by substituting three months

but a thorough examination such as was provided by the Factory Acts. There was no case for such a special examination every three months.

After more debate, the Gevernment undertook to give further consideration to the matter before the re-

agreed to providing that a report of the result of every were in power. such examination should within fourteen days be entered in a book kept in the mine and signed by the

On clause 58, which relates to the qualification and attendance of enginemen, Sir A. Markham moved an addition setting out that the engineman engaged in raising or lowering persons should not be employed for more than eight hours out of the twenty-four, and including modifications where two or more men worked the same engine. He said that there had been innumerable cases in which accidents had occurred after men had been working long hours.

ter was very controversial, and he could not see his way to accept the amendment.

After discussion the amendment was carried against the Government by 18 votes to 12.

clause was deleted providing that enginemen should be addressing Picton County audiences, periodically medically examined. The Solicitor-General for Scotland mentioned that it had been made apparent that the provision would lead to considerable hardship.

On Clause 60, which imposes restrictions on the use

The Solicitor-General for Scotland said that the use of electricity in mines was not necessarily dangerous, want of capital, nothing but good can come from the taking out of a great number of installations which had been put in with the approval of the Home Office, and great expese would be entailed.

Mr. Richards said that real alarm had been felt by the working people in connection with the use of

electricity in dangerous mines.

the same

the use of electricity in the vast majority of mines in this country.

Mr Harvey said it was inconsistent to compel men to work with safety lamps while an adjacent electricial else would they get the same terms. coal-cutting machine was giving off sparks and in some cases flame.

The amendment was under discussion when the Committee adjourned.'

E. M. MacDONALD'S CORRECTION.

received from Mr. E. M. Macdonald, M. P., which in part, is as follows:

The Solicitor-General for Scotland said that the 28th, where on page 14 you were good enough to examinations referred to was not the periodical examinations reference to alleged remarks of mine to New Glasgow and Stellarton audiences and in which you attribute to me the statement that not a pound of coal had gone to Montreal when the tories were in I may say that the report of my remarks in New Glasgow contained in the Eastern Chronicle in port stage of the bill, and the amendment was with el to the audience was this: that the Acadia Coal reference to this matter, were incorrect. What I stat-On the motion of Mr. T. Taylor an amendment was Montreal during the time that the conservative party Company had practically not sent a pound of coal to I stated that the Drummond had sent comparitively small quantities during that period. This statement is correct and as you can find on examination

Mr. McDonald, in addition, calls attention to the fact that Mr. Dick is a high authority on the coal business. The RECORD would emphasize the statement, and would count him a fool who would try to gainsay it. At the same time we might hint to Mr. McDonald, as kindly as we can, that it is possible that he may, at some time, be followed on the platform by some one not ignorant of the fact that The Solicitor-General for Scotland said that to in- without hesitation, reservation, or a blush, that his Mr. Plummer stated, at a meeting of coal men, sert a provision dealing with the period of labour of company would suffer least by any reduction of the adults was to do something quite new so far as Acts coal duty, and the RECORD is wholly of Mr. Plummer's opinion. In short the Dominion Coal Company might struggle on under tariff conditions that would send the Mainland collieries to the wall. reduction that might not jar that company would heavily jolt all others. We trust Mr. Macdonald On the motion of Sir A. Markham a part of the grasps what line of argument we would avoid in

BRITISH AND CANADIAN PREFERENCE

of electricity in mines, Mr. S. Walsh moved to pro- standing, speaking at a banquet of the Colonial Sir. Edward Spencer, a banker of very high vide that electricity should not be used in any part of Club in London no, long ago, among other things

"So long as Britain herself does not languish for gulations of the Home Office, but the amendment colonies or foreign countries. It appears that we have investment of the surplus savings of the nation in the would absolutely prohibit its use in any mine where about \$\int_1,000,000,000 (fifteen thousand million dollars) of British money invested outside of these Islands and it may be divided into two almost equal portions -that invested in the British possessions and that invested in foreign lands. It appears also that the British investor asks, and gets, one per cent. less for the money invested in the British possessions than he gets Mr. S. Roberts said that the amendment would stop mounts to a preference of £10,000,000 a year in interest charges alone—no mean preference as the banker pointed out. That at all events is one solid advantage to the peoples connected with Britain, for no where

Ten to one those Canadians who want Britain to give a preference have not thought of this item.

The type of individual, of no benefit to himself or anyone else, is a sore head, a fellow with an eternal kick; a human ulcer always getting the raw edge on In the absence of the editor a letter has been or the proprietor. Everypody, in his perverted sense thinking he is,-from the time keeper, the foreman, of things, is giving him the double cross or has a knife ready to uso.