tatives re-

reported; ellors, thus tead of 70.

from this hancellors,

s and Past

bers from of 8; the o, an error nd the per balance in 05.75 and it on hand

the lodge

itted ; balan error of nd in error he ensuing 3.

ly counted ded in the ımmary.

led among ceipts, and

2nd report received January 22nd, 1891. Only one representative reported; interest included in receipts in error.

Perseverence Lodge, No. 23:

1st report received August 16th, 1890. Officers entirely omitted, otherwise correct and neat.

2nd report received June 4th, 1891. Only two retiring officers and one representative reported; number of members last report brought forward as 39 instead of 38, making an error in total of one member; per capita tax figured at 35 cents instead of 70 cents, making amount remitted \$11.20 short of amount due.

Rienzi Lodge, No. 24:

1st report received July 31st, 1890. Retiring officers and representatives not given.

2nd report received February 27th, 1891. Correct and neat.

Ontario Lodge, No. 25:

1st report received September 8th, 1890. Retiring officers and representatives not given.

2nd report received January 27th, 1891. Representatives not given; balance brought forward as \$110.28 instead of \$111.88, evidently caused by a correction made in former report and not changed in lodge copy.

Dufferin Lodge, No. 28:

1st report received September 13th, 1890. Names of representatives and retiring officers omitted.

2nd report received January 20th, 1891. Representatives omitted. Both reports neatly made out.

Toronto Lodge, No. 30 :

1st report received August 2nd, 1890. Retiring officers and representatives omitted; one P. C. duplicated and one left off list; an error made in bringing balance on hand forward 10 cents too much.

2nd report received February 10th, 1891. The error of 10 cents still continued otherwise correct.

Bismarck Lodge, No. 31:

1st report received July 16th, 1890. Retiring officers omitted, otherwise correct and very neat.

2nd report received January 15th, 1891. Representatives omitted other wise correct and very neat.

Respectfully submitted.

WILL. J. VALE, of No. 3, S. E. HALL, of No. 4, F. J. FITZGERALD, of No. 22.