

PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE

Fourth Session of the Sixth Parliament.

FIFTY-SECOND DAY.

MONDAY, April 9, 1894.

The Speaker took the chair at 2 p.m.
Prayer by Rev. E. Robson.

SHIPBUILDING.

Mr. HORNBY moved—Whereas it is desirable to encourage the industry of shipbuilding in British Columbia; and whereas the building of large ships would be of great benefit to the province; and whereas there is an abundance of good timber and other material eminently adapted to the prosecution of such industry; Therefore be it resolved, that in the opinion of this house, it is desirable that the government should take into consideration the advisability of encouraging, as far as may be possible, the prosecution of the shipbuilding industry in this province.

Mr. GRANT spoke in support of the resolution, reminding the house that he had already advocated a movement in the same direction.

Mr. SMITH opposed the resolution if, as appeared to him, it meant simply that the government should offer a bonus for shipbuilding.

The SPEAKER said if this were the meaning of the resolution it would not be in order, moved by a private member.

Mr. HORNBY then moved the resolution, which was to the effect that the government should encourage the industry of shipbuilding by the grant of a bonus to the shipbuilder.

Mr. SMITH asked if the house had not already passed a resolution to the same effect, on the motion of Mr. Grant, upon which no action has been taken.

Dr. WATSON asked the Speaker's ruling as to whether the motion was in order.

Mr. BROWN spoke in opposition to encouraging the idea of bonuses.

Mr. HORNBY, with a view to putting his motion in order by permission altered it so that merely that the government should endeavor to ascertain what steps are necessary to encourage the shipbuilding industry in this province.

Mr. BROWN considered it hopeless to attempt to establish shipbuilding here, where wages continue so much higher than in other countries.

Mr. BROWN said the resolution as amended was even more objectionable than in its original form, for it gave what might be considered authority for a commission to go all over the province, or possibly to Eastern Canada and the old country.

The resolution being put was declared lost.

COLONIAL PROBATES ACT.

Mr. BROWN inquired: Is the act of the Imperial house, known as the "Colonial Probates Act, 1892," sufficient to justify the government in bringing into force, with respect to the United Kingdom, the act, chapter 18 of the Statutes of 1889, which provides for the recognition of wills in this province of probates and letters of administration granted in the United Kingdom, and does the government intend to bring the act into force?

Mr. HORNBY said that the Imperial act is sufficient, and it is the intention of the government to bring the act into question into force.

CARIBOO MINING COMPANY.

The report from committee on the Cariboo hydraulic mining company bill was adopted, and the bill was read a third time and passed.

NAKUP AND SLOAN RAILWAY.

Mr. HORNBY said that as a matter of privilege, before the order for the third reading of the Nakup and Sloan railway bill was called, he wished to offer a motion arising out of some remarks made during the discussion of this bill in committee on the whole. On that occasion the hon. member for Nanaimo district made certain statements which have been, he (Mr. HORNBY) thought, fairly accurately reported in the Times of Saturday, as follows:

"If the members of the government were not interested in the scheme of some of their friends were. There must have been some reason for the withdrawal of the lowest tender. The statement of the Attorney-General printed in the Colonist showed that there was something wrong and made it appear that the Attorney-General was a member of the company. The Attorney-General had been working for the company, not for the province. It was not in the power of the Attorney-General to push a company, as he had done in this case. He believed the scheme was not 'straight'."

He (Mr. HORNBY) would like the hon. member to say whether or not the report just read is correct.

Mr. FORSTER—Not exactly.

Mr. HORNBY—Well, it is correct in effect, is it not?

Mr. FORSTER—No; it does not exactly mean what I said. I certainly did say, though, that I thought everything was not straight about the matter.

Mr. HORNBY said he thought the report read was in substance what Mr. Forster had said. That was his recollection of it, and he noticed the same thing in effect, in the Colonist. He had made his quotation from the organ of the opposition, because he thought its report at least would not be disputed.

Mr. FORSTER—I will say over again what I stated the other night. I said that the way the Attorney-General was conducting the matter, and the lack of precision on the part of the government, were sufficient to justify any member in believing that the affair was not straight.

Mr. HORNBY—In view of the statements made in committee, and in view of what has been said just now by the hon. member, I move that the standing orders be suspended in order that I may move the following resolution:

"Whereas, acting under the advice of the executive council, His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor has been pleased to give a provincial guarantee of interest in favor of the Nakup and Sloan railway company; and whereas it has been stated by the hon. member for Nanaimo district, in his place in the house of assembly, in reference to the said guarantee, that it appeared that the honorable leader of the government was a member of the company, and had been working for the company and not for the province."

Mr. FORSTER—No sir!

Mr. HORNBY (continuing the resolution) "and it has also been intimated in the said house of assembly by other honorable members, although not directly charged, that the members of the executive council were seduced by corrupt motives in advising His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor to give a guarantee of interest in favor of the said Nakup and Sloan railway company; Therefore be it resolved, that an honorable

address be presented to His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor, praying him to appoint a royal commission to enquire whether the Honorable the Premier was a member of the said company, whether in advising the said guarantee he worked for the company and not for the province, and whether corrupt motives of any kind existed with or without the Honorable the Premier in the advice tendered by them to His Honor the Lieutenant-Governor in relation to the Nakup and Sloan railway company."

Mr. HORNBY added that after the vote on the motion for the suspension of the rules and orders he would have something to say with respect to the resolution which he proposed to offer.

Mr. KITCHEN objected that the resolution ought to be before the house in print, which not being the case he objected to the suspension of the standing orders.

Mr. FORSTER—It ought to be printed. There is one statement anyway there that I never made!

Mr. KITCHEN—Until it is printed I will object.

Mr. BROWN—It will be time enough to suspend the orders when we see this printed.

Mr. HORNBY said he was surprised that any member should offer such an objection. The resolution would be immediately printed, and would be on the members' desks before he moved its adoption.

Mr. KITCHEN claimed to have been misinformed in the organ of the opposition, he would have an opportunity to make the desired correction. The position he took was simply this, that the purity of the members of the government of the province, whatever its politics, is of the highest importance, and he and his colleagues could not allow any charge against it to stand uncorrected.

He said that he had always been the practice to move the suspension of the standing orders in such cases, and the same thing as now proposed had been done in 1874, when some proposition was raised against the then leader of the government. He hoped the hon. gentleman opposite would not persist in his objection.

Mr. KITCHEN said he certainly would object until the resolution was printed. It would not be doing justice to Mr. Forster to do otherwise.

Mr. HORNBY thereupon sent the resolution to the Queen's printer, with the request that it be immediately printed.

Mr. KITCHEN, as a matter of privilege, asked why a letter written by Mr. Mohun, relating to the value placed upon the act, should have been printed, as he claimed to have been promised by the Attorney-General when that gentleman read it during the debate on Friday night.

Mr. HORNBY said he made no promise or even remark of any kind about printing the letter.

Mr. BROWN said it is a rule of the house that a member of the government should not read from a letter of any kind if it was not to be placed before the house.

Mr. HORNBY said that what was at issue immediately under discussion was far more important than this letter, and he objected to the hon. gentleman opposite thus attempting to draw a herring across the trail.

Mr. KITCHEN said the question of privilege he raised was that a document was read which had not been sent down to the house. It had been used as an argument in favor of the bill, and should have been printed with the rest of the papers.

Mr. BROWN said the Attorney-General need not be alarmed; there was no fear of a herring being drawn across the trail. The opposition would not withhold consent to the resolution on that account, though he thought Mr. Mohun's letter would have been put upon the clerk's desk.

Mr. HORNBY said he was ashamed of him introducing a trivial matter like this to divert the attention of the house from the charge imputing the grossest impurity on the part of the members of the government is under discussion.

Mr. FORSTER contended that Mr. Mohun's letter should have been printed. He needed it, he said, for his side of the case.

Mr. HORNBY again urged that the house had a right to have the letter printed. Mr. HORNBY replied that he did not know that the house has such a right at all. The letter was written to him on the same day on which he had quoted from it, and therefore could not have been printed with the papers previously brought down. He thought he still had it amongst his papers, and if this proved to be the case he would submit it to the house.

The matter then dropped pending the printing of the resolution.

Mr. KITCHEN moved the second reading of the coal mines regulation bill. The object of this, he explained, is to increase the facilities for providing for the safety of the workers in mines, as would be seen from a perusal of its provisions. Already this session he had stated, in reference to the proposed bill, that he excluded Chinese from the mines, that such a provision could be defended only on the ground that their presence endangered the public safety. He had then said that such a bill should be general in its scope, and should exclude all those persons who might be a source of danger—whether Chinese, Japanese, Italians, English, Canadians, Scotch, or any other nationality. Although men might understand the English language they might not be able to comprehend orders given them, and they might be so densely ignorant as not to understand orders at all. Even in this house members opposite are often heard talking glibly upon subjects which they quite evidently do not understand at all. (Laughter.) He thought, for the reasons stated, that the bill to exclude Chinese legislation, because it takes that form it will probably only be declared ultra vires.

Mr. KITCHEN thought the bill would be inoperative because of the provision that the inspector should act upon any complaint of some one working in the mine, whereas the probability is that anyone making a complaint will be discharged. Believing that the bill would be utterly useless he would vote against the second reading.

Mr. BROWN objected because this bill proposes the repeal of the act of 1890.

Mr. HORNBY said the reason why the draftman had inserted this provision to repeal the act of 1890, is that that act is unworkable. If, however, the hon. gentleman opposite do not wish to have it repealed, he would have no objection to have the second clause, making that provision, struck out of the bill. Since the latter had come up, early in this session, he had looked into the matter, and he had come to the conclusion that the coal mines regulation act now on the statute is perfectly null and void. If, however, the gentleman opposite wished to have the clause struck out he would not object to it. It would do no harm and it would equally do no good.

Mr. FORSTER said if the Attorney-General would consent that the bill be amended so that it should be struck out in committee he would not object to the second reading.

The bill was read a second time, and continued in committee of the whole, Mr. Stoddart in the chair.

Mr. HORNBY moved to strike out the

second clause as suggested. He hoped this action would show the hon. gentleman opposite that the government had no political motive in introducing this bill, but was actuated solely by consideration for the public safety. If they had the idea that this bill would be more acceptable with the clause struck out, he was willing to have it so.

Motion agreed to.

A blank in the bill was filled in by the insertion of the words "five," making the provision that the inspector shall take steps to exclude any dangerous persons on any mine. After considerable discussion Mr. Keith moved to strike out this clause altogether, and this was agreed to.

Mr. BROWN moved amendments and read a third time and passed.

RAILWAY AID ACT.

Mr. HORNBY moved the second reading of the bill to amend the railway aid act. He thought it necessary to explain the object of the bill. It was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.

Mr. HORNBY said that the bill was to amend the two railways mentioned—the Chilliwack and the Nicola Valley, by granting a guarantee of interest and principal to the extent not to exceed half the amount required to build the lines. As already explained, the plan of guaranteeing the interest only involves a needless sacrifice of money, for experience has made it abundantly clear that such bonds with only the interest guaranteed have to be sold at a discount of 25 or 30 per cent. In the case of the Victoria and Sidney railway there was a loss of 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent. In the case of the Chilliwack and Nicola Valley, the loss was 28 per cent.