

Questions

3. \$165.

4. No tenders were received for this installation. At the request of the Post Office Department, a contract was negotiated with G. W. King of Canada Limited, Burlington, Ontario, who were the sole manufacturers of the Stanrun chain trolley type of conveyor. I am informed that officers from the engineering branch of the Post Office Department had seen applications of the conveyor in the United Kingdom postal service. They believed that it would not only solve the special requirement at the Hamilton post office, but was the type of conveyor they wished to install as an experimental unit.

5. No.

6. I am informed by the postal authorities that the use of the conveyor was discontinued on February 22, 1965, because it could not be kept in a reliable working condition. Continued efforts to operate it would have disrupted the handling of mail in the Hamilton post office.

7. \$126,646.60.

EXAMINATION OF PRICE-SALARY SITUATION

Question No. 763—Mr. Godin:

1. In the framework of the proposed government program to reduce expenditures, have any persons been employed to date to assess the price and salary situation in Canada and, if so, how many?

2. How many other persons will be so employed?

Hon. Judy V. LaMarsh (Secretary of State): I am informed by the Department of Finance as follows: 1 and 2. Officers of the Department of Finance do this as part of their regular duties, although this year more than normal attention has been given to it.

EXTERNAL AID BY CANADA

Question No. 769—Mr. Rynard:

1. What was the total amount of external aid given by Canada for the year 1966-67?

2. What are the names of the countries receiving the above external aid and what was the amount each country received?

Hon. Paul Martin (Secretary of State for External Affairs): 1. Parliament appropriated \$207.7 million in 1966/67 for Canada's bilateral aid programs. In addition, credits authorized for developing countries under Section 21-A of the Export Credits Insurance Act amounted to \$61.7 million. Total allocations to developing countries in 1966/67 also included \$11.7 million in uncommitted development loan funds appropriated in earlier fiscal years. The total bilateral aid program consequently amounted to \$281.2 million.

[Mr. McIlraith.]

2. See accompanying table giving bilateral external aid allocations 1966/67.

| Allocations Under Canada's External Aid Program 1966/67 (000,000) | | | |
|--|--------|-------|--------|
| Bilateral allocations | | | |
| South East Asia | | | |
| India | 156.2† | | |
| Pakistan | 27.4 | | |
| Ceylon | 4.5 | | |
| Malaysia | 2.7 | | |
| Others | 4.3 | 195.1 | |
| Africa | | | |
| Francophone | | | |
| countries | 11.1 | | |
| Nigeria | 6.5 | | |
| Ghana | 4.0 | | |
| Tanzania | 2.3 | | |
| Kenya | 2.0 | | |
| Uganda | 1.0 | | |
| Other Commonwealth | | | |
| countries | 2.7 | 29.6 | |
| Europe | | | |
| Greece | 4.8* | 4.8 | |
| Commonwealth | | | |
| Caribbean | | | |
| Jamaica | 3.5 | | |
| Trinidad | 3.6 | | |
| Guyana | 2.0 | | |
| Little Eight and | | | |
| British Honduras | 4.0 | 13.1 | |
| Latin America | | | |
| Mexico | 15.2* | | |
| Argentina | 3.2* | | |
| Other countries | 10.0 | 28.4 | |
| Other allocations | | | |
| CUSO, UN food pro- | | | |
| gram contingency | 10.2 | 10.2 | |
| Total—bilateral | | | 281.2 |
| Multilateral allocations—Total | | | 39.3 |
| Grand Total | | | 320.5‡ |

* Figures represent ECIC commitments only.

† This includes an ECIC commitment of \$38.5 million.

‡ This figure includes a carryover in uncommitted funds of approximately \$11.7 million from previous years, while the current year's appropriation totalled \$308.8 million.