

INDEX

TO THE PRINCIPAL SUBJECTS.

- Abandonment* of their former principles by the late Counsellors, 102—115.
- Address* to the people of Western Canada, by the author, 5—13—of the Toronto Association to the people of Canada, import and tendency of it, 5, 31, 120.
- Accusers* of the Governor General refuted out of their own mouths, 50—72, 78, 79, 95, 96, 103—110.
- Anomalies*, five, in the proceedings of the late Counsellors against the Governor General, 34—38.
- Appointments to office*, none made by the Governor General without his knowing the sentiments of his advisers, 86—those objected to by the late Counsellors stated by Mr. Sullivan to be trifling, 96—cannot be made without the knowledge and concurrence of at least one responsible adviser, 89, 90—two modes of making, recognized by the late advisers, 88, 89—should be made without regard to party, according to the formerly avowed principles of the late Counsellors, 110—qualifications and merit the true rule of, 144—147.
- Appostacy* of the late Counsellors from the principles formerly professed by themselves and by the Reform Press and Reformers generally, 102—110.
- Association* at Toronto, of 1844 and 1854, compared, 5—demands of the former make the Governor General a slave and infringe the rights of their fellow subjects, 44—49—denies the responsibility of the Governor General to the Imperial authority and involves independence, 126—130.
- Bacon*, Lord, quoted against the late Counsellors, 135.
- Blake*, Mr. W. H., states responsible government to be incapable of accurate definition, 82—denies the responsibility of the Governor General to the Imperial authority, 126—130.
- British Practice* disregarded by the late Counsellors, 22—29, 34, 120.
- Burke*, Right Hon. Edmund, quoted against the late Counsellors, 148, 149.
- "Case of facts,"* what meant by, 21—essential to the kind of ministerial explanation involved in this question, 21—not furnished by the late Counsellors, 22—29.
- "Cases of adequate importance,"* this phrase perverted by the late Counsellors, 88—examined in its legitimate connexion, 88, 89—Counsellors ultimate and principal judges of, 88, 90.
- Charges* of the late Counsellors against the Governor General, examined and refuted, 39—48.
- Corruption* of party policy government, 137—143.
- Courtesy* of the Governor General towards his late Counsellors, as stated by themselves, 92—94.
- Councillors*, Legislative, ought not to be popular agitators, 131, 132.