

person's vote; Sarsfield, Mulhearn, and Morris worked actively for Mr. Robertson; saw people going into and out of Walsh's and McNulty's; was once at McNulty's with Sarsfield; saw probably twenty or thirty people go to the houses; do not know whether Mr. Robertson knew there was drinking going on; should think that anyone there could see that drinking was going on." There were several other witnesses who admitted being in those two places during polling hours, and while the poll was open in their close proximity.

By the 151st section, "No candidate for the representation of any electoral district shall, nor shall any other person, either provide or furnish drink or other entertainment at the expense of such candidate or other person to any meeting of electors, aforesaid, for the purpose of promoting such election, previous to or during such election, or pay, or promise or engage to pay, for any such drink or other entertainment, except only that nothing herein contained shall extend to any entertainment furnished to any such meeting of electors by or at the expense of any person or persons at his, her or their usual place of residence." By the 11th sub-sec. of sec. 2 of the Election Act of Ontario, any violation of this 151st sec. is declared to be a corrupt practice.

It is plain from the evidence that the liquor dispensed at these two places was not provided at the expense of either Walsh or McNulty, but by some other persons, consequently was a corrupt practice under the 11th sub-sec. of sec. 2, above referred to; and as it has been shown that Sarsfield took part in furnishing this liquor to voters, the respondent must be held responsible, so far as the result of this petition is concerned, for such acts of his agent.

It was also strongly urged by Mr. Kerr that there was a contravention of this provision on two other occasions, or perhaps three, namely: one, or perhaps two, at the hotel kept by Sarsfield, and another at the residence of Mr. R. S. Young. I think, as respects the meeting at Mr. Young's, there was nothing objectionable; it was clearly within the

1879.]

exceptio
usual pl
importa
at Sarsfi
the inte
the 151st
corrupt
satisfied
what to
nature
but I w
we are c
of illeg
clude no
ing in q
the elec
sons wh
furnish
taking
was an
cases of
this vio
actions
be over
"the co
nature,
have be
been aff
nection

We fi
cases of
close to
the ever
corrupt
the Elec

Thus
forbidd
We f