

Vol. IX, No. 5. BEETUN, ONT., JUNE 1, 1893. WHOLE NO. 338.

| ::: THE ::: | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Canad | ian | Be | e] | OUTI | nal, |
| G. T. SOMERS - MANAGER, | | | | | |
| DEVOTED EXCLUSIVELY TO THE INTERESTS OF THE HONEY PRODUCER ONE DOLLAR PER ANNUM IN ADVANCE. | | | | | |
| Time. | 1 Inch. | 2 In. | 4 In | 1 Col. | Page. |
| 1 month 2 months 3 months 6 months 12 months | \$ 2 00 3 00 4 00 6 00 10 00 | \$ 3 00; 4 50; 5 5(9 00; 15 00; | \$ 4 50 6 50 9 00 15 00 25 00 | \$ 6 50 \$ 11 00 15 00, 25 00 40 00 | 10 00 17 00 25 00 40 00 75 00 |
| ME. H. L. JONES, of Goodna, Queensland, is our scent for Australia and adjacent British | | | | | |

our agent for Australia and adjacent British colonies and will receive subscriptions at the rate of five shillings per annum postpaid.

THE CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL. BEETON, ONTARIO, JUNE 1, 1893.

BEE-STINGS vs. RHEUMATISM.

At the expense of worrying our good friend, Dr. Mason, the littlest bit in the world, we recommend to his attention the following communication which we have just received from that practical and experienced authority on bees and their belongings and doings, Mr. R. F. Holtermann, on the subject of bee-stings as a cure for rheumatism. We wish

to premise Mr. Holtermann's note with the assertion that whether heestings cure rheumatism or whether they do not the circumstance or incident-or whatever else he may please to style it-has not the smallest particle cf interest in it for ourselves personally. We are happy to sav that we have never been afflicted with rheumatism, lumbago, sciatica, neuralgia, or any other of its kindred and tormenting allies, and the consequence is that a bee sting would be of no value to us, whilst more than one might be decidedly objectionable. Dr. Mason will therefore observe that we are entirely disinterested in our recommendaof the addition of this tion valuable remedy to his pharmacopæia. As a rule, we object, as he does, to anything that savors of empiricism, or that may be regarded as coming within the category of questionable therapeutics, and although we have upon a previous occasion employed an expression which might painfully impress the excellent doctor with the idea that our views in regard to medical practice savored somewhat of latitudinarianism and left us open to the insinuation that we had a leaning in the direction of homeopathic treatment, we beg to assure him that he is quite mistaken on that point, and that we are too conservative in our