

which was so important a part of their ministry, should be abandoned? If any man can believe it, let him believe it. I have only touched upon the evidence in proof of my thesis, but if I have not said enough, more would be equally unavailing.

I therefore submit these pages to the careful reading and candid consideration of an impartial public. If it be truth, treat it as such, if not, reject it.

THOMAS LAING.

AGREEMENTS & DIFFERENCES BETWEEN BAPTISTS & DISCIPLES.

No. 2.

The burden of the article in Mr. Davidson's "Messenger," to which we casually alluded in our No. 1, is couched in these three extracts:

1. What! the Baptist Church in St. Catherines thrown open to Alexander Campbell!! What next? How it may have been granted for his use we trow not, but would beg our readers to regard our beloved Bro. Ryerson as free of all blame in the matter.

2. If no other proofs of his [A. Campbell's] great—should we not rather say "damnable heresies?" could be produced than those given by bro. Frazer in his valuable letter published elsewhere in to-day's issue; these are sufficient to settle the matter forever. There are his own words deliberately written and publish to the world.

3. We should just as soon think of opening our chapels to Theodore Parker, James J. Strang, Brigham Young or to Andrew Jackson Davis, as we would think of opening them to any man, no matter who he was, or where he hailed from, who taught the monstrous errors which are taught by the teachers of the current Reformation.

Concerning the first and the last of these extracts, we studiously avoid enlarged comment, believing that they will not only answer the market for which they were designed, but ultimately subserve the cause of truth without interference on our part. Opponents of every reformation since the world began have very generally if not invariably defeated themselves by over-stepping the bounds of common caution. It has been their fortune to lose ground by not keeping sufficiently cool and collected. They draw extravagant pictures that excite suspicion in the mind of their own friends. Mistaking their own cause and the cause they oppose, looking as they do through the filmy microscope of blear-eyed prejudice, they blustrify and