

3. What are the terms of reference of each of these advisory committees?

4. What was the operating cost of each of these advisory committees for each of the last five years?

Return tabled.

KATES, PEAT, MARWICK AND COMPANY—  
CONTRACTS AND PERSONNEL EMPLOYED  
BY GOVERNMENT

Question No. 1,090—**Mr. Skoberg:**

1. Is there any one on the staff in the Office of the Prime Minister who was a member or partner or employed with the management consulting firm of Kates, Peat, Marwick and Company?

2. Is there any member or partner of the above firm presently on the Science Council of Canada?

3. If so, what criteria was used in the selection of this individual?

4. How many contracts has the Government of Canada assigned to the above consulting firm in the fiscal years 1964-65, 1965-66, 1966-67, 1967-68, 1968-69 and in what areas?

5. For the above years, how many were assigned on the basis of competitive tendering?

6. For the above years, how many were assigned without competitive tendering and for what reasons?

Return tabled.

WOMEN—NUMBER EMPLOYED AS  
MAGISTRATES OR JUDGES

Question No. 1,386—**Mr. Anderson:**

To the knowledge of the federal government (a) how many women are employed as magistrates or judges in Canada (b) what is the total number of magistrates and judges in Canada (c) how many women are employed as magistrates and judges in British Columbia (d) what is the total number of magistrates and judges in British Columbia (e) what is the percentage of women judges in Canada at each level of the judiciary?

Return tabled.

BORDEN-CAPE TORMENTINE FERRY—COST  
OF OPERATION

Question No. 1,474—**Mr. Macquarrie:**

1. What was the total cost of construction of each of the rail or car ferries placed on service in the Borden-Cape Tormentine run?

2. Since the *SS Prince Edward Island* was placed in service until the present time, what was the annual operating deficit (or surplus) on the Borden-Cape Tormentine ferry service?

3. For the same period, what was the annual expenditure on terminals, land equipment or any other cost incurred in connection with this ferry service apart from (2) above?

4. What is the total cost of the ferry recently purchased in Sweden for the P.E.I.-N.B. service?

*Orders for Returns*

5. What is the total projected cost of improvements currently planned at both terminals?

6. What is the projected cost of the ferry service for the next ten years?

Return tabled.

**Mr. Rod Thomson (Battleford-Kindersley):**

On a point of privilege, Mr. Speaker, how long do we have to wait for answers to questions placed on the order paper? My question No. 1,054 has been on the order paper since last December, and I just wonder how long it takes to get an answer.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member's wonderment is not a question of privilege.

**Mr. David Lewis (York South):** On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, I am past wondering but I would like to ask the Secretary of State for External Affairs to see whether my question, No. 1,298, tabled on January 31, could not be answered soon, since the information is easily within the availability of members of his department?

**Mr. Thomas M. Bell (Saint John-Lancaster):** Mr. Speaker, may I ask what happened with respect to starred question No. 1687 in the name of the right hon. member for Prince Albert? It would appear to be very easy to answer. It was listed to be answered today, and I think it should be answered today.

**Hon. Donald S. Macdonald (President of the Privy Council):** Mr. Speaker, I notice that this question calls for an estimate, and I presume the estimating is still under way.

**Mr. Speaker:** Shall the remaining questions stand?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

**Mr. Steven E. Paproski (Edmonton Centre):** I rise on a point of privilege, Mr. Speaker. There is a very easy question in my name, No. 1200, which has been on the Order Paper since January 20. To this date I have not received an answer.

**MOTIONS FOR PAPERS**

**Mr. D. W. Groos (Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of National Defence):** Mr. Speaker, would you be so kind as to call notices of motions Nos. 83, 85 and 87? I ask that the other notices of motions be allowed to stand?