

The Gateway

member of the Canadian University Press

STAFF THIS ISSUE

No! No! A thousand times no! That is what we said to the latest attack on our honor by the heinous minions of the office down the hall. We had decided to hold up our wick, man, and we had the light! Those illuminati present were Dennis Windrim, Elsie Ross, Bob Blinding flash Blair, Ross Harvey, Tyler Overton, Reta Johnson, Colette Forest, Ken Bird, Lana Yakimchuk, Beth-the-lady-of-the-lamp-Nilsen, Dick Nimmons, Bud Joberg, Dalter Sprado, Ron Yakimchuk, Dawn Kunesky, Winston Gereluk, Dennis Zomerschoe, Ann Parker, Karen Moeller, Karen Campbell, Henri Pallard, Dorothy Constable, and Bob Beal, not to mention, of course, your ever-loving, liking, and lighting snake, Harvey G. (for Golden Glow) Thomgirt.

Editor-in-chief Bob Beal
Sports Ron Ternoway
Advertising Percy Wickman

News Elsie Ross
Production Bud Joberg
Photo Barry Headrick
Don Bruce

The Gateway is published bi-weekly by the students of the university of Alberta. The editor-in-chief is responsible for all material published herein. Final copy deadlines are 6 p.m. the day before publication. The Gateway is printed by North Hill News Ltd., Edmonton.



AMCHITKA

Sometime soon, unless U.S. President Nixon changes his mind, the largest nuclear test ever will take place on an island off the coast of Alaska.

Not only is there danger of nuclear radiation being released as well as the possibility of tidal waves and earthquakes, but the gravest danger of our allowing such a test to take place is that it allows the US to continue exploiting all it can of the rest of the world while, seemingly, to prepare to destroy the world entirely.

As the article on pages six and seven points out, any protest against the test must also be a protest against American economic and military imperialism in general. This test is simply an extension of "the American way".

The US authorities have few real reservations about such tests even though Congress has just passed a resolution banning the test unless the president gives his direct approval.

After the 1965 test at Amchitka, the Atomic Energy Commission released a report which advocated doing a study of the widespread Canadian protest of the test. However, as it turns out, the AEC had no qualms about the morality or usefulness of the test, they just wanted to discover how to stifle dissent.

This report says the study should be made "as a means of judging whether similar opposition is likely in the future and perhaps to find means to counteract such opposition." And it adds that it "might reveal how the protests were inspired or financed; by whom; whether they resulted from a generally anti-U.S. attitude; or were centered entirely on AEC."

Whether the test goes off or not, we must actively oppose the political and economic structure which not only allows such tests, but seems to make them necessary.

We can begin our protest of this anti-human, necrophilic system by actively demanding an end to American economic and cultural domination of Canada and Quebec. The problem, after all, is American imperialism taking the form in this case of blatant disregard for the welfare of the people of the world. We can fight this disregard by fighting American domination of our homelands.

If the test takes place we must organize mass demonstrations against the American corporations which control our way of life. We must attempt to block the border on the day of the blast.

OIL RESOURCES

The question of Canada's natural resources is a ship that continues to be tossed on the waves of political controversy. Conspicuously absent is a ballast of scientific facts that those involved in the dispute could agree on. We welcome therefore the Committee for an Independent Canada's initiative in inviting Dr. Kenneth North (see ad in another part of the paper) the noted petroleum geologist at Carlton University to speak in Edmonton.

Dr. North will be here after delivering a paper entitled "A Sane Look at Canada's Oil Resources" at the Annual Convention of the American Petroleum Engineers Association in Banff this weekend. The topic is of paramount importance to Albertans.

And CIC affairs are never dull. The performance of their inebriated moderator at their last outing, a provincial election forum on resources, highlighted an otherwise dull campaign.

Someone

suggested we do an editorial on the inane handbook, "The University Primer." Students' Union has spent our money for. Others suggested we ignore it and hope it really doesn't exist. Democratic feeling being somewhat prevalent among the Gateway staff, we compromised.

D. B. Letter

Dear Bob:

The posters for Percy Wickman! I personally don't mind the choice of candidate, however, Bob, you know as I know that political advertising or soliciting (Students' Union elections not included) can not be permitted in the building. Once again, if you wish those posters to remain, take them from the windows and put them in the General Office, if that is agreeable to the General Office staff.

If you no longer require the posters, as signified by leaving in the window, we will gladly remove them for you tomorrow at 4 p.m.

Doug Black,
Coordinator Student Activities

impending suicide so that students of this university might have an opportunity to consider a replacement to fulfill your important role in supplying services and morals to them.

Sincerely,
Harvey G. (for Goldbrick)
Thomgirt.

GFC Again

The following is taken from the minutes of the GFC Executive Committee meeting of Sept. 8th:

Members had before them copies of correspondence which had passed between Mr. P. Arnold, Executive Secretary of N.A.S.A. (Non-Academic Staff Association) and the President. Mr. Arnold's letter of September 3, 1971 was as follows:

"In reply to your letter dated September 1, 1971, relating to Special Constables, it is true that the new Government of Alberta has indicated that they will revise the new Police Act, but this will be some time after January. At this time the existing Police Act is in force, and the ruling of Mr. Gerhart was that the warrants now held by our Special Constables are no longer valid and that the responsibility of policing the University is with the City of Edmonton Police.

It is my opinion that General Faculties Council cannot declare by a majority vote that the Campus Security Constables will remain as Special Constables when the act states that they are not. It also makes the work of the General Faculties Council Committee on Campus Security Policy very hard if in fact the Campus Security personnel are not Special Constables.

It would appear that the solution could be that the Campus Security Force revert to Campus Patrol type operation and leave out the police work until such time as the act is changed, but I think General Faculties Council should be involved and by leaving it until the act is changed leaves Campus Security personnel in limbo."

The Chairman (University President Wyman) informed the Committee that he had already indicated to Mr. Arnold that it was premature to take any action until the new Government had declared its intentions concerning the new Police Act. In view of Mr. Arnold's reply to him, however, he wished to bring the matter before the Executive Committee.

It was MOVED by Dr. Davey, seconded by Dean Coutts, that this matter be deferred until the incoming Government clarifies its intentions concerning The Police Act.

As is usual with matters that demand immediate attention, the GFC Executive passed the motion and, as Arnold pointed out, have left Campus Security personnel in limbo. This time they did not even refer the matter to a committee.