

been without that connection, and without a connection with the East or West, could it have paid *half its expenses*? I suppose not.

sident, Mr. Barnum, Mr. Russell, Mr. Alden, Hon. Amos Kendall, and Mr. W. M. Swain, are accused by Craig of

"Deception and trickery."

"Disgraceful partiality and injustice."

"Baseness."

He says "they make deliberately false and base representations."

That they "present nothing but the tissue of the most barefaced misrepresentations."

That "a more unprincipled set of men never existed."

In his second pamphlet, dated July 7th, 1860, entitled "A reply to the *falsehoods* of the Executive Committee," he charges the committee with having made "statements as utterly and ridiculously false as ever were concocted."

"Indeed, from the very commencement of this controversy, its authors appear to have been lost to every sense of honor, truth, and duty."

That the pamphlet of the Executive Committee contains "double the alleged number (36) of palpable untruths."

That it contains "Munchausenisms," "base and scoundrilly imputations," "a barefaced, impudent falsehood." That the Committee are "mendacious." That one of them, Mr. Alden, has been recently purchased "for five thousand eight hundred dollars or thereabouts," and that the purchasers have made a blunder in purchasing "a man who will deliberately swindle his friends."

He says, "I am only sorry that my power is not as omnipotent as the Committee; and Fog Smith assert, if it were I would summarily string up by the ears, and suspend from the Telegraph building in Wall street, this whole brood of hypocrites."

"True to the instincts of knaves these Executive Committee men doubtless find it hard to realize how any man can fail to be a rogue who has half a chance."

An attack was made by Craig, some years ago, upon some of the Directors of the New York and Washington Printing Telegraph Company, and Hon. F. O. J. Smith and Mr. Henry O'Reilly.

Application made for Craig's dismissal. One of the directors of the last named company, Mr. F. M. Edson, complained to the Committee of the New York Associated Press, that their agent had, in printed letters, dated "Office of the Associated Press, New York," called him, Mr. Edson, and his colleagues, "liars," "rogues," "cheats," "bullies," "humbugs," "loathsome reptiles," &c.

Mr. Edson, in his letter to the ostensible employers of Mr. Craig (but who acted as if they were his subordinates), says:

"I have a right to inquire whether it is not possible for the Associated Press to have an agent who has the manners, bearing and conduct of a gentleman—one who will not, upon any slight and frivolous pretext or occasion, attack those with whom he may come in contact in the prosecution of his duties, and overwhelm them with slime and filth? Are owners of telegraph lines to be trampled upon and insulted by the servant of their principal customer? Is that to be the price of the patronage of the Associated Press? Does that Association require for its object and purposes,