## FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION... (continued)

| Age Distribution | Dept | $1992-1993$ | $1991-1992$ | 1990.1991 | 1989.1990 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Category 1 | $(18-29)$ | $12 \%$ | $16.9 \%(91)$ | $16 \%(39)$ | $10 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ |
| Category 2 | $(30-39)$ | $27 \%$ | $30.4 \%(164)$ | $28 \%(71)$ | $34 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ |
| Category 3 | $(40-49)$ | $37 \%$ | $31.9 \%(172)$ | $38 \%(96)$ | $48 \%$ | $44.1 \%$ |
| Category 4 | $(50-59)$ | $20 \%$ | $20.2 \%(109)$ | $16 \%(40)$ | $6 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| Category 5 (60 +) | $3 \%$ | $0.6 \%(13)$ | $2 \%(5)$ | $2 \%$ | $.3 \%$ |  |

- The percentage of employees in category 1 has increased steadily since 1989-1990.
- The representation of employees in categories 2 and 4 is very close to target, i.e. the percentage of employees of that age in the department is very close to the percentage of clients in those age groups.
- As for categories 3 and 5, the percentage of employees in that age bracket who have used our services this year is down from last year.
(See graphic on next page)

