Order Paper Questions

unanimous consent of the House which of course would be necessary to move concurrence in a report tabled earlier this day. Does the hon. member have the unanimous consent of the House to move such a motion?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. G. W. Baldwin (Peace River) moved that the ninth report of the Standing Joint Committee on Regulations and other Statutory Instruments, presented to the House earlier this day, be concurred in.

Motion agreed to.

[Translation]

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

Mr. J.-J. Blais (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 5,176, 5,180, 5,516, 5,517, 5,518, 5,557, 5,742 and 5,785.

I ask, Mr. Speaker, that the remaining questions be allowed to stand.

[Text]

PRIME MINISTER'S VISIT TO TORONTO, APRIL 1976

Question No. 5,176-Mr. Cossitt:

1. With reference to the Prime Minister's visit to Toronto on or about April 2, 1976 (a) what specific means of government transportation was used from Ottawa to Toronto and from Toronto to Ottawa and what was the cost per hour of same including, while in use and any waiting time (b) what are the names and job designations of all those on the public payroll who were with the Prime Minister at any point and what was the entire cost of their presence to the taxpayer (c) what are the names and addresses of any persons other than the Prime Minister or members of the news media who travelled on the trip in the Prime Minister's party (d) were any of the Prime Minister's personal expenses paid from public funds and, if so, what are the various items and the cost in each case?

2. (a) Did the trip include attendance at a meeting in York-Scarborough under the auspices of the Liberal Party (b) will the Party be asked to reimburse from party funds an appropriate share of the cost of the trip and, if not, for what reason?

3. Are such expenditures of public money extended by the government to the Leader of the Liberal Party only and, if so, for what reason?

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): In so far as the Prime Minister's Office is concerned: 1. (a) A MOT Jetstar was used at \$970 per flying hour; (b) Bob Murdoch, Colin Kenny, Peter McGuire, Ralph Coleman; Prime Minister's Office \$188.50. Senator R. Stanbury (Ottawa-Toronto); (c) See (b) above. M^{me} Trudeau and Sacha Trudeau were on the return flight only; (d) No.

2. See reply to question 69 answered February 3, 1976. (a) Yes. (b) No.

3. See reply to question 69 answered February 3, 1976.

Question No. 5,180—Mr. Cossitt:

Was the Prime Minister's trip to Toronto on or about April 2, 1976 publicly financed and, if so (a) did he confer with any Liberal Party [Mr. Speaker.]

officials during the trip (b) is he prepared to make public the names of those with whom he conferred and the subjects discussed?

Right Hon. P. E. Trudeau (Prime Minister): Certain expenses of the trip were. See reply to question 5,176, part 1. (a) Yes; (b) No.

CHILE-LOANS

Question No. 5,516-Mr. Rodriguez:

1. Have there been any export loans concluded with Chile since the \$5,000,000 agreement of January 29, 1974 and, if so (a) on what date was the agreement entered into (b) who was (i) the borrower (ii) the Canadian exporter (c) what was the product being financed (d) what were the (i) repayment terms (ii) proposed amount of financing?

2. Are there any applications currently under consideration by the Export Loans Division for exports to Chile and, if so, what is the (a) date of the application (b) name of the (i) borrower (ii) Canadian exporter (c) product being financed (d) proposed amount of financing?

3. At what rate of interest have loans to Chile between 1970 and 1974 been arranged and under what terms for repayment?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): In so far as Export Development Corporation is concerned: 1. No. (a) Not applicable; (b) Not applicable; (c) Not applicable; (d) Not applicable.

2. By tradition and as a matter of commercial principle Crown Corporations have not been required by Parliament to answer detailed questions on their administration and operations. This is of particular importance to EDC because of the need to protect the competitive position of Canadian companies bidding in world markets. In certain instances an announcement may be made when an export sale supported by EDC has been finally consummated. Those transactions appear in EDC's Annual Report. Otherwise in the interest of trade EDC does not publish.

3. EDC made one loan to Chile in the first half of 1970 and one early in 1974. Repayment terms were 21 semi-annual instalments in the first case and 10 semi-annual instalments in the second; it has not published the rate of interest on loans. To do so would impair its negotiating position in subsequent transactions.

FOREIGN INVESTMENT—CHILE

Question No. 5,517—Mr. Rodriguez:

1. What is the government's and/or Export Development Corporation's policy toward Foreign Investment Insurance Programmes for Canadian investments in Chile?

2. Have any Canadian investments been insured since 1970 and, if so, what (a) were the investments (b) is the name of the investor (c) was the project (d) was the total value of the investment?

3. Have any applications been made for Foreign Investment Insurance in Chile since 1970 and, if so, what (a) were they (b) is the name of the investor (c) was the project (d) was the total value of the investments?

4. Are there any applications currently being considered by the Foreign Insurance Division for Canadian investments in Chile and, if so (a) who are the possible recipients (b) what are the projects (c) what is the total value of the investments?

Mr. Marcel Roy (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce): In so far as EDC is concerned: 1. Its policy is established by the Board of Directors on the merits of particular cases as they arise,