

MUMM'S For Purity

It has been frequently asserted by eminent authorities that nearly two-thirds of the crime and poverty of the world was directly traceable to intemperance. These wiseacres should have stated that these evils are due to the immoderate use of wines and liquors of questionable quality for it is a well known fact that intemperance is practically unknown in the wine-producing countries of the world where the inhabitants drink moderately of good, pure wines. You'll be all right if you stick to

**G. H. MUMM & CO'S
EXTRA DRY**

**G. H. MUMM & CO'S
SELECTED BRUT**

TEES ARRIVES WITH MANY PASSENGERS

**West Coast Vessel Brings Large
Number of People to
Port**

(From Sunday's Daily.)

The steamer Tees reached port yesterday from Cape Scott, Quatsino, and way ports of the west coast of Vancouver island, bringing a large complement of passengers including about 45 in the saloon and 25 Chinese miners who have been employed for J. A. Moore, of Seattle on a mining property on the west arm of Quatsino sound. J. A. Lindemann, the Swedish expert sent to make an examination of mining properties on the Vancouver island coast, who has been visiting places at Jordan river, Sechart, and other points, arrived from Alberni and is staying at the Sechart hotel. He has found other passengers were J. A. Bentley, of the Bugaboo and Conqueror iron mines on Jordan river; F. M. Kelly, who has been on a tour through the north end of the island to gather data for literary and other purposes; Col. Remington, a mining man from Prince of Wales who owns copper properties on Valdez island, and who has been visiting the Yekka mine on Quatsino sound to report upon that property which is stated to be in the market; C. H. Smith provincial land surveyor came from Quatsino to Alberni after surveying some iron mines there; Roy Price, manager of the Moore outfit at Quatsino; G. O. Buchanan, of Kaslo, from Nootka, where he has some timber limits, and a number of timber cruisers, loggers and others.

At Kyuquot the new whaling station of the Pacific Whaling company was being inaugurated, but the whalers were bringing in more whales than the staff could handle. There are whales plenty, but the staff cannot fense and convert more than one or two daily into whale oil and fertilizer casked and sacked ready for shipment to Glasgow and San Francisco respectively. The steamer St. Lawrence was lying at the wharf when the Tees arrived at Kyuquot on her south bound trip and her crew were talking strongly in Norse about the hardships in not being able to continue hunting.

Since the station was established on July 16, they have taken fourteen whales, one of them the largest and the largest seen on the island coast. At Sechart whaling station the Orton had taken nineteen whales since the last trip of the steamer up to Friday, and the crew, under Manager Kermode, were very busy. The Tees brought a shipment of 450 barrels of whale oil from the whaling stations.

News was brought by the steamer that the timber cruisers are still invading the coast forests, their numbers growing, and there is considerable activity on every part of the western coast.

ELECTRIC STORM VISITS WOODSTOCK DISTRICT

**Buildings in City and Vicinity
Struck by Lightning—Much
Havoc Caused**

Woodstock, Ont., July 28.—During an unusually severe electrical storm which passed over this district last night a great deal of damage was done. Burns delonging to Charles Chambers, of Orel, Thomas Page, of Salford, and John Haycock, were struck and consumed, with their contents. In Woodstock the court house and Molsons bank were struck, but not burned. Telephones were put out of business, and trees blown down and crops damaged.

Argentine Revolution.
Rio Janeiro, July 27.—A revolutionary movement has broken out in one of the Argentine provinces bordering on Brazil. The Brazilian government has sent troops to the frontier in order to prevent a violation of neutrality.

BAMBOO GROWING IS SUCCESS IN VICTORIA

**As a Result Large Shipment of
Plants Has Been Ordered
From Japan**

The success of the experiments of the Japanese gardeners at the Gorge park in growing bamboo at the Japanese tea garden has prompted them to order a considerable amount of bamboo plants from Japan, which will be shipped during the winter, as the plants have a better chance of passing fumigation during that time. It is proposed to start the cultivation of bamboo largely during the coming summer.

It is expected by the Japanese gardeners that, with the successful cultivation of bamboo, and they do not anticipate else, a considerable sale of the bamboo to furniture dealers would be enjoyed.

Furniture manufacturers have no material which corresponds to bamboo. Willow comes as near it as anything else used in this country, but bamboo is far different to the manufacture of that furniture for which willow is now used, and for many other things besides. Bamboo in the manufacture of chairs is as light as willow, and it is very much stronger. Sofas can be made from it, dress suit cases and screens. The Japanese use it for a great variety of purposes. They depend upon it for their chief supply of building material, and they make from it many kitchen utensils. It is as indispensable to them as pine is on the continent, and it could be put in this country to use for such pine is not suited. For instance, its hollow centre would make it a cheap substitute for iron pipes and rubber hose, in carrying water. A bamboo steamer can be split down its length, and then spread out flat, making a board of great strength and of tough fiber. In coopersage it has high value for staves. The green shoots of some varieties are highly prized in the Orient as food.

Another very important use to which bamboo could be put is the manufacture of paper. Old Chinese books were made with bamboo paper, and they have endured for centuries. With the price of paper soaring in this country, and with much of the paper of poor quality, the government experts figure that a new source of supply is worth getting.

In Japan the cultivation of the bamboo is profitable. Dr. Chiga, the chief of the bureau of forest management in Japan, is quoted as saying that the bamboo is the best paying plant culture in his country. There it grows on land which is of small value for the cultivation of rice, and the labor involved in the care of a bamboo garden is not great. The returns vary from \$20 to \$80 per acre, being highest for the edible varieties. In this country a demand must be created before bamboo culture can be made profitable at all.

VENEZUELA IS STUBBORN.
Caracas, Venezuela, July 25.—Via wireless telegrams, the Venezuelan government yesterday handed to the American minister, W. A. Russell, the answer of the Venezuelan government to the arbitration of five American claims. The arbitration of five American claims, the Venezuelan government refused to arbitrate the claims in question. The reply is a lengthy document, and answers many of the arguments advanced by Mr. Root. The opinion is that in some quarters that this answer may lead to the severance of diplomatic relations between Venezuela and the United States.

FIRE LIMITS WERE GREATLY EXTENDED

**Several Additional Blocks Are to
Be Included In the Re-
stricted Area**

(From Saturday's Daily.)
A by-law was introduced by Ald. Hanna at the last evening's special session of the city council increasing the area of the city lying within the fire limits. The northern border of the old limits moved back from Herald to Pembroke street, while from Flanagan to Pembroke street on Douglas the fire limits will also include the 120 feet of land east of the eastern side of Douglas street. As Ald. Hanna pointed out, the committee could not depend upon the proprietors to build the front of their buildings of brick, which would not be so liable to take fire by sparks from the west.

The by-law was originally drawn up merely to extend the limits to Discovery street, but Ald. Fullerton, chairman of the board of fire wardens, held out for the inclusion of the block running out from Pembroke street. He was getting after, he stated, the British Columbia Marine Shipbuilding yards. The city solicitor added a clause which provided that the by-law shall apply to all buildings commenced since the recent fire, and there were a great many questions and debates between the various city officials present before the by-law was finally given its third reading. It will come up for final passing next Monday night.

The tax by-law for 1907 was reconsidered and finally passed as was the waterworks tax by-law for \$5,000. The buildings by-law was laid over till last week, as it is possible that an amendment calling upon residents to paint their roofs and to keep them free from moss, will be added.

WOULD FIGHT JEFFRIES.

**Tommy Burns Says He Would Take
on Retired Champion.**

San Francisco, July 27.—Tommy Burns spent the evening in San Francisco. The active heavyweight champion said that he had been asked by Jim May, the Reno promoter, whether he would agree to fight Jeffries. He told May that if Jeffries was willing to come out of retirement that he was willing to meet him, or meet any other man, if a big enough purse is offered. As Jeffries has said repeatedly that he would never fight again there seems no immediate prospect for Tommy getting such a fight.

Burns opened at the Colonial Sunday. He has a vaudeville show and in addition to the moving pictures the fight will spar three rounds on the stage.

SAYS COUNTY COURT SHOULD DO MORE

**Mr. Martin Holds That Conges-
tion in Legal Affairs Could
Be Relieved**

Joseph Martin, K. C., in course of a valuable contribution published in the "News-Advertiser" on the question of legal congestion, says:

"In my opinion there are, already plenty of judges in this province. The difficulty is that the work is not properly divided up between them. It does not seem to me that the appointment of more judges would assist matters in any way. At the present time there is no difficulty in any place except in Vancouver, where one judge is laboring with a list of fifty cases, while another judge is absent on vacation, and the three remaining judges are in Victoria; one acting as administrator, another writing up a judgment, and the fifth appearing in court as a court officer to receive proper summons to act."

"The real solution for all this trouble is to give the county court judges more work to do, and allow the supreme court judges to act as a court of appeal. At the present time the county court judges have jurisdiction in practically all cases up to \$1,000, and the supreme court judges have jurisdiction in cases up to \$2,500. The trouble is that a lawyer is not compelled to go into the county court with these cases. He may go into the supreme court with any case for \$1,000. As the costs are about five times as great in the supreme court as they are in the county court, the supreme court is flooded with actions which ought to be disposed of in the county court."

"Take the present sittings at Vancouver. All day yesterday the court and a special jury were engaged in trying a case with regard to the killing of a horse by the B. C. electric railway company. Under the present case could have been disposed of in the county court with equal satisfaction to all parties, except that it would cost about one-fifth as much. The legislature having prevented the county court from hearing libel and slander actions, with the result that Mr. Justice Clement and a special jury were sitting in hearing a libel case, which was finally disposed of by the jury in about ten minutes. This case could well have been tried in the county court."

"Every province in Canada, I believe, except British Columbia, has a law that if a lawyer brings a suit in the supreme court which is within the jurisdiction of the county court his client is obliged to pay to the other side, no matter what the result of the suit is, all extra costs involved. If this law were put in force here there would be no longer any congestion in the county court. It is not put in force in such cases as the people of the province allow the legal profession to decide all these questions, and they are, therefore, decided in the supposed interest of the profession instead of the interest of the public."

"If it would be necessary, if the hearing of cases were in this way turned over to the county court, to provide that court with competent judges, that ought to be done in any case. Under such a system cases could be disposed of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and if either party was dissatisfied with the result of the full benefit of the view of the supreme court judges by taking an appeal to the full court. The supreme court judges could then only be obliged to try such cases as they could not dispose of within a month after they were started, and