

Routine Proceedings

their interventions. As I said, I shall consider the matter and report back to the House as soon as possible.

ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[English]

ORDER IN COUNCIL APPOINTMENTS

TABLING AND REFERENCE TO STANDING COMMITTEES

Hon. Doug Lewis (Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada): Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to table, in both official languages, a number of Order in Council appointments which were made by the government.

Pursuant to the provisions of Standing Order 110(1), these are deemed referred to the appropriate standing committees, a list of which is attached.

* * *

SOLICITOR GENERAL

SECURITY INTELLIGENCE REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT

Hon. Doug Lewis (Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada): Mr. Speaker, pursuant to Standing Order 32(2), I would like to table, in both official languages, the Security Intelligence Review Committee's report to the Solicitor General of Canada on the Innu interview and the native extremism investigation.

Often at this time, I also say that I have a motion. But at this time, because we understand the New Democratic Party wants to debate the goods and services tax, I have no further motions.

* * *

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE

PRESENTATION OF THIRD REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Hon. John Bosley (Don Valley West): Mr. Speaker, in accordance with Standing Order 108(2), the Standing Committee on External Affairs has conducted an examination of the ramifications of Canada joining the Organization of American States, and I am pleased to present the third report of the committee, in both official languages, thereon.

[*Editor's Note: See today's Votes and Proceedings.*]

Mr. Riis: Mr. Speaker, a point of order. Before we move through the daily routine of business, because of the high interest rate policy of the government and the fact that interest rates now appear to be increasing, our intention would be to move a motion of concurrence. I believe that ought to be done under motions. If that is the case we certainly would like to move that.

Mr. Speaker: I would just like to ask the hon. member to be patient while I consider this.

* * *

FINANCE

CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

Mr. Lorne Nystrom (Yorkton—Melville) moved:

That the first report of the Standing Committee on Finance presented to the House on Thursday, June 22, 1989, be concurred in.

Mr. Hawkes: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order, to consider this motion at this time would disrupt the House in terms of its willingness to debate the GST.

What I am wondering is whether that requires some reversion. Had we passed the point at which this motion was in order and, if so, Mr. Speaker, I can assure you the NDP would not have the unanimous consent of the House for any kind of reversion.

Mr. Speaker: I take the position that we have not proceeded with petitions. I had not recognized any member. It was made clear to me that the hon. member wished to bring to the attention of the House that they wanted to move a motion of concurrence. I was not into petitions.

Mr. Riis: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order just to clarify that point. I realize that we would not have gotten the unanimous consent to proceed.

To clarify what seems to be a misconception, it is not our intention to co-operate with the government. I think the Minister of Justice and the government House leader somehow conveyed that impression. I just want to make it perfectly clear that in no way do we plan to co-operate with this government as long as it is attempting to ram and jam the goods and services tax through the House of Commons.