. He was re-appointed for a twenty-third year in 1930. He was re-appointed for a twenty-fourth year in 1931. He was re-appointed for a twenty-fifth year in 1932. He was re-appointed for a twenty-sixth year in 1933. He was re-appointed for a twenty-seventh year in 1934. He was re-appointed for a twenty-eighth year in 1935. He was re-appointed for a twenty-ninth year in 1936. He was re-appointed for a thirtieth year in 1937. He was re-appointed for a thirty-first year in 1938. He was re-appointed for a thirty-second year in 1939. He was re-appointed for a thirty-third year in 1940. He was re-appointed for a thirty-fourth year in 1941. He was re-appointed for a thirty-fifth year in 1942. He was re-appointed for a thirty-sixth year in 1943. He was re-appointed for a thirty-seventh year in 1944. He was re-appointed for a thirty-eighth year in 1945. He was re-appointed for a thirty-ninth year in 1946. He was re-appointed for a fortieth year in 1947. He was re-appointed for a forty-first year in 1948. He was re-appointed for a forty-second year in 1949. He was re-appointed for a forty-third year in 1950. He was re-appointed for a forty-fourth year in 1951. He was re-appointed for a forty-fifth year in 1952. He was re-appointed for a forty-sixth year in 1953. He was re-appointed for a forty-seventh year in 1954. He was re-appointed for a forty-eighth year in 1955. He was re-appointed for a forty-ninth year in 1956. He was re-appointed for a fiftieth year in 1957. He was re-appointed for a fifty-first year in 1958. He was re-appointed for a fifty-second year in 1959. He was re-appointed for a fifty-third year in 1960. He was re-appointed for a fifty-fourth year in 1961. He was re-appointed for a fifty-fifth year in 1962. He was re-appointed for a fifty-sixth year in 1963. He was re-appointed for a fifty-seventh year in 1964. He was re-appointed for a fifty-eighth year in 1965. He was re-appointed for a fifty-ninth year in 1966. He was re-appointed for a sixtieth year in 1967. He was re-appointed for a sixty-first year in 1968. He was re-appointed for a sixty-second year in 1969. He was re-appointed for a sixty-third year in 1970. He was re-appointed for a sixty-fourth year in 1971. He was re-appointed for a sixty-fifth year in 1972. He was re-appointed for a sixty-sixth year in 1973. He was re-appointed for a sixty-seventh year in 1974. He was re-appointed for a sixty-eighth year in 1975. He was re-appointed for a sixty-ninth year in 1976. He was re-appointed for a seventieth year in 1977. He was re-appointed for a seventy-first year in 1978. He was re-appointed for a seventy-second year in 1979. He was re-appointed for a seventy-third year in 1980. He was re-appointed for a seventy-fourth year in 1981. He was re-appointed for a seventy-fifth year in 1982. He was re-appointed for a seventy-sixth year in 1983. He was re-appointed for a seventy-seventh year in 1984. He was re-appointed for a seventy-eighth year in 1985. He was re-appointed for a seventy-ninth year in 1986. He was re-appointed for an eightieth year in 1987. He was re-appointed for an eighty-first year in 1988. He was re-appointed for an eighty-second year in 1989. He was re-appointed for an eighty-third year in 1990. He was re-appointed for an eighty-fourth year in 1991. He was re-appointed for an eighty-fifth year in 1992. He was re-appointed for an eighty-sixth year in 1993. He was re-appointed for an eighty-seventh year in 1994. He was re-appointed for an eighty-eighth year in 1995. He was re-appointed for an eighty-ninth year in 1996. He was re-appointed for a ninetieth year in 1997. He was re-appointed for a ninety-first year in 1998. He was re-appointed for a ninety-second year in 1999. He was re-appointed for a ninety-third year in 2000. He was re-appointed for a ninety-fourth year in 2001. He was re-appointed for a ninety-fifth year in 2002. He was re-appointed for a ninety-sixth year in 2003. He was re-appointed for a ninety-seventh year in 2004. He was re-appointed for a ninety-eighth year in 2005. He was re-appointed for a ninety-ninth year in 2006. He was re-appointed for a hundredth year in 2007. He was re-appointed for a hundred and first year in 2008. He was re-appointed for a hundred and second year in 2009. He was re-appointed for a hundred and third year in 2010. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fourth year in 2011. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifth year in 2012. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixth year in 2013. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventh year in 2014. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighth year in 2015. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninth year in 2016. He was re-appointed for a hundred and tenth year in 2017. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eleventh year in 2018. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twelfth year in 2019. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirteenth year in 2020. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fourteenth year in 2021. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifteenth year in 2022. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixteenth year in 2023. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventeenth year in 2024. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighteenth year in 2025. He was re-appointed for a hundred and nineteenth year in 2026. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twentieth year in 2027. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-first year in 2028. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-second year in 2029. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-third year in 2030. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-fourth year in 2031. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-fifth year in 2032. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-sixth year in 2033. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-seventh year in 2034. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-eighth year in 2035. He was re-appointed for a hundred and twenty-ninth year in 2036. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirtieth year in 2037. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-first year in 2038. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-second year in 2039. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-third year in 2040. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-fourth year in 2041. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-fifth year in 2042. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-sixth year in 2043. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-seventh year in 2044. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-eighth year in 2045. He was re-appointed for a hundred and thirty-ninth year in 2046. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fortieth year in 2047. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-first year in 2048. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-second year in 2049. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-third year in 2050. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-fourth year in 2051. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-fifth year in 2052. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-sixth year in 2053. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-seventh year in 2054. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-eighth year in 2055. He was re-appointed for a hundred and forty-ninth year in 2056. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fiftieth year in 2057. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-first year in 2058. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-second year in 2059. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-third year in 2060. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-fourth year in 2061. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-fifth year in 2062. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-sixth year in 2063. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-seventh year in 2064. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-eighth year in 2065. He was re-appointed for a hundred and fifty-ninth year in 2066. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixtieth year in 2067. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-first year in 2068. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-second year in 2069. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-third year in 2070. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-fourth year in 2071. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-fifth year in 2072. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-sixth year in 2073. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-seventh year in 2074. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-eighth year in 2075. He was re-appointed for a hundred and sixty-ninth year in 2076. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventieth year in 2077. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-first year in 2078. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-second year in 2079. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-third year in 2080. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-fourth year in 2081. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-fifth year in 2082. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-sixth year in 2083. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-seventh year in 2084. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-eighth year in 2085. He was re-appointed for a hundred and seventy-ninth year in 2086. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eightieth year in 2087. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-first year in 2088. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-second year in 2089. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-third year in 2090. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-fourth year in 2091. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-fifth year in 2092. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-sixth year in 2093. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-seventh year in 2094. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-eighth year in 2095. He was re-appointed for a hundred and eighty-ninth year in 2096. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninetieth year in 2097. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-first year in 2098. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-second year in 2099. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-third year in 2100. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-fourth year in 2101. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-fifth year in 2102. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-sixth year in 2103. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-seventh year in 2104. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-eighth year in 2105. He was re-appointed for a hundred and ninety-ninth year in 2106. He was re-appointed for a two hundredth year in 2107. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and first year in 2108. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and second year in 2109. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and third year in 2110. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fourth year in 2111. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifth year in 2112. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixth year in 2113. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventh year in 2114. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighth year in 2115. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninth year in 2116. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and tenth year in 2117. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eleventh year in 2118. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twelfth year in 2119. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirteenth year in 2120. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fourteenth year in 2121. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifteenth year in 2122. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixteenth year in 2123. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventeenth year in 2124. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighteenth year in 2125. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and nineteenth year in 2126. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twentieth year in 2127. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-first year in 2128. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-second year in 2129. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-third year in 2130. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-fourth year in 2131. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-fifth year in 2132. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-sixth year in 2133. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-seventh year in 2134. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-eighth year in 2135. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-ninth year in 2136. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirtieth year in 2137. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-first year in 2138. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-second year in 2139. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-third year in 2140. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-fourth year in 2141. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-fifth year in 2142. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-sixth year in 2143. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-seventh year in 2144. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-eighth year in 2145. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-ninth year in 2146. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fortieth year in 2147. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-first year in 2148. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-second year in 2149. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-third year in 2150. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-fourth year in 2151. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-fifth year in 2152. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-sixth year in 2153. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-seventh year in 2154. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-eighth year in 2155. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-ninth year in 2156. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fiftieth year in 2157. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-first year in 2158. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-second year in 2159. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-third year in 2160. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-fourth year in 2161. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-fifth year in 2162. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-sixth year in 2163. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-seventh year in 2164. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-eighth year in 2165. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-ninth year in 2166. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixtieth year in 2167. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-first year in 2168. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-second year in 2169. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-third year in 2170. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-fourth year in 2171. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-fifth year in 2172. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-sixth year in 2173. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-seventh year in 2174. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-eighth year in 2175. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-ninth year in 2176. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventieth year in 2177. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-first year in 2178. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-second year in 2179. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-third year in 2180. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-fourth year in 2181. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-fifth year in 2182. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-sixth year in 2183. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-seventh year in 2184. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-eighth year in 2185. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-ninth year in 2186. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eightieth year in 2187. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-first year in 2188. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-second year in 2189. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-third year in 2190. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-fourth year in 2191. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-fifth year in 2192. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-sixth year in 2193. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-seventh year in 2194. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-eighth year in 2195. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-ninth year in 2196. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninetieth year in 2197. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-first year in 2198. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-second year in 2199. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-third year in 2200. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-fourth year in 2201. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-fifth year in 2202. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-sixth year in 2203. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-seventh year in 2204. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-eighth year in 2205. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-ninth year in 2206. He was re-appointed for a two hundredth year in 2207. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and first year in 2208. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and second year in 2209. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and third year in 2210. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fourth year in 2211. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifth year in 2212. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixth year in 2213. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventh year in 2214. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighth year in 2215. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninth year in 2216. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and tenth year in 2217. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eleventh year in 2218. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twelfth year in 2219. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirteenth year in 2220. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fourteenth year in 2221. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifteenth year in 2222. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixteenth year in 2223. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventeenth year in 2224. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighteenth year in 2225. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and nineteenth year in 2226. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twentieth year in 2227. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-first year in 2228. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-second year in 2229. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-third year in 2230. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-fourth year in 2231. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-fifth year in 2232. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-sixth year in 2233. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-seventh year in 2234. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-eighth year in 2235. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twenty-ninth year in 2236. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirtieth year in 2237. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-first year in 2238. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-second year in 2239. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-third year in 2240. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-fourth year in 2241. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-fifth year in 2242. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-sixth year in 2243. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-seventh year in 2244. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-eighth year in 2245. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirty-ninth year in 2246. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fortieth year in 2247. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-first year in 2248. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-second year in 2249. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-third year in 2250. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-fourth year in 2251. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-fifth year in 2252. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-sixth year in 2253. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-seventh year in 2254. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-eighth year in 2255. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and forty-ninth year in 2256. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fiftieth year in 2257. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-first year in 2258. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-second year in 2259. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-third year in 2260. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-fourth year in 2261. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-fifth year in 2262. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-sixth year in 2263. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-seventh year in 2264. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-eighth year in 2265. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifty-ninth year in 2266. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixtieth year in 2267. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-first year in 2268. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-second year in 2269. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-third year in 2270. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-fourth year in 2271. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-fifth year in 2272. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-sixth year in 2273. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-seventh year in 2274. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-eighth year in 2275. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixty-ninth year in 2276. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventieth year in 2277. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-first year in 2278. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-second year in 2279. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-third year in 2280. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-fourth year in 2281. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-fifth year in 2282. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-sixth year in 2283. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-seventh year in 2284. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-eighth year in 2285. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventy-ninth year in 2286. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eightieth year in 2287. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-first year in 2288. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-second year in 2289. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-third year in 2290. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-fourth year in 2291. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-fifth year in 2292. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-sixth year in 2293. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-seventh year in 2294. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-eighth year in 2295. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighty-ninth year in 2296. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninetieth year in 2297. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-first year in 2298. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-second year in 2299. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-third year in 2300. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-fourth year in 2301. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-fifth year in 2302. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-sixth year in 2303. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-seventh year in 2304. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-eighth year in 2305. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninety-ninth year in 2306. He was re-appointed for a two hundredth year in 2307. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and first year in 2308. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and second year in 2309. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and third year in 2310. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fourth year in 2311. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifth year in 2312. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixth year in 2313. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventh year in 2314. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eighth year in 2315. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and ninth year in 2316. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and tenth year in 2317. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and eleventh year in 2318. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and twelfth year in 2319. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and thirteenth year in 2320. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fourteenth year in 2321. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and fifteenth year in 2322. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and sixteenth year in 2323. He was re-appointed for a two hundred and seventeenth year in 23