Those who read it need not to be told that the first feven pages are meer invention, at least appear not with any kind of evidence to shew the contrary, and in the middle of the eighth page we fall upon a simple fact, but whether that be true or false in the light the author has stated it, is another question. is the fecret treaty of Hanau, propos'd by the Emperor, and rejected at the Court of Great Britain, and as our author fays by the influence of the two Those who do, or think they know better, are of a very different opinion. But we must first consider, what this author has forgot, that is, whether this treaty ought to have been rejected, or not, and then by whom it was rejected. The treaty of Hanau was on the carpet at the same time with another negotiating at Worms; and it's very difficult to conceive how they could both have operated to effect, and therefore if it was true, as I think has never been disputed, that lord C--- patronis'd the last, it is most probable that he rejected the first. And as it is likewise a very well known fact, that he was strongly oppos'd by the two B---rs and their party, in regard to the treaty of Worms, it is next to certain that they espous'd the treaty of Hanau, and this author may well fay its strange that the two B---rs should oppose the treaty of Hanau, which intended the peace they aim'd at. But it is full as strange how he came by his information, which is not only wide of truth, but proves so on the face of the argument, even as himself states it. But we shall meet with stranger things than this, in every page we purfue.

B 2

The

nd he th on n. fe

OUS

the

erly

hey

thts

lea-

en-

the

of.

and

ion

hey

and

ole

fet

crit

For

his

fo

me

ily

rer

ad

d,