license iist. This consideration, however, loses force when we come to deal with cities, all of which are fairly large.

"The Board of Prison Commissioners of Massachusetts report conditions in the thirty-three cities of that State for

the year 1906 as follows:

in other words, for every 100 cases of drunkenness in the no-license cities there were 233 cases in the license cities of Massachusetts, the population heing considered."

Tennessee

Knoxviiie (Tenn.) is a city of 36,051 population. It came under the operation of Local Option Prohibition in the latter part of 1907, and within a few days after the close of the first year of the new method, Chief of Police W. P. Chandler made the foliowing statement concerning the results:

Our city is 100 per cent, hetter to-day than when saloons were here. It is true we make arrests, and some for drunkenness, but where in the days of the saloon we made 150 to 250 a week, we rarely make fifty arrests a week now, including all manner of cases. In the saloon days we would make ten to twenty arrests each day, and thirty-five to fifty on Saturdays, nearly all drunks or the result of drunks. Now we have an nverage of three or four a day, and rarely go over ten to fifteen on Saturdays.

Clarksville (Tenn.) is not a large city, but it is one that has recently come under prohibition, having completed its first no-license year on June 30th, 1908. A comparison between its police records for that year and the year ending June 30th, 1907, under itcense is made in the following table:

	1907	1908	Dec.
All arrests	953	433	520
For drunkenness	353	119	224

Texas

Hon. Governor T. M. Campbell, of Texas, states his observation of the work of no-license in his State in the following terms:

About fifty of the totally dry counties, and many of the precincts in the others, have become so since 1903. The effect has been to greatly decrease the consumption of intoxicants and the amount of crime.

Canadian Conditions

In Canada, Locai Option Prohibition is not in operation in any very large cities. The sweep made in Nova Scotia and New Brunswick hy the Canada Temperance Act took place nearly thirty years ago. In the greater part of Prince Edward Island that measure was recently repealed to permit the enactment of a provincial law of total Prohibition. The victories of Local Option in Ontario and Quehec have been mainly won in rural municipalities and villages in which the record of crime and drunkenness was always The strongest evidence to be found in these two provinces in favor of the no-license plan is in the fact that in the localities in which no-license has heen tried, persistent efforts to secure a return to ilcense are simost invariably defeated.

Proof of Popularity

On January 3rd, 1909, voting took piace on the question of repealing Local Option in twenty-seven piaces in which it had heen adopted three years hefore, with the result that the Local Option was sustained, and generally hy increased majorities. The aggregate of votes cast in hoth these votings is shown in the following tables:

Votes Votes	for no-license against ilcense	9.860	1909 11,812 6,946	1 959
Maj	ority	3,048	4,866	1,418

The Scott Act

At the request of a Canadian Royal Commission, which was investigating the iiquor question in the year 1892, the chief officer of the statistical hranch of the Dominion Government