(say 20 square miles for the La Longe and some 9 square miles for the Montreal Lake band, the latter to surrender an equal area of resorve already surveyed) near the Sturgeon Lake." Reserve 1064, however, as surveyed by Mr. Ponton

4.

in 1897, and confirmed afterwards by Order-in-Council, contained 56.5 square miles instead of 29 square miles as proposed by the pepartment in 1895. But as the Montreal Lake band had before 1897 a reserve of 25 square miles surveyed for them, quite as much as they were entitled to by the census of 1889, apon which Mr. Ponton based his estimate, it seems clear, as they did not surrender any of their own peserve, that the very utmost share of reserve 1064 which they can claim is 9 square miles. The Lac la songe band has no other reserve surveyed for them at that time, and though they are assigned all the remainder of 1064, or 47.8 square miles, they would still be short (their minum number paid in 1889 being 534) of 19.5 square miles.

I therefore concur with Mr.? Jean that the share of the two bands in reserve 106A is in the following pro-

9/56.8

Montreal Lake, Lake la Monge,

portion, viz,-

36 100 401.00

The Lac La Ronge band, in my opinion, are entitled to a larger share than the above in reserve 106A, but as the will doubtless be allowed to hold small reserves in the vicinity of Lac La Ronge itself, it seems to me that it will be about fair to divide the moneys accruing from any sales or rentals in connection with the said reservein the

