PROMISSORY	V Norm :- A righting of and a second
~	NOTE:—A notice of protest of a, addressed to a lady as "Sir," instead of "Madame," is sufficient, if duly served upon her. (Mitchell vs. Browne, S. C.)
" :-	-When the signature to a, is denied, Experts-vérificateurs may be named, on motion of one of the parties, and their report will be homologated and conclusive. (Lord vs. Laurin et al., C. C.)
" :-	-In an action against the endorser of a, sileged in the declaration to have been made by one E. B. Perry, although really signed by J. B. Perry, the plaintiff will recover without amending his declaration, and on the production of a protest and notice of protest of a note purporting to have been made by 27. B. Perry in Scullion vs. Perry et
u ;-	The making and loss of a, may be established by parol evidence, and the variance between the declaration (stating maturity of note to be in September) and the proof (establishing it to be in November) is immaterial, when the evidence establishes acknowledgment of the note by the maker, subsequent to his knowledge of its loss. (Carden, ap-
Onenia Co	pellant, and Ruler, respondent, Q. B.)
regeord :	In case of a lost, the parties in the cause must first purge themselves on oath, before the Court will adjudge on a rule for contempt against the Prothenotary, for non-production of the record. (Morgan vs. Valois, C. C.)
REGISTRATIC	ON :- Vide REMPLOI DE PROPRES.
	- " Reprises.
	The certificate of, of a deed is not insufficient because written on a
4	separate paper from the deed. (Foley, appellant, and Godfrey, respondent, Q. B.)
	Where a deed of mortgage and a deed of sale, executed after the passing of the Registry Ordinance, are deposited in the Registry Office, the former on a Sunday and the latter on the Monday morning following, at nine o'clock, they will be held to have been registered simultaneously; and, as the mortgage was consequently not registered before the sale, the mortgage will be held to be inoperative as against the purchaser. (Chanmont, appellant, and Grenier, respondent, Q. B.) 209
REMPLOI DE 1	PROPRES:—No tacit or legal hypothèque can subsist therefor, on the property of the husband, as respects propre of the wife, sold since the Registry Ordinance has been in force. (Armstrong vs. Rolston, cur., and Dufresnay, oppt., and The Trust and Loan Co. of U.C., oppt.,
1	S. C.) 16
. ,	A wife's hypothèque for, must be secured by registration, to avail against registered mortgages granted by the husband before he received the money belonging to the wife. (Beaugrand dite Champagne vs. Lavallee, and Trigge et al., oppts., S. C.)
REVENDICATIO	ox:—A right of, exists in favour of the owner of a stolen horse, even when the purchaser bought it at public auction, and in good faith
	(Langevin vs. McMillan, S. C.)
	report of distribution homologated by the Prothonotary, under the Act 23rd Vic., ch. 57, s. 32, may be revised before the Court of Review. (The Eastern Townships Bank vs. Pacaud, S. C.)
" :	A judgment dismissing an attachment under the Insolvent Act of 1864 is subject to Review before the Superior Court. (Johnston et al. vs. Kelly, S

SEP

STOL SURE