

## Unemployment

Dumas	MacDougall
Enfield	MacEachen
Eudes	MacKenzie
Eyre	MacNaught
Fairey	Macnaughton
Follwell	McCubbin
Fontaine	McCulloch (Pictou)
Forgie	McIlraith
Fraser (St. John's East)	McIvor
Gardiner	McMillan
Garland	McWilliam
Garson	Mang
Gauthier (Lake St. John)	Marler
Gauthier (Nickel Belt)	Martin
Gauthier (Portneuf)	Matheson
Gingras	Meunier
Goode	Michaud
Gour (Russell)	Mitchell (Sudbury)
Gourd (Chapleau)	Monette
Gregg	Murphy (Westmorland)
Habel	Nixon
Hardie	Philpott
Harris	Pickersgill
Harrison	Pommer
Hellyer	Pouliot
Henderson	Power (Quebec South)
Henry	Power (St. John's West)
Hollingworth	Purdy
Hosking	Ratelle
Houck	Reinke
Huffman	Richard (St. Maurice-Lafleche)
James	Richardson
Jutras	Roberge
Kickham	Robertson
Kirk (Antigonish-Guysborough)	Robichaud
Kirk (Shelburne-Yarmouth-Clare)	Robinson (Simcoe East)
LaCroix	Rouleau
Lafontaine	St. Laurent
Langlois (Berthier-Maskinongie-Delanaudiere)	Shipley, Mrs.
Langlois (Gaspé)	Simmons
Lapointe	Sinclair
Lavigne	Stick
Leduc (Gatineau)	Stuart (Charlotte)
Leduc (Jacques Cartier-Lasalle)	Thibault
Leduc (Verdun)	Tucker
Lefrancois	Valois
Legare	Villeneuve
Lesage	Weaver
Lusby	Weir
	Weselak
	White (Waterloo South)—131.

**Mr. Speaker:** I declare the amendment to the main motion lost.

**Mr. Patterson:** I should like to raise a grievance, so may I move the adjournment of the debate?

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member has, I think, spoken in this debate.

**Mr. Knowles:** He spoke to the amendment.

**Mr. Speaker:** Then the question arises, on a motion to go into supply, even if an amendment and subamendment have been moved; is it not true that a member can speak only once?

**Some hon. Members:** Question.

**Mr. Speaker:** It is now ten minutes past ten o'clock, and I shall put my finger on the authorities and be in a better position to

assert my point when this motion comes before us again. I want to tell hon. members that on a motion to go into supply one may speak only once. He may speak on any subject whatever, but only once. He cannot raise two or three grievances. Hon. members have chosen to speak on unemployment, and those who have spoken on that particular subject have disposed of their right to speak about other grievances on this motion; see Beauchesne's third edition, citation 468.

**Mr. Quelch:** Some members have already spoken twice, on the amendment and the subamendment.

**Mr. Speaker:** The hon. member may be quite right in that some hon. members have taken advantage of the situation to speak on the amendment and the subamendment in the same debate, because once an amendment has been moved that, in itself, creates a debate and the subamendment is a consequence of the first one. I agree with the hon. member that there is that complexity about it.

As I say, there is the opportunity of moving one amendment and one subamendment with respect to one grievance. The minute you want to go from one grievance to another subject, as some hon. members do who have spoken on the first grievance, they ought, especially if they have spoken twice on the amendment and the subamendment, to be reasonable and wait until another motion is moved in order to discuss another grievance. If hon. members are satisfied with my views at this moment, we might perhaps ask if the house is ready to accept the main motion.

**Some hon. Members:** Question.

**Mr. Hamilton (Notre Dame de Grace):** Although the debate has been long, Mr. Speaker, I think my memory is still longer and I do not remember having spoken to the main motion or either of the amendments. I have a particular grievance which I should like to place before the house, so we might perhaps leave the matter until tomorrow.

**Mr. Speaker:** If the hon. member has not spoken, and if he wishes to do so, it is his right. I shall, therefore, have to put the motion for the adjournment of the house at this moment.

**Mr. Knowles:** Before you rule on the point raised when the hon. member for Fraser Valley (Mr. Patterson) attempted to speak, will you permit us to make representations on the point tomorrow?

**Mr. Speaker:** As I said, I am not deciding the point at the moment. If hon. members had been ready to accept my views I would