

for the service of the year of our Lord One thousand eight hundred and thirty-five; and have appointed Mr. *Pope*, Mr. *Macdonald*, Mr. *Binns* and Mr. *Thornton* a Committee to manage the same.

“House of Assembly, April 10th, 1835.”

On motion, Ordered, that the Committee of this House be instructed to state to the Committee of the House of Assembly, the insuperable objection of this House to the novel mode adopted by the House of Assembly, in sending up to this House a Bill embodying three several Revenue Laws now in force, and appropriating the Supplies therein contemplated to be produced; but in order that the Supplies required for the public service may not be lost, by the rejection of this Bill by the Council, they would suggest that the Revenue Laws be passed as heretofore, in separate Bills, and that the Appropriation Bill form a distinct enactment, conformable to the established usage of this Colony.

Thereupon the Committee went to the Conference—and having returned, reported the substance thereof.

On motion, Ordered, that the *President*, the Honourables *T. H. Haviland* and the *Attorney General* be a Committee, during the recess, to prepare a code of Rules and Standing Orders for the due government of this House, the same to be submitted for the consideration of the House, on the first day of the next Session.

A Message from the House of Assembly, by Mr. *Pope*:

“*Mr. President*,

“The House of Assembly desire a further Conference with the Legislative Council, on the subject matter of the last Conference; and have appointed the same Committee who managed the last Conference to manage this further Conference.”

On motion, Ordered, that this House do agree to a further Conference, as desired by the House of Assembly; and that the same Committee who managed the last Conference do manage this further Conference—to meet in the Committee Room instantler.

Ordered, that a Message be sent down to the House of Assembly, acquainting them therewith.

Thereupon the Committee went to the Conference—and having returned, reported, that they had met the Committee of the House of Assembly, and that that Committee had handed them the following Reasons for pursuing the course the House of Assembly had adopted relative to the Revenue Bills, which are as follows, viz:—

That the House of Assembly, in enacting only one Revenue Bill in the present Session, intended thereby to obviate the confusion and embarrassment which sometimes arose, occasioned by the difference of credit given for the payment of duties under the separate Revenue Acts passed in former Sessions.

The House are at a loss to account for what is termed “the insuperable objections” of the Council, to the novelty of the mode adopted by the House of Assembly in the present instance. They do not find that any objection was made by the Council to an Act passed by the Legislature of this Colony in 1785, in which the whole of the Revenue Acts were embodied into one Act, and the proceeds thereof appropriated to specific purposes.

Were other precedents necessary, your Committee are prepared to adduce several, from the practice of the Imperial Parliament, as well as from this and other of the British Colonies.

The Revenue Acts of last Session were all in their nature temporary, and limited in their operation to the services of the year in which they were passed.

These Acts being on the point of expiring, it became the duty of the House to consider, whether it would be more conducive to the public service to continue the former Revenue Acts, or to adopt some other course; and the result of their deliberations is embodied in the Revenue Bill now under discussion.