and emphasis to the statement, in the clause where the idea of a principle first appears, he uses only the latter of these expressions in the subsequent part of the reasoning: τουτο πηγη και ἀρχη κινησεως. ἀρχη δε ἀγενητον κ. τ. λ.

NOTE III.

`Αρχη δε άγενητον · εξ άρχης γαρ άγαγκη παν το γιγνομενον γιγνεσθαι, αυτην δε μηδ' εξ ένος · εί γαρ εκ του άρχη γιγνοιτο, ουκ αν εξ άρχης γιγνοιτο. —(Phaedrus, § 51. Bekker.)

The proposition, a principle is unproduced, which forms the Premiss (e) of Argument II., Note II., Plato supports by the reasoning, $i\xi$ $a\rho\chi\eta_5$ $\gamma a\rho$ $a\nu a\gamma\kappa\eta$ κ . τ . λ . Great difficulty, however, appears to have been found with the text as it stands; and various conjectural emendations of the last clause, $\partial \nu \kappa$ $\partial \nu$ $\partial \kappa$ Plato I extract the following specimens:

- (a). ουκ αν αρχη γιγνοιτο (Muretus).
- (b). ουκ αν ετι αρχη γενοιτο (Buttmann-approved by Heindorf.)
- (c). ὀυκ ἀν ἢν ἐτι ἀρχη (Ast).
- (d). ὀυκ ἀν ἐξ ἀρχης γιγνοιτο τουτο (Schleiermacher).

I have a strong persuasion that the text stands in no need of alteration, and that it is only in consequence of Plato's real course of thought having been misapprehended that alteration has been deemed necessary. The argument of the passage may, I conceive, be thus presented:

- (a). Proposition to be proved:—A principle is unproduced $(a\rho\chi\eta)$ be $a\gamma\epsilon\eta\tau\sigma\nu$).
- (β). In seeking to establish this, the first position laid down, is, that every thing which is produced is of necessity produced from a principle (ἐξ ἀρχης γαρ ἀναγκη παν το γιγνομενον γιγνεσθαι). The position here asserted, which is presumed to be self-evident, leads directly to what is sought to be proved, that a principle is not produced from anything (ἀντην δε μηδ' ἐξ ἐνος).
- (γ). For suppose, if possible, that the proposition sought to be proved is not true; in other words, suppose a principle to be produced from something (ἐι γαρ ἐκ του ἀρχη γιγνοιτο).