

re a mother uld insist

Shorey's Clothing for my
Clothing is all sewn
thread, the material is all
sponged and shrunk, and
unshipped is guaranteed not
to just as well as it, when
not any more than inferior

always be sure of getting it
on seeing the guarantee
is in the pocket of each

for miles around is inundated,
roads running into this city are
very prostrated.

Mr. Adams' Alien Labor Bill Is Considered
by Committee and Slightly
Amended.

HEROIC RESCUE

Survivors of Wreck of the
saire Picked Up by British
S. S. Yanariva.

red Ones Helpless and Some of
hem Mad—The Second
Captain's Story.

On March 30.—The British steam-
ship, Capt. Weston, which left
at News on March 10, bound for
r, while in latitude 31, longitude
ed up a small boat containing
survivors of the steamer Ville
Nazario, which foundered in the
orm on March 7, off Cape Hat.
They had been without food and
or four days, and were in a state
me exhaustion, bordering on
say that four boats were launch-
containing twenty-nine each, the
fteen and the fourth six. The
ked up by the Yanariva was one
that took twenty-nine, but three
these had succumbed to exposure
st. The last survivors saw
other boats was on the day the
foundered, when they sighted two
ashed together and empty.
rcumstances under which the res-
cued was picked up by the Yanar-
was most thrilling. The captain
r first officer, who were on the
saw a dark object on the water,
miles away. The steamer was
and in less than half an hour
boat of the Ville de St. Nazaire
was running rough, and the
s crew managed to haul the
board. They found, to their
ent, the occupants lying
helpless in the bottom, and two
raving mad. The only sign of
as one small tin of biscuits. Three
assed before the rescued men had
tally recovered to take solid food.
survivors of the wreck were:
e: Second Captain Pierre Nualé,
Engineer Gernay Gerard, Third
er Prosper Lorezetti, Nicholas
elle, of Port au Prince, Hayti,
ive seamen from Martinique, and
nd Captain Nualé's wife, who
to the vessel sprang a leak. A
hurricane blew that night and
the following day. On the morn-
March 31 the vessel had sunk
in the water this was neces-
to take to the boats, although the
was at its height. The Ville de
zair had a complement of eight
s. The first four launched were
against the side of the vessel and
The other four got clear, but
rted company.

boat," said the second captain,
a ship a drop of water when get-
ing from the vessel. This was
the promptness with which we
off. The weather continued very
us, and the waves sometimes
amped us. We kept baling for
s with our caps. After a while
et a sail and kept the boat up-
ore the wind as well as we
We were drenched, and our suf-
fering was terrible.

pite of every warning some soon
to drink the salt water. Several
e went mad and jumped over-
board. Those who refrained from drink-
ing the best. We kept a constant
for a sail, but saw none until
orning of March 12, when we
a steamer. She was too far
or us to signal her. We watched
and anxiety as we saw her steer-
us. At that moment we had
ur inches of free board on the
Every minute I expected she
go to the bottom. At last the
ra reached us and we were haul-
the deck."

is are troubled with falling hair,
f, eczema of the scalp, or inclina-
towards, use the best preparation
to correct and cure—Hall's Hair
er.

be morning of February 27,
sick with rheumatism, also, my
il May 21, when I got a
mberlain's Pain Balm. The first
tion of it relieved me almost en-
from the pain and the second
me complete relief. Is a short
was able to be up and about
—A. T. Moreaux, Laverne, Minn.,
sale by all druggists. Langley &
son Bros., wholesale agents, Vic-
and Vancouver.

and Best for "Able and Dainty
adulteration. Never cakes.

THE PETITION WAS RECEIVED

The House Rescinds Its Adverse
Vote on the Wilkinson-
Beeton Petition.

Mr. Graham's Game Bill Is Considered
by Committee and Slightly
Amended.

Mr. Adams' Alien Labor Bill Is Read
a Third Time and Final-
ly Passed.

Monday, March 29, 1897.
The Speaker took the chair at two
o'clock; prayers by Rev. Mr. Barber.

OUT OF ORDER.
Mr. Kellie presented a petition from
citizens of Trail, but the Speaker ruled
out of order as asking for the expen-
diture of public money.

QUESTION.
Hon. Mr. Turner rose to a question of
privilege, and after producing a copy
of a letter, stated that it was very sel-
dom he rose to a question of privilege.

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

He characterized the article
as a cruel lie, and said it was evidently
a view to injuring him
published with a view to injuring him

He then read an article ap-
pearing in Saturday's Times with refer-
ence to the refusal of the house to re-
ceive a petition from Mr. H. C. Beeton.

in council, dated the 23rd day of Feb-
ruary, A.D. 1897, satisfactory or equi-
table for the settlement of this long-
pending controversy, nor just to this
province, but approves of the terms set
forth in the order in council of the
government of the province of British
Columbia, dated the 15th day of March,
A.D. 1897, as affording the best means
for providing a prompt and final settle-
ment of this important question."

Mr. Helmcken in speaking to the resolu-
tion stated that the Dominion govern-
ment were asking too much. He
had no desire, however, to do anything
to hamper the negotiations between the
two governments.

Hon. Col. Baker held that the mani-
fest expression of the house would in-
fluence the Dominion government in com-
ing to a more satisfactory arrangement.

He went into the history of the Some-
hees reserve negotiations. The offer
made by the province to the Dominion
government was a very fair one and
could easily have been accepted. It
would be manifestly unfair to the citi-
zens of Victoria and the people of British
Columbia if the value that the reserve
serve to the Indians, as the in-
creased value of the reserve was not
by reason of anything that the Indians
did, but because of the proximity of the
city of Victoria.

Major Mutter disagreed with this
view. The Indians were entitled to any
valuable land had. It would be just as
consistent to apply the same principle
to the lands of white people.

Hon. Col. Baker replied that there
was a difference. The Somehees Indians
were not the owners of the land, but
were simply leaseholders.

The resolution then passed. Major
Mutter voting against it.

Major Mutter presented the report of
the printing committee. The report was
received.

The "Lunacy Bill" was read a third
time on motion of Hon. Col. Baker.

WATER BILL.
The house went into committee with
Dr. Walkem in the chair to further con-
sider the water clauses bill. The com-
mittee made progress until the end of
the bill was reached, and then the
clauses which had been laid over were
considered. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

ated. The bonuses and percentages
inserted were against the very principle
of the water rights, which was to pro-
tect the water rights for the people. Dr.
Walkem instanced the case of a water
works being built for \$10,000 and oper-
ated for ten years. A city wishing to
purchase such works would, under Mr.
Eberts' amendment, have to pay \$3,000
bonus, \$15,000 for dividends, making a
total of \$23,000 for works that cost only
\$10,000. Such an amendment was an-
tagonistic to the welfare of the province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley was glad that Dr.
Walkem had recovered his voice. He
thought it was carrying matters too far
if capitalists were not given a fair op-
portunity to make something out of the
money invested. The bonus of 15 per
cent. was not too much. If the munici-
palities wish to buy valuable water
works, they should be compelled to pay
for them.

Mr. Macpherson said that municipali-
ties would not wish to buy valuable
water works but very ram-
shackle water works that companies
like to build.

Hon. Mr. Pooley—The municipalities
don't need such water works. They
want to make something out of the
money invested. The bonus of 15 per
cent. was not too much. If the munici-
palities wish to buy valuable water
works, they should be compelled to pay
for them.

Mr. Macpherson—They must buy to
get water, as the bill gives exclusive
rights to companies.

Hon. Mr. Pooley—No, no; that is not
right.

Mr. Macpherson—Well, it was not
right for the government to bring the
bill in in that shape. Mr. Pooley only
looked at the question from one stand-
point, a capitalist's point of view, and
should also consider the rights of the people of the
province.

Hon. Mr. Pooley indignantly resented
the imputation he did not look at
both sides. Exclusive rights were
given only in unincorporated localities.

Hon. Mr. Eberts replied to Dr. Walkem,
who, he said, did not understand the
bill. The exclusive rights were in-
serted in the bill to protect future munici-
palities; as they would only have to
buy out one water company, instead of
three or four, which would likely be in-
corporated if there were no exclusive
rights.

Mr. Forster stated that Mr. Pooley
held that companies were not given ex-
clusive rights in municipalities. Sec. 48
gave companies such rights. The munici-
palities were not to be given the in-
terests of the companies, but not those
of the municipalities.

Mr. Kennedy was well aware that
Hon. Mr. Pooley was quite capable of
looking at both sides of any question,
but very often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well as the capitalists.

Mr. Eberts' amendment was then
put, but some members declared that
they did not understand the question.
The chairman declared the question
closed.

Hon. Mr. Eberts protested. Several
members did not vote.

The amendment was then read by the
chairman and put, and was declared
carried. Mr. Eberts moved to amend
sect 47 so that the municipalities could
buy out private companies only on the
following conditions: (1) If the water-
works system has been in operation less
than five years, a thirty per cent. bonus
on each side of any question, but very
often he did not. Companies
should not expect to be paid a large
premium on works which had been some-
times managed. The people have some
rights as well