

Put on Your Head

In summer the least weight possible.

ROGERS' Feather-Weight Linen Cap is coolness personified

ROGERS' White Fishing Hats Are Sunproof.

ROGERS' Ladies' Stylish Sailor Hats

ROGERS' Children's Sailor Hats

ROGERS' Price reductions in FEB. RE-FURNISH or RE-REMODEL for summer months are big savings.

JAS. H. ROGERS 84 YONGE ST., TORONTO, and 296 Main St., Winnipeg.

FINANCIAL BROKERS

OSLER & HAMMOND STOCK BROKERS AND FINANCIAL AGENTS

F. H. GOOCH Insurance Broker and Adjuster

J. A. CORMALY & CO. STOCKS, GRAIN AND PROVISIONS

HENRY A. KING & CO. BROKERS. STOCKS, GRAIN, PROVISIONS

JOHN STARK & CO. Members Toronto Stock Exchange

R. H. TEMPLE, Member Toronto Stock Exchange

H. O'HARA & Co. Members Toronto Stock Exchange

Monte Cristo, Deer Park and all other

Fergusson & Blaikie STOCK BROKERS

A. E. AMES & CO. Bankers and Brokers

FRANK CAYLEY, REAL ESTATE AND FINANCIAL AGENT

Clarets! of high-class Clarets d, originally consigned

INVESTMENTS. Six per cent. per annum guaranteed by the British Empire Mutual Life Assurance Company, Limited, Eng.

NINETEENTH YEAR

SHAFTER FEEDS THE

Four Thousand Well and 1600 Sick Spaniards Living on American Food and 6000 More Hungry Ones Coming in.

New York, July 24.—(Special.)—A Madrid special to The Journal says: The news of the American and insurgent forces in Cuba is received here with great satisfaction, and those who hope for peace with honor regard it with joyful anticipation.

What is Going to Happen? A Washington special to The Herald says: The Administration has mapped out a vigorous campaign in Cuba if Spain should fail to sue for peace after the surrender of Porto Rico.

The Carlist Activity. A London special to The Journal says: A telegram received from Brussels to-day announces that Don Carlos and his suit have mysteriously disappeared and there is every reason to think they have gone to the Spanish frontier to direct the Carlist forces.

FEEDING HUNGRY THOUSANDS.

Shafter is Furnishing Rations to 4000 Distressed Spanish Prisoners and 1600 Sick.

Washington, D.C., July 23.—The War Department to-night received the following from Gen. Shafter: "Santiago de Cuba, July 23, 1898, 6.25 p.m. Adjutant-General, Washington: Colonel of Engineers, Spanish army, has just arrived from Guantanamo. He heard from the French Consul there that Santiago had surrendered and that they had sent here to verify the fact. They will be very glad to accept the terms of surrender. Very short of rations and I shall have to begin feeding them at once. I have now feeding 4000 well prisoners here, and 1600 sick in hospital. Expect 3000 men to-morrow from San Luis and Palma. Will send an officer to-morrow to meet with one of Gen. Toral's to receive surrender at Guantanamo, and then go to Sagua and Baracoa to receive surrender there. Think the number of prisoners will be fully up to the estimate, 25,000 or 23,000."

MISS SCHLEY AS PEACEMAKER.

Daughter of a Cousin of Commodore Schley Now in Madrid With a "Humanitarian" Object. Madrid, July 24, via Paris.—The chiefs of the Carlist organization are leaving Spain. Miss Jessie Schley, delegate from the Peace Society of Paris, has arrived at Madrid, with the intention, it is asserted, of interviewing members of the Cabinet with a "humanitarian object."

Some Light Thrown on the Trouble With the Correspondents. Washington, July 24.—In his report to the War Department relative to the conditions at Santiago, General Shafter has thrown some light upon the difficulties in which certain of the newspaper correspondents here have involved themselves. From his report, it would appear that, animated by an ambition to take a prominent part in the important events at Santiago, after the initiation of the negotiations for the surrender of the city, a few of the correspondents were guilty of grave breaches of military law, necessitating prompt corrective action by General Shafter. Thus, for instance, one correspondent, in his efforts to take part in the flag-raising over the City Hall, resisted the military officers in the execution of their duty, and even attempted a personal assault upon the commanding general. This made him subject to summary and severe punishment, even death; yet General Shafter, probably realizing that ignorance of military law was the explanation of the action, contented himself with expelling the correspondent from Cuba.

A more serious offense, from the fact that it might easily have led to rioting and loss of life, was that of three other correspondents, who, it appears by General Shafter's report, by circulating inflammatory posters, stirred up the town. They were like wise deported, and, as evidence that he has no personal ill-feeling towards the papers represented by them, but desires only to exercise such control as is imperatively demanded in the interests of safety of our troops, and protection of the people under their care, General Shafter has declared that these correspondents may be replaced by others from the same newspaper, who will observe the rules of prudence. No mention is made of any other cases requiring attention, and it is indicated that the relations between the newspapers and the army officers at Santiago are generally amicable and satisfactory.

NO NEWS CAN BE GOT. Madrid Ministers Mum in Regard to the Government's Intentions. London, July 24.—A special despatch from Madrid says that the Government is observed that Senor Sagasta, the Prime Minister, Senor Gamazo, Minister of Public Instruction, and Duke Almodovar de Rio, Minister of Foreign Affairs, have ceased to inform their colleagues of the progress of negotiations, merely stating that there is nothing further to report. Merchants and other having Cuban interests assert that their correspondents write that all seem to prefer the annexation of Cuba to the United States to independence, fearing the vengeance of the Separatists if the Americans do not remain to protect life and property in the island. Senor Dupuy de Lome says the split between the Americans and the insurgents offers the best opportunity for peace that has occurred since the destruction of Admiral Cervera's fleet.

The Situation Most Critical. The Madrid correspondent of The Observer says the condition of the Government is now most critical, and that the authorities are devoting all their attention to preventing a revolution and safeguarding the dynasty. The Government offers the officers of the army in Cuba employment immediately upon their return to Spain, with the object of removing their opposition to the establishment of peace.

Troops on the Way. Washington, July 23.—The War Department received the following at a late hour last night from Tampa: To Adjutant-General, Washington: The transports Arkada, Whitney, Miller, Florida, Cherokee, with Gen. Schwan's headquarters, with light batteries, 14th artillery, one troop second cavalry, two companies 11th Infantry, full regiments, 10th Infantry and two sections of the general pack train. The Mohawk, which can carry overtake these boats, cannot sail before 10 o'clock to-morrow. It will carry ten companies of the 11th Infantry, about 600 pack animals, the brigade ambulance train and Red Cross ambulances. Signed John I. Rogers, Brigadier-General, senior officer, Port Tampa.

Spaniards Driven Out. Madrid, July 24.—(10 a.m.)—A telegram from Havana reports that the insurgents attacked Gibera, near Santiago de Cuba, and that the garrison, after a severe fight, evacuated the place. Many of the insurgents were killed. The despatch also reports that a force of Americans landed at Galimacalco, (Tayabaco) and invaded Tumbaco, Zarza, and the warships steered "Galimacalco."

Those Spanish Prisoners. Spanish Company Not to Have the Transportation Job Without a Fight. New York, July 23.—The Journal says: Although the contract for carrying the Spanish prisoners back to Spain has apparently been awarded to the Spanish Trans-Atlantic Company, by Secretary Alger, the other bidders are not disposed to let it go without a fight. George Osgood Lord, representing an English steamship company, one of the bidders, has engaged lawyers to contest the validity of the contract and they have notified Secretary Alger that proceedings will be begun at once to dissolve the contract. The contentions are: 1. That the contract is illegal. 2. That it is against public policy. 3. It gives an enemy ship and money to arm them. 4. It compels Uncle Sam to furnish supplies to protect an enemy's ships against himself. Steamship companies here will combine to fight the case.

Spanish Transport at Algeciras. Gibraltar, July 24.—The Spanish transport Valdes arrived to-day at Algeciras and landed guns and war material. Gunboats Enter Harbor. London, July 24.—Lloyd's agent reports that two Spanish gunboats have entered the harbor of Figuera, at the mouth of the Mondego, Portugal. Peace Prospects Improved. London, July 23.—The Madrid correspondent of The Times says: "Peace prospects have greatly improved during the last 48 hours. The diplomatic exertion of Duke Almodovar de Rio, the Foreign Minister, has been more practical

The Toronto World.

EIGHT PAGES—MONDAY MORNING JULY 25 1898—EIGHT PAGES

GARDEN HOSE Crown Brand. Quality guaranteed. Nozzles, Sprinklers, Reels, Etc. THE TORONTO RUBBER CO., LIMITED

BURSTING WITH INDIGNATION

The Electorate of Hamilton, Learning That They Have Been Duped by the Aldermen and Newspapers, Threaten to Take Several Scalps—The Railway Franchise Steal Exposed.

Given a leader and another week and the public feeling now shaking the crust of Hamilton civic life would break out into something like a revolution. This is the state of affairs which a World reporter found who went up to the Ambitious City on Saturday to observe the results of Friday's article. The Street Railway Company and the aldermen who have been so assiduously pulling wires for it have things no longer their own way.

The disfranchised electorate, who form two-thirds of the voters of the city, realize that it has been duped and "the sovereignty of the people" is the phrase of hundreds. The local press, with one exception, is as unpopular as the Street Railway. "It is a shame that an outside journal has to come in and defend the city from its own papers," was what The World heard on all sides Saturday. But this resolution of feeling is not confined to the chocked-off voters. It has extended to the property and 20-year leaseholders, who next Wednesday will declare their minds on the question of extending the franchise.

A number of these citizens declared in The World Office that since the paper's "show-up" of the railway's game, they had determined to block the scheme, and one of these gentlemen is a member of the so-called Citizens' Committee, who, in the Street Railway interests, has canvassed every voter in the city.

An extraordinary thing about this committee is that no one knows who appointed it or whence it derives its powers. A leading trades and labor official, who asked Committee man T. H. Pratt this question, was answered: "Don't tell me anything about it now. Put your question in writing and I will try to answer you."

Another curious fact in connection with the "Citizens' Committee" is that several of its members are directors of the Standard Incline Railway. The company has long been wanting a spur line between its road and that of the street railway, but the latter has hitherto persistently refused. It is now learnt on the best authority that a deal has been consummated whereby, if the extended franchise goes through, the spur line will be immediately built.

Mayor Colquhoun, who gave The World a courteous interview, said: "I read your paper's article on our street railway question and think it wasn't a word too strong. The company has long pursued a policy of ignoring the interests of the city, and I am not at all sorry to grant it the extension of franchise asked for, until it does so."

"What special cases do you refer to?" asked The World. "I refer chiefly to its dog-in-the-manger act," he replied to the Dundas and Barger Railway. The company has long refused to give the roads the right of way over its own lines, even for a generous figure, caused a number of valuable streets to be torn up and the city traffic impeded.

"Then your influence will be thrown against the company, Mr. Mayor?" "My position is that the application of the company be refused until the matters affecting the radial roads, now running in to the city and other roads to be built hereafter, have been determined by a board of arbitration."

His Worship laughed when the reporter asked him what he thought of the idea of a board of arbitration. "It is not tolerably certain that the Government's efforts are entering a new phase, which may gradually lead to a tangible result. The precise nature of the change is a secret, but there is little doubt that it tends to direct negotiations between Spain and the United States, there being no inclination to seek the mediation of any neutral power."

Over 300 New Cases of Fever. Washington, July 23.—A despatch received to-night from General Shafter by Adjutant-General Corbin says that 338 new cases of fever of all classes were reported in his camp before Santiago yesterday. As the same despatch reports only four deaths, and none of them from yellow fever, from among the hundreds of cases of fever known to exist in the camp, the war authorities are more than ever inclined to the belief that the cases of yellow fever are of an extremely mild type. Previous despatches from General Shafter and medical officers of his corps have indicated the existence in the camp of a very large number of cases of malarial and dengue fever, the latter being peculiar to the Cuban climate. Some clear cases of yellow fever are reported, but the officials say they are few as compared with those of other fevers.

REPORTS FROM HAVANA. A Slight Attack on Manzanailla—Havana to Be Defended. Havana, July 23.—A report from Manzanailla, under date of July 21, said the Americans had landed troops near that port and that it was believed it was their intention to attack the town simultaneously by land and sea.

A telegram to Admiral Manterola, the naval commander at Havana, from the port commander at Manzanailla, also dated July 21, says the force landed by the Americans opened fire on the town on the night of the 20th inst., but that the American ships, which made an attack on the 18th were not seen again. The port commander also reported that a detachment of Spanish

the vote being taken in the dog days. "It is just a catch-penny of business to get the vote the railway wants and so is the freeing out of the electorate, for I believe that the question should be decided as it is at a municipal election, when the voice of the whole city is heard."

Ald. Montague, who was the chief figure in the city during the Jubilee celebrations, said to the World yesterday: "I am against giving the Street Railway Company any extension of its franchise. "I also sincerely believe that the question should be decided by a referendum, and the fact that it is not being so done, as I believe, a sharp move on the part of certain members in the Council."

"Is there any act restricting the question to the vote of property and 20-year leaseholders?" asked The World. "I don't know of any. I asked the City Solicitor this question point blank, and he evaded it."

Ex-Ald. Dixon, an ex-chairman of the Fire and Water Committee, was warm in his praise of The World's outspokenness. The city was sleeping along," he exclaimed, "and the writing has thoroughly aroused it."

"I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don't believe there is any Ontario statute forbidding tenants a vote on this question. When the temperance plebiscite came up, both Carscallen and Mackelton were in the city with a similar right. No. 1. Asked what he thought of the restricted vote, Mr. Dixon replied: "It looks like one of the biggest tricks played on the city. "I don